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Abstract: Polyimides with excellent physicochemical properties have aroused a great deal of interest as gas separation membranes; however, the severe performance decay due to CO₂-induced plasticization remains a challenge. Fortunately, in recent years, advanced plasticization-resistant membranes of great commercial and environmental relevance have been developed. In this review, we investigate the mechanism of plasticization due to CO₂ permeation, introduce effective methods to suppress CO₂-induced plasticization, propose evaluation criteria to assess the reduced plasticization performance, and clarify typical methods used for designing anti-plasticization membranes.
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1. Introduction

1.1. Natural Gas Process

Narrowly speaking, natural gas—a term in the energy field—is referred to as a mixture of hydrocarbons and non-hydrocarbon gases that naturally exist underground. The typical composition of raw natural gas is shown in Table 1, based on samples from eight different locations worldwide [1,2]. Methane is the main component of raw natural gas—one of the raw materials. It is consumed during combustion for heat release or chemical production. When producing the same amount of energy, CO₂ emissions by natural gas are ~26% less than oil and coal [3]. Therefore, natural gas is regarded as a source for the cleanest and lowest carbon emitting fossil fuel in the world [4].
Table 1. Typical composition of raw natural gas [1,2].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Composition Range (mol%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CH$_4$</td>
<td>29.98–90.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C$_2$H$_6$</td>
<td>0.55–14.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C$_3$H$_8$</td>
<td>0.23–12.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C$<em>4$H$</em>{10}$</td>
<td>0.14–8.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C$_5+$</td>
<td>0.037–3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N$_2$</td>
<td>0.21–26.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H$_2$S</td>
<td>0.0–3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO$_2$</td>
<td>0.06–42.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>He</td>
<td>0.0–1.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According to the BP (British Petroleum) Statistical Review of World Energy (2018), 2017 witnessed the fastest rates of rapid increases in natural gas consumption (3.0%; 96 billion cubic meters) and production (4.0%; 131 billion cubic meters) [5]. Furthermore, Figure 1 clearly illustrates that in 2017, the share of natural gas in global primary energy consumption by fuel consistently increased to 23.4% [6]. Undoubtedly, strong natural gas growth can be expected in the near future. This is supported by an increase in the levels of industrialization and power demand, continued coal-to-gas switching, and the growing availability of low-cost supplies [7]. In conclusion, the rapid increase in natural gas consumption has driven the necessity for natural gas processing to meet pipeline requirements.

Table 2. Composition of natural gas reservoirs (volume percent) in some regions of the world [9].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Groningen (Netherlands)</th>
<th>Laeq (France)</th>
<th>Uch (Pakistan)</th>
<th>Uthmaniyah (Saudi Arabia)</th>
<th>Arjuna (Indonesia)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CH$_4$</td>
<td>81.3</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>55.5</td>
<td>65.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C$_2$H$_6$</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C$_3$H$_8$</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>14.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C$<em>4$H$</em>{10}$</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C$_5+$</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N$_2$</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H$_2$S</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO$_2$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>He</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Shares of global primary energy consumption by fuel [6].

It has been widely recognized that variations in raw natural gas consumption are considerably region-dependent [8], which is supported by the worldwide composition data of raw natural gas (shown in Table 2). Nevertheless, nations tightly control the composition of natural gas prior to entry into the industrial pipeline grids. Take the U.S. for example, where Table 3 shows a whole set of criteria defining the upper limits of common impurities. Therefore, to remove the undesired components and ultimately meet the requirements of target composition specifications, preconditioning is required for all raw natural gases. Furthermore, the greenhouse effect generated by CH$_4$ must also be taken into consideration. Generally speaking, if CH$_4$ is released during the purification and shipment of natural gas, it may cause a severe greenhouse effect.
Table 2. Composition of natural gas reservoirs (volume percent) in some regions of the world [9].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Groningen (Netherlands)</th>
<th>Laeq (France)</th>
<th>Uch (Pakistan)</th>
<th>Uthmaniyah (Saudi Arabia)</th>
<th>Arjuna (Indonesia)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CH$_4$</td>
<td>81.3</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>55.5</td>
<td>65.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C$_2$H$_6$</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C$_3$H$_8$</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>14.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C$<em>3$H$</em>{10}$</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C$<em>5$H$</em>{12}$</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N$_2$</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H$_2$S</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO$_2$</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>46.2</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Composition specifications for natural gas delivery to the U.S. national pipeline grid [10].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Specification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CO$_2$</td>
<td>&lt;2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H$_2$O</td>
<td>&lt;120 ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H$_2$S</td>
<td>&lt;4 ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C$_3$+ content</td>
<td>950–1050 Btu/scf; dew point: $&lt;-20$ °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total inert gases (N$_2$, He)</td>
<td>&lt;4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: ppm, parts per million; and scf, standard cubic feet.

CO$_2$ can reduce the calorific value of natural gas and result in global warming. CO$_2$ and H$_2$S contribute to the corrosion of natural gas pipelines; therefore, numerous studies have been conducted to remove such acidic gases [11,12]. The most extensively used methods include cryogenic distillation [13,14], amine absorption [15], pressure swing adsorption (PSA) [16,17], and membrane separation technologies. Of these, cryogenic distillation requires a large amount of energy to condense permanent gases such as CO$_2$ [16]. Therefore, a hybrid system combining amine absorption with membrane separation is preferred due to its high energy efficiency [18].

The amine absorption process has displayed favorable performance in extracting CO$_2$ from the CO$_2$/CH$_4$ gas mixtures. However, the high capital and operating costs remain a problem. Membrane technology is a good alternative for gas separation, ascribed to its low capital investment and ease of operation. It also exhibits the efficiency of the membrane units, and advantages of design flexibility and compactness [19]. This technology is expected to be the superior gas separation method when CO$_2$/CH$_4$ selectivity is increased to 40 [20]. However, the presence of CO$_2$ and/or other highly sorbing components can result in membrane plasticization and swelling.

1.2. Membrane Materials Used for Gas Separation

Progress in membrane technology has been largely dependent on high-performance membrane materials. Inorganic membranes are not discussed in this paper due to their expensive module fabrication and limited industrial applications, even though considerable progress has been achieved in this field. This review focuses on polymer membranes. To date, a variety of promising polymer membranes, including cellulose acetate (CA) [21,22], polycarbonate (PC) [23–27], polysulfone (PSF) [28–30], polymethyl methacrylate (PMMA) [31–33], polyimide (PI), and polymer of intrinsic microporosity (PIMs) [34–37], have been developed to meet the demands of the gas separation industry.

There are many promising polymer membrane materials, yet the gas separation market is only dominated by a few. This can be explained by the different operating conditions between research laboratories and industrial applications. Current commercial membranes are used under high pressure, and high concentrations of plasticizing impurities such as water, BTEX aromatics (The term “BTEX aromatics” refers to benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylene), and other heavy hydrocarbons [10]. Performance stability, chemical resistance, and low capital cost should also be incorporated into the criteria of producing industrially available membranes [20].
In particular, cellulose acetate, polyimides and perfluoropolymers are commercially available polymers used for fabricating CO\textsubscript{2} removal membranes \cite{10}. The performance of CA-based membranes, and a range of polyimide membranes, have been summarized in detail by Scholes et al. \cite{38} and will not be discussed in this review.

Throughout this paper, the term polyimide represents aromatic polyimide. Aromatic polyimides contain the imide group—a constitutional unit in the polymer backbone (illustrated in Figure 2). It is a linear and heterocyclic aromatic polymer, widely used to synthesize films, fibers, molding powders, coatings, and composites. Its outstanding heat resistance, relatively high resistance to chemical solvents, strong mechanical strength, and high selectivity in major gas pairs (such as CO\textsubscript{2}/CH\textsubscript{4} and O\textsubscript{2}/N\textsubscript{2}), make polyimide an attractive candidate amid many polymeric membranes. Since the manufacture of commercial polyimides membranes, many have been developed for gas separation, and used in the separation process by the DuPont (USA) and Ube (Japan) industries \cite{39}.

![Figure 2. Chemical structure of polyimides.](image)

Strong plasticization resistance is required in several gas separation applications such as CO\textsubscript{2}/CH\textsubscript{4}, propylene/propane, and butadiene/butane separations, which can be attributed to the presence of highly sorbing components. The CO\textsubscript{2}/CH\textsubscript{4} separation containing CO\textsubscript{2} sorbates, is more frequently encountered in industrial applications such as high-pressure natural gas sweetening and enhanced oil recovery (EOR). Hence, there is a growing concern over the development of polyimide membranes with a reduced plasticization effect and minimal loss of selectivity. Numerous studies over the past decade have been carried out to investigate the mechanisms and suppression methods of plasticization \cite{40}.

### 1.3. Plasticization in Polyimides

Plasticization is usually defined as the increased segmentation mobility of polymer chains \cite{41}. CO\textsubscript{2} permeability will rise with increased feed pressure after a critical point, which is generally regarded as the mark of being plasticized. Simultaneously, an undesired loss of gas pair selectivity is often observed. Under the high pressure of CO\textsubscript{2}, the polymer matrix swells, subsequently resulting in possible free volume changes and inter-chain spacing. Consequently, the mobility of the polymer segments increases, thus weakening the size-sieving ability of polyimide membranes. The plasticization phenomena can be demonstrated by the obvious loss of selectivity. Therefore, developing membrane materials that can maintain gas selectivity in the presence of aggressive feed streams is of utmost importance.

