Mineral Classification of Land Surface Using Multispectral LWIR and Hyperspectral SWIR Remote-Sensing Data. A Case Study over the Sokolov Lignite Open-Pit Mines, the Czech Republic
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Abstract: Remote-sensing techniques offer an efficient alternative for mapping mining environments and assessing the impacts of mining activities. Airborne multispectral data in the thermal region and hyperspectral data in the optical region, acquired with the Airborne Hyperspectral Scanner (AHS) sensor over the Sokolov lignite open-pit mines in the Czech Republic, were analyzed. The emissivity spectrum was calculated for each vegetation-free land pixel in the longwave infrared (LWIR)-region image using the surface-emitted radiation, and the reflectance spectrum was derived from the visible, near-infrared and shortwave-infrared (VNIR–SWIR)-region image using the solar radiation reflected from the surface, after applying atmospheric correction. The combination of calculated emissivity, with the ability to detect quartz, and SWIR reflectance spectra, detecting phyllosilicates and kaolinite in particular, enabled estimating the content of the dominant minerals in the exposed surface. The difference between the emissivity values at $\lambda = 9.68 \, \mu m$ and $8.77 \, \mu m$ was found to be a useful index for estimating the relative amount of quartz in each land pixel in the LWIR image. The absorption depth at around $2.2 \, \mu m$ in the reflectance spectra was used to estimate the relative amount of kaolinite in each land pixel in the SWIR image.
The resulting maps of the spatial distribution of quartz and kaolinite were found to be in accordance with the geological nature and origin of the exposed surfaces and demonstrated the benefit of using data from both thermal and optical spectral regions to map the abundance of the major minerals around the mines.
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1. **Introduction**

Mining has a wide range of impacts from processes such as the generation of acid tailings, metal contaminants, and air pollution caused by smelting activities, leading to soil erosion, water pollution, vegetation disturbance, and habitat degradation. The monitoring and assessment of mining impacts, using only conventional field and laboratory measurements, is time-consuming and expensive. Remote-sensing techniques, using spaceborne, airborne and ground-based sensors, offer an efficient alternative for mapping mining environments and assessing the impacts of mining activities. With respect to mineralogy mapping, iron oxides, iron sulfates, hydroxyl-bearing minerals, and carbonates can be detected in the visible, near-infrared and shortwave-infrared (VNIR–SWIR) spectral region [1–6], enabling effective mapping of their spatial distribution. For example, ground-based and VNIR–SWIR imaging spectroscopy have been used to map the acidity level in mine environments, based on the distribution of jarosite and goethite, the indicative minerals for acid mine drainage (AMD) pollution [7–9]. On the other hand, the most abundant minerals in the Earth’s continental crust—feldspars and quartz—are featureless in the VNIR–SWIR spectral region and thus cannot be mapped based on spectral information across this region. The silicon–oxygen bond (Si–O) stretching vibrations in feldspars and quartz, as well as in other silicates, exhibit spectral features in the thermal infrared (TIR)-region, mainly in the longwave infrared (LWIR) 8.0–13 µm range [10–16].

Due to technological developments in Earth-observation remote-sensing tools, TIR remote sensing, combined with VNIR–SWIR hyperspectral remote sensing, is becoming a promising method for environmental studies; in particular, LWIR remote sensing is useful for mineral mapping studies [17–24]. Although the benefit of using both VNIR–SWIR and TIR remote-sensing data in environmental studies is well known, the number of studies devoted to this topic is still limited. The current study makes use of airborne multispectral LWIR data, along with airborne hyperspectral SWIR data, to assess the mineral content in exposed vegetation-free rocks and soils (henceforth land) in a lignite mining site in the Sokolov Basin, Czech Republic, as a case study. The mining, dumping and backfilling activities, as well as rehabilitation procedures, have changed the exposed surface. The mineralogy of the dumps and backfill material is the most important parameter determining its physical and chemical properties. The proportion of clay and quartz contents affects the material’s texture and volume. In addition, depending on water saturation, high clay content may cause the material to swell, shrink, and present heavy plastic characteristics. Therefore, mapping the distribution of the dominant minerals in the area—quartz and kaolinite—is relevant for the management or monitoring of mining sites, as well as for assessing the quality of the material used for reclamation.
projects. Whereas the hydroxyl-bearing mineral kaolinite, as well as other phyllosilicates, can be detected in the SWIR spectral region using the absorption feature at around 2.2 µm [25,26], quartz can only be mapped using the LWIR spectral region.

