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Abstract: In this study, using the analysis program DIASTARS III that is able to take into account the dynamic interaction between railway vehicles and railway structures, we conducted seismic train-running analysis on a model line that is about 4.8 km long. And we identified the relative weak structures of seismic train-running safety in the model line.
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1. Introduction

In recent years, a variety of studies on train-running safety during an earthquake have been conducted in Japan [1,2]. However, these studies have not identified specific structures among the group that could be critical in relation to the occurrence of a derailment. In this study, we examine the simulation of train-running during an earthquake in a model line. And then, relative weak structures that could affect train-running safety during an earthquake are clarified.

2. Methodology

A program called DIASTARS III, which analyzes the dynamic interaction between railway vehicles and railway structures, is used in the numerical analysis [2]. In this program, Vehicles are modeled as multi-body and structures are modeled by finite element. Regarding the vehicles for this study, 12-car train (300 m in length) is used and the running speed is set to 320 km/h. Figure 1 shows the specifications of the entire model line. In this study, the analysis model line based on a structural database is created. The length is 4.8 km. Each block of the structures is modeled as a rigid beam element. The mass and the non-linear property of each structure are determined based on the design calculation for actual structure. L2 spectrum I of ocean-trench type, which is one of the design earthquakes, is used for input earthquake ground motion [3]. Since the vehicle response had strong non-linearity, the seismic peak ground acceleration (PGA) is gradually and linearly increased. The train is run for a total of 20 cases, in each of which the start point is shifted by 300m, to cover the entire line.
3. Result and Discussion

Figure 2 shows the maximum response of each structure and the derailment position of the train. Regarding the horizontal displacement and the angular rotation, almost all of the structures start to yield at around PGA of 200 gal and the maximum displacements increase substantially with higher PGA. The rotation angles are greater where the equivalent natural period differs widely between the structures that yielded and the adjoining structures. In addition, the result of the derailment position indicated that derailment occurred where the angular rotation is greater, suggesting that differential displacement (angular rotation) is more dominant than vibration displacement (horizontal displacement) in determining the train-running safety during the earthquake.

4. Conclusions

We conducted seismic train-running analysis on a model line with about 4.8 km long. This study clarifies that (1) almost all of the structures in the model line start to yield at around PGA of 200 gal and the maximum displacements increase substantially with higher PGA; (2) the seismic train-running safety is more likely to be affected by differential displacement (angular rotation) than vibration displacement (horizontal displacement) in the model line.
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