Some intriguing methods for prominently improving the plasticization resistance of polyimide membranes are illustrated in this article. Among them, crosslinking, such as thermal crosslink, diamine crosslink, diol crosslink, semi-interpenetrating networks, ultraviolet (UV) crosslink, and hydrogen bonding, is a practical and widely used method described in the literature. Furthermore, mixed matrix membranes (MMMs) or polymer blending have also been proposed to resist plasticization.

This review mainly focuses on approaches to suppress the notorious CO\textsubscript{2}-induced plasticization of polyimide membranes. Furthermore, the mechanism, attractive advantages, and unavoidable weakness of each method are specified in the corresponding sections and illustrated in some cited literature. Some recent achievements and representative work are discussed in detail. It is fundamental to understand plasticization mechanisms and principles in order to design polyimide membranes with...
less plasticization effect. In the end, a promising prospect is provided to instruct further research and propose some constructive suggestions.

2. Plasticization Mechanism

Plasticization often takes place in the presence of highly condensable gases (such as CO$_2$), especially under aggressive conditions (like high pressure and low temperature). There have been numerous studies on plasticization during the separation process of mixed gases such as CO$_2$/CH$_4$, propylene/propane [42–46], and ethylene/ethane [47,48]. This review mainly focuses on the CO$_2$-induced plasticization phenomenon, which usually happens during the natural gas sweetening process where CO$_2$ acts as a plasticizer.

Plasticization can be observed through multiple measures. The most commonly used approach is monitoring CO$_2$ permeability while increasing CO$_2$ feed pressure to observe the changes in polymer chain segmental mobility. During the gas permeation process, CO$_2$ permeability coefficients of most glassy polyimides will descend first due to the saturation of Langmuir sites. The polymer matrix will swell when CO$_2$ concentrations reach a critical point. Then, an obvious acceleration in gas permeability is observed with increasing CO$_2$ partial pressure [49] (Figure 3 [41]). Feed pressure at the minimum point of a CO$_2$ permeability curve is commonly defined as the plasticization pressure, which is an important indicator of plasticization. Simultaneously, a loss in gas pair selectivity occurs.

Figure 3. Mixed gas separation characteristics for 6FDA-6FpDA using 50:50 CO$_2$/CH$_4$ mixtures at 35 °C [41]. Notes: 6FDA, 4,4′-(hexafluoroisopropylidene)diphthalic anhydride; and 6FpDA, 4,4′-(9-fluorenylidene) bis (2-methyl-6-isopropylaniline).

Changes in the physical properties of membranes such as glass transition temperature ($T_g$), membrane thickness, and refractive index, can also indicate plasticization [50]. However, the occurrence of one sign is not necessarily accompanied by changes in other chemical or physical properties. In the case of polyethersulfone (PES), for example, although the decreased CO$_2$/CH$_4$ selectivity indicates that the polymer is plasticized, CO$_2$ permeability at 25 °C decreases by 54% as the CO$_2$ feed pressure increases from 0 to 27 atm [51]. This indicates that polymer matrix plasticization will not necessarily lead to an increase in permeability of all gases.

The polymer matrix can absorb a certain amount of CO$_2$ at modest pressure, leading to a significant reduction in the glass transition temperature [52]. In the range of low pressure, decrease in the permeability coefficient with increasing partial pressure is caused by the diminution of the solubility coefficient. In the range of high pressure, the positive correlation between the permeability coefficient and partial pressure is typical plasticizing behavior, which cannot be described by the dual mode mobility model [53].

After a systematic study of the plasticizing phenomena caused by CO$_2$ in 11 different glassy polymers, Bos et al. believed that the plasticization of a glass polymer could be defined as a function of the increase in the CO$_2$ permeability coefficient to the pressure of the feed gas, and the minimum pressure required to increase the permeability coefficient was defined as the plasticizing pressure [54].
It is generally believed that the diffusion coefficient increases when CO$_2$ concentrations exceed the critical value required by plasticization (namely, when the feed pressure exceeds the plasticizing pressure), subsequently leading to the increment of the permeability coefficient [55]. For glass polymers, the plasticization phenomenon occurs when polymer CO$_2$ concentrations reach critical levels. However, the critical pressure is polymer-dependent. Plasticization pressures of different polyimide membranes reported in the literature are listed in Table 4.

Plasticization induces chain relaxation and results in increased diffusivity of all gases in the feed mixture. Therefore, the reduction in membrane selectivity can be observed. A plasticization problem is that it can be inhibited by limiting the flexibility of polymer segments. There are many approaches that are currently being used to inhibit polymer segment flexibility, which are critically discussed in the subsequent sections.
Table 4. Different plasticization pressures reported in the literature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CL Method</th>
<th>SU-1 or BC-1</th>
<th>SU-2 or BC-2</th>
<th>SU-3 or BC-3</th>
<th>Crosslinker or Fillers</th>
<th>Plasticization Pressure (atm)</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Decarboxylation CL</td>
<td>6FDA</td>
<td>DAM</td>
<td>DABA</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>~34.02</td>
<td>[56]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decarboxylation CL</td>
<td>6FDA</td>
<td>DAM</td>
<td>DABA</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[57]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decarboxylation CL</td>
<td>6FDA</td>
<td>CADA1</td>
<td>BTDA</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>[58]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decarboxylation CL</td>
<td>/CADA2 /DSDA</td>
<td>/CADA2 /DSDA</td>
<td>/CADA2 /DSDA</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[58]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat at 350 °C</td>
<td>Matrimid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR</td>
<td>Matrimid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20 (partial pressure)</td>
<td>[62]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TR</td>
<td>6FDA</td>
<td>HAB</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;25 (fugacity)</td>
<td>[63]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diamino</td>
<td>6FDA</td>
<td>durene</td>
<td></td>
<td>EDA</td>
<td>48.99</td>
<td>[64]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diamino</td>
<td>6FDA</td>
<td>durene</td>
<td></td>
<td>CHBA</td>
<td>48.99</td>
<td>[65]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diamino</td>
<td>6FDA</td>
<td>Matrimid</td>
<td></td>
<td>p-xylendiamine</td>
<td>&gt;32</td>
<td>[67]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diol</td>
<td>6FDA</td>
<td>mPD</td>
<td>DABA</td>
<td>EG</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>[19]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diol</td>
<td>6FDA</td>
<td>6FpDA</td>
<td>DABA</td>
<td>EG</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[41]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diol</td>
<td>6FDA</td>
<td>DAM</td>
<td>DABA</td>
<td>CHDM</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[68]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diol</td>
<td>6FDA</td>
<td>DAM</td>
<td>DABA</td>
<td>EG/CHDM</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>[69]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semi-IPN</td>
<td>Matrimid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Therimid FA-700</td>
<td>&gt;49.35</td>
<td>[70]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semi-IPN</td>
<td>Matrimid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DCFT</td>
<td>&gt;30</td>
<td>[71]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semi-IPN</td>
<td>6FDA</td>
<td>NDA</td>
<td>TMPDA</td>
<td>azide</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>[72]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ionic</td>
<td>6FDA</td>
<td>durene</td>
<td></td>
<td>N,N’-dimethylpiperazine</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>[73]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMMs</td>
<td>6FDA</td>
<td>durene</td>
<td>DABA</td>
<td>ZIF-8</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>[74]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMMs</td>
<td>m-PS</td>
<td></td>
<td>DABA</td>
<td>ZIF-8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[75]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMMs</td>
<td>6FDA</td>
<td>durene</td>
<td></td>
<td>zeolite T</td>
<td>19.74</td>
<td>[76]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMMs</td>
<td>Matrimid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MIL-53(Al)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMMs</td>
<td>Matrimid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cu3BTC2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMMs</td>
<td>6FDA</td>
<td>DAT</td>
<td>durene</td>
<td>Ni2(dobdc)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[78]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blending</td>
<td>Matrimid</td>
<td>PSF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>35</td>
<td>[79]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blending</td>
<td>Matrimid</td>
<td>PI4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>14.80</td>
<td>[80]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blending</td>
<td>Matrimid</td>
<td>pPIM-1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>[81]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blending</td>
<td>Torlon</td>
<td>cPIM-1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>[82]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In this table: CL, crosslink; SU, structure unit; BC, blending component; TR, thermal rearrangement; DAM, 2,4,6-trimethyl-1,3-phenylenediamine; DABA, 3,5-diaminobenzoic acid; CADA1, carboxylic acid-containing diamine with -CF3 group; CADA2, carboxylic acid-containing diamine with -H group; BTDA, 3,3’,4,4’-benzophenonetetracarboxylic dianhydride; DSDA, 3,3’,4,4’-diphenylsulfonetetracarboxylic dianhydride; MPP, 3,3-bis[4-(4-amino-3-methylphenoxy)phenyl]phthalide; PP, 3,3-bis[4-(4-aminophenoxy)phenyl]phthalide; DAT, 2,6-diaminotriptycene; DATCA, 2,6-diaminotriptycene-14-carboxylic acid; HAB, 3,3’-dihydroxy-4,4’-diamino-biphenyl; EDA, 1,2-ethylene diamine; CHBA, 1,3-cyclohexanebis (methylamine); PAMAM, polyamidoamine; DAB, dianinobutane; mPD, m-phenylene diamine; EG, ethylene glycol; CHDM, cyclohexane-1,4-diyldimethanol; DCFT, phenolphthalein dicyanate; NDA, 1,5-naphthalenediamine; TMPDA, 2,3,5,6-tetramethyl-1,4-phenylenediamine; ZIF-8, zeolite imidazole framework-8; m-PS, waste polystyrene; MIL-53(Al), aluminum terephthalate; Cu3BTC2, copper benzene-1,3,5-tricarboxylate; PSF, polysulfone; and cPIM-1, carboxylated polymer of intrinsic microporosity-1.
3. Methods to Reduce CO₂-Induced Plasticization