2. Tools and Methods

2.1. The Study Area

The study was performed in the Sokolov Basin in the western part of the Czech Republic (Figure 1), in a region affected by long-term extensive lignite mining. The Sokolov Basin, containing rocks of the Upper Eocene, Oligocene to Miocene age, is 8–9 km wide and up to 36 km long, with a total area of about 200 km². Large-scale underground and surface mining operations began in the late 19th century. The mining activity and natural outcrops expose varied lithostratigraphic units, defined in four formations [27]. The basal Stare-Sedlo formation consists of well-sorted fluvial sandstones, gravel and conglomerates, and it is exposed in the Erika sand pit. Overlying the Nove Sedlo formation is predominantly volcanic rocks with coal-bearing sediments, appearing in the Druzba, Jiri, and Medard opencast pits. The Sokolov formation also appears in these pits, characterized mainly by coal seams with volcanic ejecta originating from fissures and volcanic cones and sediments. The upper Cypris formation is characterized by laminated claystones with admixtures of Ca-Mg-Fe carbonates, sulfates, sulfides, analcite, Mg-micas, and bitumen, appearing in the Jiri, Druzb, and Lomnice opencast pits [27]. The Lomnice, Medard and Sylvester pits were exploited for decades and are now abandoned. In 2009, the uppermost part of the Sokolov formation was mined in two opencast pits, Druzba and Jiri, but only the Jiri mine is still active. Long-term mining required the removal of an up to 180-m thick overburden of Cypris clay, which was stockpiled and replaced after the lignite was extracted. Part of the removed overburden material was deposited in the outer dumps—Podkrusnohorska-vysypka, Smolnice, Litov, and Medard.

Figure 1. Image of the Sokolov lignite mining area acquired with the HyMap airborne sensor during a campaign over this area in August 2010.
Today, a great deal of effort is being devoted to rehabilitation activities, including overburden backfilling of the open pits, revegetation of dumps, and the construction of recreational areas, such as an artificial lake in the former Medard open pit.

2.2. Airborne Measurements

The airborne data were acquired by the Airborne Hyperspectral Scanner (AHS), an imaging 80-band line-scanner radiometer with 63 bands in the VNIR–SWIR-region, 7 bands in the midwave infrared (MWIR)-region and 10 bands in the LWIR-region [28]. The AHS has been used in several campaigns to derive surface temperature and surface emissivity over agricultural areas, forests and sand dunes [29,30]. Two flight campaigns were conducted, in collaboration with the Spanish Aerospace Institute (INTA): a daytime campaign on 19 July 2011, and a nighttime campaign on 22 July 2011. The days prior to the daytime data acquisition were characterized by dry conditions without any precipitation and temperatures higher than 25 °C. The nighttime data were also collected after a dry day, on a clear night with no precipitation, after postponement due to heavy rain. Each flight campaign included 10 flight lines (Figure 2) acquired at an altitude of 2 km above ground level, resulting in a 5-m pixel size.

Figure 2. (A) RGB image (R = 0.650 μm, G = 0.560 μm, B = 0.471 μm) and (B) daytime LWIR image (band 10.14 μm) of the Sokolov lignite mining area acquired with the AHS sensor; (C) Nighttime LWIR image (band 10.14 μm) with three regions of interest (ROIs) and (D) nighttime LWIR at-sensor radiance of the three ROIs.
2.2.1. LWIR-Region Data

Due to cloud coverage in the daytime image, herein we refer to the cloud-free nighttime LWIR data. The at-sensor radiance at wavelength $\lambda$ ($L_{\text{at}}$) consists of three components:

$$L_{\text{at}} = \epsilon_{\lambda} L_{\text{bb}}(T) \tau_{\lambda} + (1 - \epsilon_{\lambda}) L_{\text{d}} \tau_{\lambda} + L_{p\lambda}$$