Extensive studies have been carried out and have successfully achieved suppression of polyimide membrane plasticization. Many criteria are available to classify these approaches, which are considerably involved in the formation of crosslink networks. Some crosslink networks are formed firmly by physical bonds such as hydrogen bonds, while others are formed by chemical bonds. A wide range of methods can achieve chemical bonds of polymer chains such as thermal treatment, chemical crosslinking using diamine or diol, formation of semi-interpenetrating networks, and UV crosslinking. Crosslinking is a practical and widely used approach to inhibit the plasticization of membrane materials. Some typical technologies of crosslinking are introduced in the following sections.

3.1. Thermal-Induced Crosslink

Rapidly quenching polyimide polymers can significantly suppress undesirable plasticization and introduce excess free volume into the polyimide matrix, thereby leading to greater stabilization in typical solvents. To illustrate, aromatic carboxylic acids along backbones can be dehydrated to form anhydride, which is subsequently decomposed into aryl radicals. A hydrogen atom will be abstracted from the backbone to bond covalently with the aryl radical, which is likely to occur in specific reactive sites.

Steric hindrance of both functional and pendant groups must be taken into consideration while designing the crosslink points, since some structures (such as –CF₃) are too large for steric reactivity. Fine-tuning crosslink networks is not possible using this method, because the sites and extent of the crosslink are not measurable or quantifiable as a result of the non-definitive and insufficiently understood mechanism.

Matrimid 5218 has been utilized as the model polyimide to investigate the plasticization phenomenon [61]. After thermal treatment at 350 °C, the 15 and 30 min heat-treated Matrimid films did not plasticize in the whole range of CO₂ feed pressure (up to about 40 bar, i.e., 39.48 atm). Noticeably, CO₂ permeability gradually approached a limiting constant value with increasing feed pressure. All thermally-treated films became insoluble. Upon pressure elevation, the 15 and 30 min heat-treated films showed up to 11% and 30% higher selectivity, respectively, compared with the untreated membranes. This can be attributed to the densification of the polymer matrix.

3.1.1. Decarboxylation-Induced Crosslinking

A novel polyimide 6FDA-DAM-DABA (2:1) has been successfully synthesized [56]. The sample quenched from above Tₘ exhibited enhanced plasticization resistance, where plasticization pressure is about 34.02 atm (500 psi). Quenching polyimide brings a large free volume into the polyimide matrix and endows it with enhanced plasticization resistance. Through decarboxylation at high temperature (slightly below the Tₘ), thermally crosslinked polyimide linked by covalent bonds has more free volume compared to diol or diamine crosslinking agents. Thermal gravimetric analyzer (TGA) and C¹³-NMR (nuclear magnetic resonance) measurements prove that the free acid polymer undergoes decarboxylation under such conditions. Then, the residual aryl radicals react through some generated active sites to form the crosslinked structure. Figure 4 shows possible crosslinking sites through diamines in the free acid polymer.

The 6FDA-DAM:DABA(3:2) polyimide was prepared by tuning the proportion of monomers in order to study the effect of thermal treatment on plasticization resistance [57]. The results revealed that thermally crosslinked membranes showed no signs of plasticization up to 47.63 atm (700 psi) for pure CO₂ gas, or 1000 psi for 50/50 CO₂/CH₄ mixed-gas separation.
Triptycene—a rigid three-dimensional (3D) symmetric structure with a 120° included angle of two benzene rings—can dramatically disturb polymer chain packing and enlarge polymer free volumes. Therefore, introducing triptycene building units into polymer chains should enhance permeability performance. Three triptycene-based polymers, including 6FDA-DAT, 6FDA-DAT/DATCA (9:1), and 6FDA-DAT/DATCA (8:2), were synthesized by our group [60] (DAT, 2,6-diaminotriptycene; and DATCA, 2,6-diaminotriptycene-14-carboxylic acid). Figure 5 shows chemical structures of 6FDA-DAT and 6FDA-DAT/DATCA. No plasticization was observed for crosslinked 6FDA-DAT/DATCA (9:1) and 6FDA-DAT/DATCA (8:2) at CO2 pressure up to 30 atm for pure gas, and a partial CO2 pressure up to 20 atm for (CO2:CH4 1:1) mixed gases. In addition, 6FDA-DAT/DATCA (9:1)-450 had the highest CO2 pure gas permeability of 305.8 Barrer with a CO2/CH4 ideal selectivity of 27.8, while 6FDA-DAT/DATCA (8:2)-350 had the highest CO2/CH4 ideal selectivity of 43.7 with a CO2 pure gas permeability of 58.5 Barrer.

Two carboxylic acid-containing diamines, CADA1 and CADA2, were successfully synthesized to polymerize with 6FDA, BTDA, and DSDA, respectively [58]. The synthesis route is illustrated in Figure 6. The CO2-induced plasticization phenomenon was not observed at a CO2 pressure up to 30 atm for 6FDA-CADA1-425, which had a CO2 permeability of 917.4 Barrer with a CO2/CH4 ideal selectivity of 28.11.

Figure 4. Possible crosslinking sites through diamines in the free acid polymer: (A) through the DAM methyl; (B) biphenyl crosslink; and (C) at the cleaved CF3 site [56].
The 6FDA-DAM:DABA(3:2) polyimide was prepared by tuning the proportion of monomers in order to study the effect of thermal treatment on plasticization resistance [57]. The results revealed that thermally crosslinked membranes showed no signs of plasticization up to 47.63 atm (700 psi) for pure CO2 gas, or 1000 psi for 50/50 CO2/CH4 mixed-gas separation.

Triptycene—a rigid three-dimensional (3D) symmetric structure with a 120° included angle of two benzene rings—can dramatically disturb polymer chain packing and enlarge polymer free volumes. Therefore, introducing triptycene building units into polymer chains should enhance permeability performance. Three triptycene-based polymers, including 6FDA-DAT, 6FDA-DAT/DATCA (9:1), and 6FDA-DAT/DATCA (8:2), were synthesized by our group [60] (DAT, 2,6-diaminotriptycene; and DATCA, 2,6-diaminotriptycene-14-carboxylic acid). Figure 5 shows chemical structures of 6FDA-DAT and 6FDA-DAT/DATCA. No plasticization was observed for crosslinked 6FDA-DAT/DATCA (9:1) and 6FDA-DAT/DATCA (8:2) at CO2 pressure up to 30 atm for pure gas, and a partial CO2 pressure up to 20 atm for (CO2:CH4 1:1) mixed gases. In addition, 6FDA-DAT/DATCA (9:1)-450 had the highest CO2 pure gas permeability of 305.8 Barrer with a CO2/CH4 ideal selectivity of 27.8, while 6FDA-DAT/DATCA (8:2)-350 had the highest CO2/CH4 ideal selectivity of 43.7 with a CO2 pure gas permeability of 58.5 Barrer.

Figure 5. Chemical structures of (a) 6FDA-DAT; (b) 6FDA-DAT/DATCA; and (c) estimated distance (6.69 Å) between the crosslinked chain segments of 6FDA-DAT/DATCA (energy of chain conformations was minimized using Material Studio 7.0) [60].

Two carboxylic acid-containing diamines, CADA1 and CADA2, were successfully synthesized to polymerize with 6FDA, BTDA, and DSDA, respectively [58]. The synthesis route is illustrated in Figure 6. The CO2-induced plasticization phenomenon was not observed at a CO2 pressure up to 30 atm for 6FDA-CADA1-425, which had a CO2 permeability of 917.4 Barrer with a CO2/CH4 ideal selectivity of 28.11.

Figure 6. (a) Synthesis routes of dinitro monomers, diamines as well as and 6FDA-, BTDA-, and DSDA-based carboxylic acid-containing polyimides, (b) and (c) show the energy-minimized chain conformations of 6FDA-CADA1 and 6FDA-CADA2 polymers, respectively (using Material Studio 7.0) [58].

In the above work, the temperature sufficient to complete the crosslink reaction was 425 ºC, which is higher than the T_g of most polymers. Therefore, the follow-up objective was to lower the crosslinking temperature, by adopting two phenolphthalein-based polyimides, 6FDA-MPP and 6FDA-PP [59]. Figure 7 shows chemical structures of 6FDA-CADA2, 6FDA-MPP, and 6FDA-PP. No plasticization
was observed in the 6FDA-MPP-400 and 6FDA-PP-400 polyimides at a CO\textsubscript{2} pressure up to 30.62 atm (450 psi). The results found that 6FDA-MPP-400 obtained the best performance with a CO\textsubscript{2}/CH\textsubscript{4} ideal selectivity of 39.2 and a CO\textsubscript{2} permeability of 193.8 Barrer.

**Figure 7.** Chemical structures of (a) 6FDA-CADA2 [26], and (b) 6FDA-MPP, 6FDA-PP [59].

Figure 8 illustrates two different mechanisms, revealing how polymer chains crosslink under thermal treatment. The free carboxylic acid groups exist along the polymer chains, allowing the polymers to go through a series of changes (Figure 8a). Polymers containing lactone rings are supposed to cleave their lactone ring, which directly decomposes causing polymer chain breaks. Such types of crosslink reactions occur in the presence of oxygen (heated in air atmosphere). This thermal oxidative crosslinking method is widely applied during the preparation of carbon molecular sieve (CMS) membranes. In our current work, the crosslinking temperature of the lactone ring containing polyimides significantly decreased to 350 °C, which is lower than the pre-polymer’s glass transition temperature. If the lactone ring-based polyimide is adopted to spin hollow fiber membranes, the porous substrate of the membrane will be preserved during thermal oxidative crosslinking.

### 3.1.2. Thermally Rearranged (TR) Polymers

Thermally rearranged (TR) polymers are highly permeable polymers that, at high temperatures, convert polyimide or polyamide into heterocyclic polybenzothiazole (PBT) or polybenzoxazole (PBO). It was originally proposed that polyimides containing ortho-positioned functional groups (for example –OH) can be used to prepare rearranged polymers with altered chain packing, with confined spatial location due to the thermal rearrangement [62]. Figure 9 shows changes in conformation–polymer chains and spatial relocation due to chain rearrangement in confinement. As a result, TR polymers show excellent resistance to plasticization at CO\textsubscript{2} partial pressure as high as 20 atm. In contrast to glassy polymers, TR polymer membranes do not exhibit substantially reduced selectivity, even at elevated CO\textsubscript{2} fugacity (~15 atm).

Some TR polymers show strong resistance to plasticization. For the polyimide synthesized from 3,3′-dihydroxy-4,4′-diamino-biphenyl (HAB) and 6FDA (hexafluoroisopropylidene-diphtalic anhydride), pure CO\textsubscript{2} permeation curves pass through a minimum pressure at about 20 atm, which then begins to increase [63]. However, when the HAB–6FDA polyimide precursor were converted to polybenzoxazole (PBO) by heating under flowing N\textsubscript{2}, plasticization pressure points were not observed for CO\textsubscript{2} up to 25 atm. In addition, more TR conversion would result in a higher permeability of CO\textsubscript{2} and CH\textsubscript{4}, as well as higher plasticization fugacity than those of the polyimide.
Processes 2018, 6, x FOR PEER REVIEW 13 of 32

Figure 8 illustrates two different mechanisms, revealing how polymer chains crosslink under confinement, which may lead to the generation of free-volume elements [62].

Figure 9. (A) Changes in conformation–polymer chains consisting of meta- and/or para-linked chain conformations can be created via rearrangement. (B) Spatial relocation due to chain rearrangement in confinement, which may lead to the generation of free-volume elements [62].
3.2. Chemical Crosslinking

When polymer chains are chemically crosslinked by covalent bonds, the crosslink networks are quite stable in harsh environments and can sufficiently inhibit the rotation of polymer chains. Polyimides contain many activated sites on polymer chains that are able to establish covalent bonds. Typical reactive sites are imide bonds. In theory, all polyimides can be crosslinked on their imide groups and show improved plasticization resistance. Crosslink networks can also be tailored according to the characteristic functional group of specific polymers. This method with an encouraging prospect is shown in Section 3.2.1.

Beyond using imide bonds as crosslinking sites, the crosslinking reaction can also take place through reactive functional groups and other crosslinking agents as introduced in Sections 3.2.2–3.2.4.

3.2.1. Polyamine Crosslinking

Imide bonds in polyimide membranes can react with specific diamine monomers to cleave the imide ring, thereby crosslinking the polymer chains. This is a promising approach to crosslink polyimide membranes, which possess the imide bond. Introducing amine bonds further introduces improved properties such as hydrophilicity and stability in solvents.

To collectively optimize the permeability and selectivity, the molecular structure and steric constraints must be considered as key factors. In addition, different kinds of diamines react distinctly when crosslinked with polyimide chains. Some factors that are less related to diamines themselves, may also play critical roles in the performance of polyimide membranes such as side-reactions and degree of crosslinking.

Previous work has reported on the crosslinking of monomeric and polymeric diamines with polyimide membranes. Figure 10 illustrates some diamines acting as the crosslinkers reported in the literature. Monomeric diamines fall into two classes: aliphatic and aromatic diamines.

![Figure 10. Diamine monomers used in the literature.](image)

This crosslinking method is often followed by thermal treatment to enhance CO$_2$ plasticization resistance. However, thermal treatment may lead to the release of diamine monomers and decomposition of the crosslinked polymer networks.

Diamine-Monomer Crosslinking

Shao et al. chose 1,2-ethylene diamine (EDA)—a linear aliphatic diamine—to prepare crosslinked 6FDA-durene, and then treated the membrane material with thermal annealing at 250 °C [64]. The modified membrane material exhibited plasticization pressure at 48.99 atm (720 psi), while the original 6FDA-durene membrane was plasticized by CO$_2$ at 300 psi. The imide bonds reacted with EDA, resulting in imide ring cleavage. EDA monomers then connected two polymer chains through their amide bonds. The insertion of crosslinking agents into polymer chains significantly decreased the...
d-space, bringing about the structure-tightening effect. Figure 11 shows possible reaction mechanisms during 1,2-ethylene diamine (EDA)-induced cross-linking and thermal annealing.

\[
\text{Cross-linking} \quad \xrightarrow{H_2N} \quad \text{Annealing}
\]

**Figure 11.** Possible reaction mechanisms during 1,2-ethylene diamine (EDA)-induced cross-linking and thermal annealing [64].

The subsequent thermal annealing triggers the imidization reaction of crosslinking networks, accompanied by EDA release at specific temperatures. Higher thermal annealing temperatures achieve stronger plasticization resistance.

At the specific high temperature stage, coupling effects of EDA crosslinking and thermal annealing accelerate the formation of charge transfer complexes (CTCs). As a consequence, polymer chain mobility decreases, the membrane matrix becomes denser, and the membranes’ color changes from transparent to yellow. Shao et al. ultimately found two approaches to enhance the anti-plasticization properties: (1) A shorter EDA crosslinking time followed by a higher annealing temperature, or (2) a longer EDA crosslinking time followed by a lower annealing temperature.

It is a remarkable fact that a single treatment, polyamine crosslinking or heating, cannot strongly suppress the plasticization. Plasticization resistance will be enhanced only if the polymers are crosslinked by polyamine molecules first, followed by thermal treatment. This is proven by several experimental phenomena. The original 6FDA-durene was still transparent after heating at 250 °C in vacuum, which indicates that 250 °C thermal annealing on the original 6FDA-durene could not induce CTC formation. Compared to the original, easier membrane plasticization was achieved after 1-min EDA cross-linking. In summary, only the coupling effects of EDA cross-linking and thermal annealing may facilitate the formation of CTCs in bulk 6FDA-durene, to achieve the desired anti-plasticization effect.

6FDA-durene membranes can also be crosslinked with 1,3-cyclohexanebis (methylamine) (CHBA); the modified membranes showed no obvious plasticization up to 48.99 atm (720 psi) [65]. The reaction mechanism during the chemical crosslinking and thermal annealing is similar to membranes modified by EDA. Although the thermal treatment regenerates imide groups from amide groups in the crosslinked networks, polyimide membranes micro-structures exhibit irreversible changes. Unlike EDA-modified membranes, crosslinking in the CHBA/methanol solution facilitates the diffusion of
crosslinking agents through the membranes to react with the bulky body, because methanol swells the membranes. Thermal annealing almost homogeneously changes the chemical composition and structure of the crosslinked polyimide matrix. Therefore, in this case, membranes are not only modified on the surface, but also in the body. Diamine monomers open the main chains in the membranes’ matrix, increasing polymer chain flexibility. This relative flexibility tends to achieve configurational rearrangement and forms CTCs, especially at higher temperatures (200 °C in this case). Figure 12 shows charge transfer complex model of 6FDA-durene polyimides. Thermal annealing induces the regeneration of chemical composition from amide groups to imide groups. However, the formation of CTCs restricts the mobility of polymer chains. These two factors contribute to enhancing anti-plasticization characteristics.