(1)

where $\epsilon_{\lambda} L_{\text{bb}}(T) \tau_{\lambda}$ is the surface emission at wavelength $\lambda$ with $\epsilon$ as the surface emissivity, $L_{\text{bb}}(T)$ as the radiance from a blackbody at surface temperature $T$, and $\tau$ as the atmospheric transmission; $(1 - \epsilon_{\lambda}) L_{\text{d}} \tau_{\lambda}$ is the surface reflection of the radiance incident on the surface from the atmosphere at wavelength $\lambda$ with $L_{\text{d}}$ as the down-welling radiance; $L_{p\lambda}$ is the path radiance from atmospheric emission and scattering that reaches the sensor directly at wavelength $\lambda$.

Calculation of the emissivity spectrum of the surface, which represents its chemical and physical properties, from the measured at-sensor radiance spectrum using Equation (1), will assist in identifying the mineralogical nature of that surface. As a rule of thumb, the emissivity ($\epsilon$) of land ranges from 0.7–1 in the entire LWIR region, and the down-welling radiance ($L_{\text{d}}$) is about one-third of the surface-emission radiance [31]. Therefore, the reflected down-welling radiance at wavelength $\lambda$, $(1 - \epsilon_{\lambda}) L_{\text{d}} \tau_{\lambda}$, is very small and its contribution to the at-sensor radiance is negligible, reducing Equation (1) to:

$$L_{\text{at}} = \epsilon_{\lambda} L_{\text{bb}}(T) \tau_{\lambda} + L_{p\lambda}$$

(2)

with $\tau_{\lambda}$ and $L_{p\lambda}$ as gain and offset values, respectively, calculated from the water and conifer regions of interest (ROIs) (Figure 2) as follow: the at-sensor radiance of the water and conifers, with emissivity $\sim$1 in the entire LWIR region, was fitted with a tangent blackbody radiation curve, as shown in Figure 3, by applying an IDL algorithm. This algorithm calculates the temperature for each pixel by applying the at-sensor radiance value of the first wavelength to Planck’s law. Once the temperature is known, the algorithm calculates the blackbody radiation curve for every wavelength. Then, the algorithm checks that the blackbody radiation curve is higher than the at-sensor radiance at each wavelength. If the calculated curve is not higher at one of the wavelengths, the process is repeated for the second wavelength and so forth. In some cases, all calculated blackbody radiation curves tangential to the at-sensor radiance are lower at all wavelengths. In this case, an approximated blackbody radiation curve needs to be calculated. The algorithm finds the maximum value of the at-sensor radiance, calculates the blackbody radiation curve accordingly, and checks if it is higher at all wavelengths. If it is not, it adds 0.05 K to the temperature and calculates the blackbody radiation curve again in an iterative function until it finds the curve that is higher at all wavelengths, which will serve as the approximate blackbody radiation curve. The values of the tangent blackbody radiation and the emissivity of water and conifers at each wavelength $\lambda$, shown in Figure 3, were applied to their respective at-sensor radiances, resulting in two equations with the two unknowns, gain and offset. Solving the two equations resulted in gain and offset values for each $\lambda$ (Figure 4).

The gain and offset values, assuming they are equal at all pixels across the image, were applied to each land pixel, the at-sensor radiance was fitted with a tangent blackbody radiation curve, which was calculated using the IDL algorithm, and the emissivity at wavelength $\lambda$ was calculated according to:
\[ \varepsilon_{\lambda} = \frac{[L_{\lambda} - (\text{Offset})_{\lambda}]}{[L_{\text{bb}}(T)(\text{Gain})_{\lambda}]} \] (3)

The emissivity spectrum of each land pixel in the resultant emissivity image was then analyzed.

**Figure 3.** The at-sensor radiance and a fitted tangent blackbody radiation curve for the water and conifer ROIs (primary y-axis) and the emissivity spectra of water and conifers based on the Johns Hopkins University spectral library [32] and resampled to the AHS spectral configuration (secondary y-axis).