The commercially available polyimide, Matrimid, can be crosslinked with \( p \)-xylenediamine to restrict plasticization [67]. The permeability of 30-day crosslinked membranes remains constant in the whole range of CO\(_2\) feed pressure (from 3.55 to 32.4 atm). The crosslink reaction can be detected by measuring the gel content. Compared with fluoropolyimides such as 6FDA-durene, Matrimid has less free volume and swelling degree in methanol [83]. Therefore, it takes the Matrimid membrane matrix longer to swell in methanol. Furthermore, membrane swelling in methanol is the rate-determining step, thus the crosslinking rate of Matrimid is much lower than those of fluoropolyimides.

Amine-Tetramer, Dendrimer Crosslinking

Diamines and tetramines—dendrimers containing four free amine groups—can be used as crosslinkers such as polyamidoamine (PAMAM) and diaminobutane (DAB). Their chemical structures are shown in Figure 13.

Figure 12. Charge transfer complex model of 6FDA-durene polyimides [65].
which may produce a heterodispersed population of compounds with lower molecular weights. A high temperature treatment induces a higher degree of crosslinking, which tightens the polymer chains and limits intersegmental chain mobility. Therefore, thermal treatments at 120 °C offer better plasticization resistance than simple immersion modifications. Interestingly, modified films treated at 250 °C exhibited stronger plasticization compared with the original polyimide films. The most likely explanation is that the 250 °C treatment rebuilds the imide ring in the main polymer backbone, and enhances chain rigidity. At the same time, CTCs formation between neighboring polyimide chains helps decrease CO₂ sorption, reduce chain mobility, and stabilizes the structure.

Although many polyamine-crosslinked polyimide membranes have been successfully synthesized, the plasticization response has not been reported, indicating a need for further research in this area. Interestingly, investigating the effect of crosslinking reagents on different polyimides has been made [84].

Immersing the membrane in a dendrimer-methanol solution can induce polymer chains crosslink. Chung et al. used PAMAM to crosslink 6FDA-durene membranes [85]. Compared with diamines, with two functional end groups, the bulk teramines exert a space-filling effect on the polymer chains to reduce d-spacing, which leads to free volume decrease. Furthermore, due to the steric hindrance of the large dendrimer molecules, it takes more time for them to diffuse into the polymer matrix. This may result in asymmetric crosslinking throughout the bulky membrane matrix. In addition, the large molecular size makes the decreasing rate of the diffusion coefficients of PAMAM crosslinked polymers significantly slower than using other crosslinking agents containing amino groups. The initial increase in gas solubility with immersion time may be attributed to two primary amine groups meeting the imide groups in the polyimide backbone. However, high temperature treatments induce significant dendrimer decomposition at the amide linkage, leading to crosslinked structure breakdown. They also result in the degradation of polyimide, which may produce a heterodispersed population of compounds with lower molecular weights. A high temperature treatment induces a higher degree of crosslinking, which tightens the polymer chains and limits intersegmental chain mobility. Therefore, thermal treatments at 120 °C offer better plasticization resistance than simple immersion modifications. Interestingly, modified films treated at 250 °C exhibited stronger plasticization compared with the original polyimide films. The most likely explanation is that the 250 °C treatment rebuilds the imide ring in the main polymer backbone, and enhances chain rigidity. At the same time, CTCs formation between neighboring polyimide chains helps decrease CO₂ sorption, reduce chain mobility, and stabilizes the structure.

Although many polyamine-crosslinked polyimide membranes have been successfully synthesized, the plasticization response has not been reported, indicating a need for further research in this area. Interestingly, investigating the effect of crosslinking reagents on different polyimides has been made [84].

Immersing the membrane in a dendrimer-methanol solution can induce polymer chains crosslink. Chung et al. used PAMAM to crosslink 6FDA-durene membranes [85]. Compared with diamines, with two functional end groups, the bulk teramines exert a space-filling effect on the polymer chains to reduce d-spacing, which leads to free volume decrease. Furthermore, due to the steric hindrance of the large dendrimer molecules, it takes more time for them to diffuse into the polymer matrix. This may result in asymmetric crosslinking throughout the bulky membrane matrix. In addition, the large molecular size makes the decreasing rate of the diffusion coefficients of PAMAM crosslinked polymers significantly slower than using other crosslinking agents containing amino groups. The initial increase in gas solubility with immersion time may be attributed to two primary amine groups meeting the imide groups in the polyimide backbone. However, high temperature treatments induce significant dendrimer decomposition at the amide linkage, leading to crosslinked structure breakdown. They also result in the degradation of polyimide, which may produce a heterodispersed population of compounds with lower molecular weights. A high temperature treatment induces a higher degree of crosslinking, which tightens the polymer chains and limits intersegmental chain mobility. Therefore, thermal treatments at 120 °C offer better plasticization resistance than simple immersion modifications. Interestingly, modified films treated at 250 °C exhibited stronger plasticization compared with the original polyimide films. The most likely explanation is that the 250 °C treatment rebuilds the imide ring in the main polymer backbone, and enhances chain rigidity. At the same time, CTCs formation between neighboring polyimide chains helps decrease CO₂ sorption, reduce chain mobility, and stabilizes the structure.

Although many polyamine-crosslinked polyimide membranes have been successfully synthesized, the plasticization response has not been reported, indicating a need for further research in this area. Interestingly, investigating the effect of crosslinking reagents on different polyimides has been made [84].

Immersing the membrane in a dendrimer-methanol solution can induce polymer chains crosslink. Chung et al. used PAMAM to crosslink 6FDA-durene membranes [85]. Compared with diamines, with two functional end groups, the bulk teramines exert a space-filling effect on the polymer chains to reduce d-spacing, which leads to free volume decrease. Furthermore, due to the steric hindrance of the large dendrimer molecules, it takes more time for them to diffuse into the polymer matrix. This may result in asymmetric crosslinking throughout the bulky membrane matrix. In addition, the large molecular size makes the decreasing rate of the diffusion coefficients of PAMAM crosslinked polymers significantly slower than using other crosslinking agents containing amino groups. The initial increase in gas solubility with immersion time may be attributed to two primary amine groups meeting the imide groups in the polyimide backbone. However, high temperature treatments induce significant dendrimer decomposition at the amide linkage, leading to crosslinked structure breakdown. They also result in the degradation of polyimide, which may produce a heterodispersed population of compounds with lower molecular weights. A high temperature treatment induces a higher degree of crosslinking, which tightens the polymer chains and limits intersegmental chain mobility. Therefore, thermal treatments at 120 °C offer better plasticization resistance than simple immersion modifications. Interestingly, modified films treated at 250 °C exhibited stronger plasticization compared with the original polyimide films. The most likely explanation is that the 250 °C treatment rebuilds the imide ring in the main polymer backbone, and enhances chain rigidity. At the same time, CTCs formation between neighboring polyimide chains helps decrease CO₂ sorption, reduce chain mobility, and stabilizes the structure.

Although many polyamine-crosslinked polyimide membranes have been successfully synthesized, the plasticization response has not been reported, indicating a need for further research in this area. Interestingly, investigating the effect of crosslinking reagents on different polyimides has been made [84].

Immersing the membrane in a dendrimer-methanol solution can induce polymer chains crosslink. Chung et al. used PAMAM to crosslink 6FDA-durene membranes [85]. Compared with diamines, with two functional end groups, the bulk teramines exert a space-filling effect on the polymer chains to reduce d-spacing, which leads to free volume decrease. Furthermore, due to the steric hindrance of the large dendrimer molecules, it takes more time for them to diffuse into the polymer matrix. This may result in asymmetric crosslinking throughout the bulky membrane matrix. In addition, the large molecular size makes the decreasing rate of the diffusion coefficients of PAMAM crosslinked polymers significantly slower than using other crosslinking agents containing amino groups. The initial increase in gas solubility with immersion time may be attributed to two primary amine groups meeting the imide groups in the polyimide backbone. However, high temperature treatments induce significant dendrimer decomposition at the amide linkage, leading to crosslinked structure breakdown. They also result in the degradation of polyimide, which may produce a heterodispersed population of compounds with lower molecular weights. A high temperature treatment induces a higher degree of crosslinking, which tightens the polymer chains and limits intersegmental chain mobility. Therefore, thermal treatments at 120 °C offer better plasticization resistance than simple immersion modifications. Interestingly, modified films treated at 250 °C exhibited stronger plasticization compared with the original polyimide films. The most likely explanation is that the 250 °C treatment rebuilds the imide ring in the main polymer backbone, and enhances chain rigidity. At the same time, CTCs formation between neighboring polyimide chains helps decrease CO₂ sorption, reduce chain mobility, and stabilizes the structure.
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in gas solubility with immersion time may be attributed to two factors: one is that PAMAM contains all kinds of amine groups such as –NH₂, –NH–, or –N<. These amines groups have strong interactions with CO₂, resulting in the increase of CO₂ solubility of polyimides; the other possible reason is that the big molecular size of dendrimers may slightly increase the interstitial space, which may result in greater adsorption. However, the plasticization response was not reported in this work.

The effect of modification time and the generations of the PAMAM dendrimer on the properties of modified polyimide films has been reported [86]. When it is only dried at room temperature, some free primary amine groups for the loaded PAMAM dendrimers remain on the surface of polyimide films.

DAB dendrimers, a crosslinking agent for polyimides, can perform crosslinking reactions at room temperature and improve gas separation performance of polyimide membranes, especially for H₂/N₂, He/N₂, H₂/CO₂ [87].

Polyamine Crosslinking

Compared with monomer polyamines, there have been fewer investigations on crosslinking polyimide membranes by polymeric polyamine. For instance, poly(propylene glycol) block poly(ethylene glycol) block poly(propylene glycol) diamine (PPG/PEG/PPGDA) was successfully employed to chemically crosslink Matrimid 5218 at room temperature [88].

Brief Summary

Based on the above discussion, some insights can be given into polyamine crosslinking. Only the integration of thermal annealing with polyamine crosslinking can significantly improve plasticization resistance. As polyamine crosslinking reduces the interchain spacing, the polymer chains become closer to each other. Thermal annealing enhances chain mobility, so more CTCs will be formed between the polymer chains. In conclusion, the essence of this method is to facilitate the CTCs’ formation.

Finding a suitable polyamine and probing proper reaction protocols will be the future trend in polyamine crosslinking aimed improving plasticization resistance. Therefore, the molecular size, number of free primary amine groups, molecular rigidity and polarization, etc. must be taken into consideration.

To illustrate, consider the molecular size first: If the polyamine molecule is too small, it will decrease the d-space of the polymer chains, generating the decline of permeability; conversely, it takes a large polyamine molecule more time to achieve the desired crosslinking effect because its larger molecular size makes it harder to penetrate into the polyimide matrix. Therefore, a polyamine with a moderate molecular size is assumed to space apart the chains while stabilizing the membranes. They should be able to penetrate deeper into the polymer matrix and thus construct a thicker crosslinking layer on the surface of polyimide films.

3.2.2. Diol Crosslinking of Carboxylated and Sulfonated Polyimide Membranes

If the polyimides have carboxylate or sulfonate groups, they can be crosslinked by diols or polyols through esterification. Unlike amine crosslinking, some diols or polyols can suppress the plasticization, while maintaining good permeability and selectivity of the original polymers. Common diol crosslinkers are shown in Figure 14.

Similar to crosslinking by polyamines, crosslinking by diols is sometimes followed by thermal treatment. Furthermore, hydrogen bond formation cannot be negligible due to the presence of carboxyl groups along the polymer backbones. The contribution made by hydrogen bonding to plasticization suppression will be discussed in Section 3.3.
Diol Crosslinking through Carboxylic Acid Groups

Staudt-Bickel C et al. introduced –COOH groups to the polyimide backbones and crosslinked the polymer with ethylene glycol [19]. The highlight of this crosslinked polymer with exquisite structure was the little plasticization up to 35 atm pure CO₂ pressure accompanied by increased CO₂/CH₄ selectivity. The strong crosslinked 6FDA-DABA exhibited much better CO₂/CH₄ separation properties with an ideal selectivity of 87 and a CO₂ permeability of 10.4 Barrer at 3.74 atm and 308 K. 6FDA-6FpDA/DABA crosslinked with ethylene glycol (EG) can stabilize the membrane much more effectively, leading to plasticization pressure increase [41].

The cyclohexane-1,4-diylidimethanol (CHDM) monoester can also be used in esterification crosslinking. The plasticization pressure correlated with a sorbed CO₂ partial molar volume of 29 ± 2 cm³/mol in the polymer [68]. However, the exact plasticization pressure was not reported.

To find the initial principle of choosing a diol crosslinker, the effects of length and flexibility of various diol crosslinking agents has been investigated [69]. In view of high permeability with reasonable CO₂/CH₄ selectivity, 6FDA-DAM: DABA 2:1 was used as a model polymer. The esterification reaction was shown to be effective in stabilizing membranes against CO₂ plasticization up to 40 atm feed pressure. Compared with untreated 6FDA-DAMB:DABA membranes, the incorporation of DABA increased selectivity. 6FDA-DAM:DABA crosslinked with butylene glycol (BG) obtained the highest selectivity of 34 among the crosslinked samples.

6FDA-DAM:DABA and 6FDA-6FpDA:DABA copolymers have been synthesized for crosslinking with BG and CHDM [89]. CO₂ permeabilities increased by factors of 4.1 and 2.4, respectively, at 20 atm feed pressure, without loss in selectivity. Furthermore, selectivity increased with higher crosslinking density for feed pressure below 20 atm. The 6FDA-DAM:DABA 2:1 membranes crosslinked with CHDM showed a CO₂/CH₄ selectivity of 32-33, while the membranes crosslinked with BG exhibited similar selectivity performance with a CO₂/CH₄ selectivity of 33.

Diol Crosslinking through Sulfonic Acid Groups

Sulfonic acid groups along the polymer chains can react with diol molecules to form ester bonds linking the two polymer chains. The reaction mechanism of the sulfonic acid group resembles the mechanism of the carboxylic acid group discussed above. Although this crosslinking method has been successfully applied in various separation processes [90,91], no report has been found in the field of polyimide membranes in gas separation applications.

3.2.3. Semi-Interpenetrating Network

The construction of crosslink networks can be obtained from multiple types of polymer chains, and by crosslinking polymer chains with another polymer network. Some reactive monomers containing functional groups can chemically crosslink or physically interlock the linear polyimide
chains, while solely reacting with its species to form a polymer network. The introduction of these reactive monomers can tune the size and quantity of the cavities. Furthermore, the free volume distribution of the pseudo-interpenetrating networks (pseudo-IPNs) may be beneficial for the separation of small molecules by polymeric membranes, due to both the mean cavity size and the quantity of free volume influencing polymeric membranes permeability.

In situ polymerization of azido monomers tends to form oligomer networks. Simultaneously, functional groups within the network react with specific sites on polymer chains to form semi-interpenetrating networks, resulting in a membrane with no signs of plasticization up to 30 atm [72]. The chemical structure of the azide used in this paper is shown in Figure 15. Azide introduces the azido group, a linear 1,3-dipolar structure, into the membrane matrix. Upon heating or under ultraviolet radiation, azido groups are able to create nitrene—a highly reactive, short-lived intermediate. Nitrenes insert into C–H bonds and add to unsaturated C–C bonds such as alkenes, alkynes, and arenes due to their electrophilicity. These data indicate that every kind of polyimide has the potential to react with azide. In general, the reactive priority of the C–H insertion follows this sequence: tertiary > secondary > primary C–H bonds. Functional groups capable of reacting with nitrene are: (1) Phenyl groups of azide or host polyimide; (2) –CH3 substituent groups of azide or host polyimide; (3) the –CH2– group in the cyclohexanone structure of azide; and (4) α,β-unsaturated ketone of azide. First, C–H bonds of phenyl groups are not easily attacked by nitrene radicals, owing to the benzene ring stability, which comprises the highly conjugated π electrons. Although the unfavorable addition of nitrene to C=C bonds has been reported, the absence of the characteristic peak of tertiary amine indicates that the cycloaddition of nitrenes to the phenyl ring and the C=C bonds has not occurred. Second, due to steric hindrance, there is no reaction with alkenes. Azide can, therefore, react with its own species to form polymer networks, and with the functional groups of the host polyimide to form an interconnected pseudo-IPN. Therefore, for those polyimide membranes containing no functional groups to react with nitrenes, such as 6FDA-NDA, the combination of polyimide and poly(azide) only results in a pseudo-IPN without any interconnection (NDA is short for “1,5-naphthalenediamine”).

Figure 15. Chemical structure of 2,6-bis(4-azidobenzylidene)-4-methylcyclohexanone.

As the insoluble gel in the film reflects the formation of crosslinked networks, it can be inferred that nitrene radicals react with the methyl C–H bonds of 6FDA-TMPDA to form chemical crosslinks (TMPDA is short for “2,3,5,6-tetramethyl-1,4-phenylenediamine”). The formation of denser interconnected pseudo-IPNs restricts chain movement and enhances the anti-plasticization property of the material.

Another crosslinker, phenolphthalein dicyanate (DCFT), has been shown to improve plasticization resistance. Chemical structures of DCFT and DCFT-resin are shown in Figure 16. DCFT-modified membranes did not show any signs of plasticization in CO2 feed pressures ranging from 1 to 30 atm [71]. Dicyanate cyclotrimerized into a uniform three-dimensional network of oxygen-linked triazine rings (cyanurate ester resin). The absence of by-products was indicated by the 1H NMR, elemental analysis, and mass spectrometry data. It is worth noting that copper naphthenate and nonylphenol serving as a catalyst must be added to mitigate curing temperatures from 280 °C to 180 °C. The results of Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) and differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) showed that after heat treatment at 180 °C for 60 min, dicyanate seemed to be entirely converted into the corresponding
cyanurate ester resin. DCFT introduces cyanurate ester resin into the Matrimid matrix, which causes a compacting effect. Hence, all semi-IPNs showed a higher density compared to Matrimid films, indicating the densification of semi-IPNs. Consequently, this densification effect successfully restricts plasticization by hindering polymer chain mobility.