**Figure 4.** The gain (primary y-axis) and offset (secondary y-axis) spectra, as calculated from the water and conifer data.
2.2.2. VNIR–SWIR-Region Data

The AHS image was atmospherically corrected by applying the ACORN software [33], resulting in a reflectance image. The reflectance spectrum in the SWIR region (2.0–2.4 µm) of each land pixel, in cloud-free areas in the image, was then analyzed.

2.3. Ground Measurements

2.3.1. LWIR-Region Ground Measurements

Samples of material from land surface (0–2 cm depth) were collected at selected points in the study area. These samples were measured with an SR-5000N ground spectroradiometer, with 82 bands in the 8–13 µm region, at CI-Systems, Israel [34]. The samples were placed in Petri dishes, exposed to the sun (with an outdoor air temperature of 35 °C) for about an hour, and then one sample at a time was placed on a 45°-inclined stand at a distance of about 3 m from the spectroradiometer, and its at-sensor radiance was measured. The emissivity spectrum of each sample was then calculated according to Equation (1), with \( L_{bb}(T) \) as the fitted tangent blackbody radiation curve and down-welling radiance (\( L_d \)) as measured with a mirror (Figure 5), and with \( \tau_\lambda = 1 \) and \( L_{p\lambda} = 0 \).

Figure 5. The at-sensor radiance with the tangent blackbody radiation curve for two land samples and the at-sensor radiance of a mirror.

2.3.2. Laboratory Measurements

Various land samples were measured for the ground truth data-set with a Philips X’Pert X-Ray Diffractometer (XRD) at the Czech Geological Survey for mineralogical analysis. The X-ray powder diffraction patterns were obtained using CuKα radiation and a graphite secondary monochromator. The whole-sample random patterns were collected in the angular range from 2° to 70° 2θ with steps of 0.05° 2θ. Oriented clay-fraction specimens (fraction <2 µm) were prepared by a conventional
sedimentation method [35]. The oriented clay specimens were analyzed after air-drying and after saturation for 10 h with ethylene-glycol vapor at 60°C. Their diffraction data were acquired in the angular range of 2°–50° 2θ with steps of 0.05° 2θ. Mixed-layered minerals were identified by comparing the analyzed XRD patterns of the ethylene-glycolated oriented clay fraction with the modeled XRD patterns obtained by NEWMOD code [36].

In addition, a technical quantitative silicate analysis (ČSN 720100) was conducted. The analysis quantified the abundance of the different elements in each sample, presented as their oxides SiO₂, Al₂O₃, TiO₂, FeO, Na₂O, K₂O, and MgO.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. LWIR-Region Results

The calculated emissivity spectra of some land ROIs, as calculated from the nighttime AHS data (henceforth airborne emissivity), are shown in Figure 6.

Figure 6. (A) Emissivity image, band 10.14 µm, with locations of some land ROIs; (B,C) Airborne emissivity spectra of the land ROIs as calculated from the LWIR image data. The name of each ROI represents its location and a serial number: BO—Boziceany, PKV—Podkrušnohorská-vysypka, MD—Medard, and DR—Druzba.
An emissivity feature was observed in the spectrum of each land ROI between $\lambda = 8.31 \, \mu m$ and $\lambda = 10.14 \, \mu m$. This feature was relatively pronounced for some ROIs, e.g., BO1 and MD1, and less pronounced for others, and its minimum was shifted to longer wavelengths for ROIs such as PKV4 and DR3. Similar behavior was observed in the emissivity spectra of the same land samples calculated from the ground measurements (henceforth ground emissivity), as shown in Figure 7.

Figure 7. (A) The land samples; (B,C) Ground emissivity spectra of the land samples; (D,E) Ground emissivity spectra after resampling to the AHS spectral configuration.
Comparison of the airborne emissivity spectra (Figure 6) with their analogous ground emissivity spectra (Figure 7) showed that although they are not exactly the same, they exhibit the same patterns among samples, i.e., a larger emissivity feature for some land samples and a smaller and/or shifted feature for other samples. This pattern can be expressed as:

$$\Delta \varepsilon = \varepsilon_{\lambda=9.68 \mu m} - \varepsilon_{\lambda=8.77 \mu m}$$  (4)

where $\Delta \varepsilon$ is the difference between the emissivity ($\varepsilon$) values at $\lambda = 9.68 \mu m$ and $\lambda = 8.77 \mu m$. The $\Delta \varepsilon$ values were positive for some land samples and negative for others (Figure 8), as calculated from either the airborne data or the ground data.