![Chemical structure of Thermid FA-700](image)

**Figure 16.** Chemical structures of (a) phenolphthalein dicyanate (DCFT), and (b) cyanurate ester resin (DCFT-Resin).

Except for highly reactive monomers, oligomer-containing functional groups can also be used to form semi-IPNs together with host polyimides. It is well-known that the use of longer oligomer chains results in improved toughness. Bos et al. used Thermid FA-700 as a crosslinker to resist plasticization effectively [36]. Figure 17 illustrates chemical structure of Thermid FA-700. Modified films showed a constant permeability at elevated pressure in the pressure range (up to 50 bar, i.e., 49.35 atm) of the CO₂ permeability test. It has been already proven that the acetylenic end group can be crosslinked by heating at 250–275 °C without the evolution of volatile products, while the oligomer polymerizes [92]. Two kinds of crosslinking reactions are likely to happen: (1) the benzenoid cyclization (crosslinking) reaction, i.e., trimerization reaction of the acetylene groups; and (2) the naphthalene formation (polymerization) reaction. These reactions form semi-IPNs. Compared with the Matrimid/Thermid film without heat treatment, thermal annealing triggers a crosslinking reaction between the oligomer and Matrimid, which leads to the obvious suppression of plasticization.

![Chemical structure of Thermid FA-700](image)

**Figure 17.** Chemical structure of Thermid FA-700.

3.2.4. Ionic Bonding

Apart from inserting covalent bonds into polymer chains, an alternative strategy entails connecting polymer chains with ionic bonds. A prerequisite for the formation of ionic bonds is the presence of an electron donor or acceptor along the polymer backbone, which can be carried out by the substitution reaction.

It has been reported that the introduction of bromine into polymer constitutional units by bromination of 6FDA-durene, allows crosslinking of the original membrane to N,N'-dimethylpiperazine [73]. This electrostatic chemical bond effectively restricts polymer chain mobility and somewhat stabilizes the CO₂/N₂ selectivity. As a result, membranes did not show an upturn of permeability in the isotherm within the experimental scale of 25 atm of CO₂. It is remarkable that the pendant piperazinium-mediated crosslinked polyimide membranes displayed a high CO₂
permeability of 475.5 Barrer, together with high CO$_2$/CH$_4$ and CO$_2$/N$_2$ permselectivities of 34.5 and 18, respectively.

3.3. Physical Crosslinking

The introduction of polar functional groups, such as hydroxyl and carboxyl groups, that can form hydrogen bonds, leads to CTCs and pseudo-crosslink networks formation. To present a larger picture of the physical crosslinking method, mixed matrix membranes are also discussed in this section.

3.3.1. Hydroxyl Group

Alaslai et al. prepared three polyimides with different numbers of hydroxyl groups (shown in Figure 18), and demonstrated that polyimides with strong polar –OH groups could mitigate plasticization when tested under high-pressure binary CO$_2$/CH$_4$ mixed-gas conditions due to strong chain interactions by inter-chain hydrogen bonding and CTC formation [93]. Compared with 6FDA-mPDA, hydroxyl-containing polyimide membranes maintained very high CO$_2$/CH$_4$ selectivity (~75 at CO$_2$ partial pressure of 10 atm) due to high CO$_2$ plasticization resistance when tested under high-pressure mixed-gas conditions.

![Figure 18. Chemical structures of 6FDA-mPDA, 6FDA-DAP, and 6FDA-DAR.](image-url)

3.3.2. Carboxyl Group

It is well-known that the majority of carboxylic acids often exist as dimeric pairs in non-polar media. Structure of associated carboxylic acids is depicted in Figure 19. These strong polar-associating functional groups, without the formation of any covalent bonds, participate in a reversible process and are subject to media polarity and system temperature.
Staudt-Bickel et al. found that polymer chains of polyimides were crosslinked by hydrogen bonding between the free carboxylic acid groups, which resulted in a strong reduction in permeability [19]. The effect of such “virtual” hydrogen bonded crosslinks on plasticization has not been reported. Additionally, an increased CO\textsubscript{2}/CH\textsubscript{4} selectivity corresponds to an increased degree of crosslinking, attributed to reduced swelling and polymer chain mobility. Even with a 10\% degree of crosslinking, a 20\% increased selectivity can be seen in comparison to the reference polyimide. However, hydrogen bonding accounting for the formation of CTCs in the blends does well to inhibit plasticization. This will be elaborated in Section 3.5.

3.3.3. Mixed Matrix Membranes (MMMs)

Polymer membranes suffer not only from plasticization, but also permeability–selectivity trade-off limitations. These undesired phenomena can be eliminated by preparing mixed matrix membranes [94]. MMMs reported in the literature can be classified as: solid/polymer; liquid/polymer, and solid/liquid–polymer MMs [95]. For solid/polymer MMs, the integration of organic and/or inorganic fillers such as carbon nanotubes, carbon molecular sieves [96,97], activated carbon [98–100], zeolites [101,102], silica [103–105], and metal organic frameworks (MOFs) [106–108] can improve plasticization resistance.

Zeolitic imidazolate frameworks (ZIFs) are a sub-class of metal organic frameworks (MOFs). ZIFs show superior thermal and chemical stability, and are inherently more compatible with polymer matrix [109]. Some studies have found that the introduction of ZIF-8 (Zn (2-methylimidazole)\textsubscript{2}) can improve the anti-plasticization performance of pure polymer membranes [74,75].

6FDA-durene/DABA co-polyimides were selected as polymer matrix due to their impressive performance during CO\textsubscript{2}/CH\textsubscript{4} and C\textsubscript{3}H\textsubscript{6}/C\textsubscript{3}H\textsubscript{8} separation [74]. MMs synthesized from cross-linkable co-polyimides (6FDA-Durene/DABA (9/1) and 6FDA-Durene/DABA (7/3)), showed significant enhancements in plasticization suppression characteristics up to a CO\textsubscript{2} pressure of 30 atm after annealing at 400 \degree C due to the cross-linking reaction of the carboxyl in the DABA moiety. After being thermally annealed at 400 \degree C, the MMM made of 6FDA-Durene/DABA (9/1) with 20 wt\% ZIF-8, showed a CO\textsubscript{2}/CH\textsubscript{4} selectivity of 19.61 and an impressive CO\textsubscript{2} permeability 728 Barrer in the mixed gas tests.

Instead of a sharp ZIF-8 crystal, blending 10 wt\% blunt ZIF-8 in the waste polystyrene (w-PS) container-derived membrane matrix showed good aging resistance and improved anti-plasticization gas separation performance [75]. CO\textsubscript{2} permeability of pure PS membranes increased as CO\textsubscript{2} pressure increased to 3 bar (i.e., 2.96 atm). In contrast, MMMs displayed slightly increased permeability at increased operating pressure.

Except for ZIF-8, zeolite T was used as a filler embedded in the membrane matrix. A quantity of 1 wt\% loaded zeolite T/6FDA-durene MMM showed improvement at a CO\textsubscript{2} plasticization pressure to 20 bar (i.e., 19.74 atm) when compared to the pristine 6FDA-durene membrane, which was plasticized at a CO\textsubscript{2} pressure of 5 bar [76]. CO\textsubscript{2} permeability and CO\textsubscript{2}/CH\textsubscript{4} ideal selectivity of the MMM also increased to 843.6 Barrer and 19.1, respectively.

Investigations show that the addition of three distinctively different MOFs (MIL-53(Al) (breathing MOF), ZIF-8 (flexible MOF), and Cu\textsubscript{13}BTC\textsubscript{2} (rigid MOF)) dispersed in a Matrimid polyimide can somewhat alleviate plasticization [77]. At pressures higher than the plasticization pressure of neat Matrimid (10–12 bar), the permeabilities of all MOF–MMMs slightly increased when compared with the native polymer. Additionally, the plasticization pressure increased with the MOF loading.
Incorporating Ni$_2$(dobdc) ($\text{dobdc}^{4^-} = \text{dioxidobenzenedicarboxylate}$) metal organic framework nanocrystals into various polyimides (Matrimid, 6FDA-DAT:DAM (1:1), and 6FDA-DAM) improves the performance of their anti-plasticization properties [78]. Compared with the pristine polyimides, plasticized at around 10–15 bar, Ni$_2$(dobdc)/polyimide composites showed no sign of plasticization at a CO$_2$ partial pressure $<$30 bar. The separation performance of composite membranes was closer to the Robeson upper bound of the CO$_2$/CH$_4$ gas pair when compared with the pristine polymer, especially at high CO$_2$ pressure, thus indicating better anti-plasticization properties. These, along with the solution processability of the mixed-matrix format, make Ni$_2$(dobdc)/polyimides intriguing materials for commercial membrane applications.

### 3.4. Ultraviolet (UV) Radiation Crosslinking

UV radiation is a post-treatment, which is used after membrane fabrication [110,111]. When the main chain of polyimide contains a specific structure like benzophenone, it can be crosslinked by UV radiation. Take 3,3',4,4'-benzophenonetetracarboxylicdianhydride (BTDA) for example, the carbonyl bridge of the dianhydride is a photoactive group and serves as a UV crosslinking site. Figure 20 shows chemical structure of BTDA. The reaction mechanism of UV crosslinking of BTDA is shown in Figure 21. Radiation time, intensity, and the distance between the membrane and light source will all affect the degree of crosslinking. Thus, the degree of crosslinking of these UV-crosslinked membrane materials strongly depends on the experimental conditions.

![Chemical structure of 3,3',4,4'-Benzophenonetetracarboxylicdianhydride (BTDA)](image)

**Figure 20.** Chemical structure of 3,3',4,4'-Benzophenonetetracarboxylicdianhydride (BTDA).

![Reaction mechanism of UV crosslinking](image)

**Figure 21.** The reaction mechanism of UV crosslinking-modified polyimide containing BTDA.

Hays et al. prepared a series of semi-flexible aromatic polyimides by polycondensation of dianhydrides with phenylene diamines having alkyl substituents on all ortho positions to the amine functions incorporating at least in part 3,3',4,4'-benzophenone tetracarboxylic dianhydride [112]. The photochemical crosslinked polyimides membranes reveal high permeation to gases while still being able to effectively separate several combinations of gases. For instance, the oxygen permeability of this kind of polyimide does not decline too much, while the selectivity of O$_2$/N$_2$ significantly increased.

In contrast to the findings of Hays et al., Kita et al. observed that the permeability coefficients decreased with increasing UV irradiation time as a result of crosslinking [113]. This decrease can be explained by the change in diffusivity, while solubility is not greatly affected. In addition, the largest
change in selectivity was obtained for the H₂/CH₄ gas pair, which had a large difference in the molecular sizes of the constituents. It is worth noticing that the selectivity for the H₂/CH₄ separation increased by a factor of 50 after 30 min of UV irradiation with a decrease in the H₂ permeability by a factor of 5.

Liu et al. investigated a series of UV irradiation modified copolyimides prepared from 2,4,6-trimethyl-1,3-phenylenediamines (3MPDA), BTDA, and pyromellitic dianhydride (PMDA) [114]. Photochemically crosslinking modification resulted in the increasing of gas selectivity of most gas pairs and declination of gas permeability. However, for the H₂/N₂ gas pair, the crosslinked copolymer exhibited a higher H₂ permeability and H₂/N₂ selectivity.

Liu et al. also synthesized another polyimide prepared from BTDA and 2,3,5,6-tetramethyl-1,4-diphenylenediamine (4MPDA), and compared two crosslinking modification methods: putting the polyimide in an ambient environment for four months, and under UV irradiation for two or eight hours [115]. The results found that the crosslinking reaction by UV irradiation only occurred in the surface layer, but crosslinking at an ambient environment for a long time took place in the whole film. This led to a much higher crosslinking density on the membrane surface and higher gas selectivity. Therefore, the resulting crosslinked polymer had an asymmetric structure.

Although plenty of meaningful and interesting work has been done in the UV radiation crosslinking of polyimide, few researchers have focused on the anti-plasticization performance after UV radiation crosslinking. Therefore, the effect of UV crosslinking on plasticization resistance needs further investigation.

3.5. Blending

Given the obvious drawbacks of low permeability and plasticization resistance, it is natural to conceive the idea of blending polyimides with other polymers exhibiting high permeability and anti-plasticization to overcome the corresponding disadvantages.

Through blending, materials may show new characteristics different from the pristine materials. Blending easily plasticized membrane materials with anti-plasticized membrane materials may improve plasticization resistance. Furthermore, blending one relatively cheaper polymer component with another more expensive component can cut down on the capital cost. Based on the above advantages, blending can be used to tailor the performance of the original single polyimide membranes.

However, not all polymers can be blended homogeneously on a molecular level. The miscibility is the most challenging problem when blending different polymers. Some coexistence modes of different polymers are well-established. For instance, one polymer may act as a dispersed or co-continuous phase in another bulky polymer matrix. Another possibility is that a single polymer may exist as an individual block mass due to the heterogeneous dispersion.

In order to prepare a blend material with superior properties, many well-designed experiments have been conducted. Polyimides, such as Matrimid, Torlon, Ultem, etc. have the ability to be blended homogeneously with a small loading of other polymers. The chemical structure of polyimides showing miscibility are depicted in Figure 22. Homogeneous blend membranes are often transparent, exhibit a single glass transition temperature, and present a clear and single phase in the polarized light microscopy (PLM) image.

To begin with, Matrimid, a commercially available polyimide, is often used in blends with other polymers to modify its low permeability. Matrimid/PSF (20/80 wt%) shows plasticization pressures up to above 30 atm [79]. The blends have been characterized by optical, morphological, and thermodynamic measurements to test the compatibility of two candidate polymer materials. No phase separation was observed with optical microscopy. DSC scans of PSF/PI blends with different compositions indicated one single glass transition temperature. It is already known that frequency shifts of miscible blends often indicate specific interactions between the characteristic groups of pure polymers. FTIR spectra shifts and intensity changes suggest PSF and PI interactions, and mixing at the molecular level. These results show that PSF and PI blending is complete and homogeneous.
Furthermore, the phase state of PSF/PI blends has been studied further by differential scanning calorimetry, rheology, and x-ray scattering [116].

![Chemical structures of polyimides: (a) Matrimid® 5218, (b) Torlon, and (c) Ultem.](image)

Bos et al. blended PSF and P84 (copolyimide of 3,3′,4,4′-benzophenone tetracarboxylic dianhydride and 80% methylphenylene-diamine + 20% methylene diamine) with Matrimid, respectively [80]. The proven homogenous blends, Matrimid/PSF (50/50 wt%) and Matrimid/P84 (60/40 wt%) were cast to form transparent membranes. Matrimid showed relatively high permeability when compared with P84, and P84 did not display an apparent signal of plasticization up to 30 atm, much higher than the critical plasticization pressure for Matrimid. Therefore, the latter blend showed a plasticization pressure of 14.80 atm (15 bar). The incomplete suppression of CO₂-plasticization at room temperature was attributed to the concentration of P84 in the blend. Moreover, the CO₂/CH₄ mixed-gas experiment of the two blends revealed a strong resistance to plasticization. The two blends showed no signs of CO₂-induced plasticization with the increasing partial CO₂ pressure in the mix gas separation test. CH₄ permeability showed a slight increase, indicating a small tendency to plasticize.

Matrimid can also be blended with carboxylated polymers of intrinsic microporosity (cPIM-1) at the molecular level [81]. The addition of cPIM-1 in Matrimid significantly enhanced the plasticization pressure for all blended membranes. A small loading of 5–10 wt% of cPIM-1 in Matrimid improved the plasticization pressure from less than 10 atm to 15 atm, while a higher loading of cPIM-1 shifted the plasticization pressure to 20 atm. The interstitial space measured by X-ray diffraction (XRD) indicated an interaction among the polymer chains between cPIM-1 and Matrimid with a reduction in interstitial space, and an enhancement in chain packing. The presence of hydrogen bonding promoted compatibility between these two polymers. The newly formed hydrogen bonds between cPIM-1 and Matrimid, integrated with the rigid polymer backbone of cPIM-1 to suppress the plasticization.

Except for Matrimid, Torlon, a rigid polyimide with superior gas-pair selectivity and intrinsic superior anti-plasticization property, can be blended with PIM-1 showing outstanding gas permeability [82]. The Torlon/cPIM-1 blends displayed plasticization pressures up to 30 atm, when compared with the pristine cPIM-1 membrane, it exhibited a plasticization pressure at around 20 atm. Hydrogen bonding between Torlon and cPIM-1 promotes polymer chain packing and reduces the fractional free volume (FFV), which accounts for this enhancement of anti-plasticization performance. The introduction of Torlon formed partial miscible blends with cPIM-1, and reduced the inter-segment mobility in the polymer matrix. It is noteworthy that cPIM-1/Torlon blend membranes, with a small amount of cPIM-1 or Torlon (5–10 wt%), can hold a homogeneous morphology. The principle of polymer blend miscibility can be testified by PLM, FTIR, DSC, TGA analyses and UV absorbance tests. A homogenous blend may present a clear and single phase in PLM images. C=O band shifts of cPIM-1...
from 1700 cm\(^{-1}\) to a lower band, with an increase in Torlon loading, indicates hydrogen bonding interactions between cPIM-1 and Torlon. Moreover, the CTCs formation between cPIM-1/Torlon is demonstrated by the fact that the wavelength of the UV absorbance band of cPIM-1/Torlon is exceeded the predicted data. The strong hydrogen bonding couples with CTC interactions to promote better compatibility between the two polymers.

Although Ultem/PIM-1 polymer blends have been reported, the plasticization resistance has not been investigated yet [117].

4. Conclusions and Prospects

In the present literature, various ways have been thoroughly discussed to restrain the typical CO\(_2\)-induced plasticization of polyimide membranes, which contribute to providing a big picture of the research findings in this field. To improve the currently existing methods and develop novel methods, the mechanisms and processes of plasticization were explored.

Taken together, there are rarely any common methods that can be applied to the majority of polyimide membranes to suppress plasticization. The most effective ways vary from membrane to membrane. Therefore, researchers should conceive of specific methods according to the specific chemical molecular structure.

Many novel and effective crosslinking methods for polyimide membranes, such as hyperbranched polyimides have yielded good performance in gas separation. Nevertheless, the plasticization response has not been reported. Therefore, this unexploited area may be one of the directions that will contribute to synthesizing the next potential polyimide membranes with good plasticization resistance.
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