**Figure 8.** The $\Delta \varepsilon$ values of land samples as calculated from the airborne data and from the ground data. * Ground $\Delta \varepsilon$ values marked with □ symbols, as opposed to those marked with X symbols, were calculated by neglecting the reflected down-welling radiance, as done with the airborne data. Both series of ground $\Delta \varepsilon$ values are identical.

The $\Delta \varepsilon$ values of some samples were correlated to the mineral composition of the samples obtained from the XRD analysis (Table 1).

As shown in Table 1, the $\Delta \varepsilon$ value was correlated with the relative amount of quartz in the sample. A large amount of quartz was expressed by a more positive $\Delta \varepsilon$ value, e.g., sample BO1. A decrease in the amount of quartz with an increase in kaolinite, other phyllosilicates (e.g., muscovite) or other silicates (e.g., albite) decreased the value of $\Delta \varepsilon$ down to negative values, e.g., sample PKV4. This decrease in $\Delta \varepsilon$ value can be explained by the emissivity spectra of the different silicates (Figure 9). Whereas the $\Delta \varepsilon$ value of quartz is positive, the $\Delta \varepsilon$ values of the other silicates are negative.
Table 1. Mineralogy of the land samples according to XRD analysis and their \( \Delta \varepsilon \) values calculated from the airborne data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Mineral Composition from Major to Minor (Trace Minerals Are not Included)</th>
<th>( \Delta \varepsilon )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BO1</td>
<td>Quartz, Quartz-rich</td>
<td>0.136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BO2</td>
<td>Quartz, Muscovite, Kaolinite</td>
<td>0.096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BO3</td>
<td>Quartz, Kaolinite, Muscovite</td>
<td>0.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PKV1</td>
<td>Quartz, Muscovite, Kaolinite</td>
<td>0.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PKV2</td>
<td>Quartz, Albite, Orthoclase, Muscovite, Clinohlore, Kaolinite</td>
<td>0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PKV3</td>
<td>Kaolinite, Quartz, Muscovite, Anatase</td>
<td>−0.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PKV4</td>
<td>Kaolinite, Anatase, Quartz, Goethite</td>
<td>−0.030</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 9. Emissivity spectra of some silicates based on the Arizona State University spectral library [37] and resampled to the AHS spectral configuration.

It is important to note that land moisture or vegetation mixed in with the land pixel can affect the \( \Delta \varepsilon \) value. However, in this dataset, the land was assumed to be dry due to the weather conditions before and during data acquisition. Moreover, all analyzed land pixels were vegetation-free based on an emissivity threshold of \( \varepsilon < 0.98 \) at \( \lambda = 9.24 \) or 9.68 \( \mu \)m. In addition, differences in surface texture can potentially affect the \( \Delta \varepsilon \) value. However, we assumed that this effect is insignificant relative to the differences in land mineral content.

To estimate the relative amount of quartz in the exposed surface in the Sokolov lignite mining area, the \( \Delta \varepsilon \) value was calculated for each land pixel in the emissivity image and the results are shown in Figure 10.
The results of the quartz-content mapping can be associated with the lithology of the surface and the source of the exposed land. The quartz-rich land in the western and northern parts of the Medard abandoned pit (Figure 10) belongs to the quartz-rich outcrop of alluvial sediments of the Sokolov formation. This is a large, up to 30-m thick alluvial cone of the river flowing from the west into the Sokolov Basin in the Miocene. The eastern part of Medard is affected by material transported from the Druzba pit, as described in Section 3.2. The quartz-poor land in the Jiri and Druzba pits corresponds to the outcrops of clays and claystones of the Cypris formation and to artificial redepositions of material removed during the mining processes. The same material is observed in the outer dump sites of Podkrušnohorska-vysypka and Smolnice. A concentration of quartz-rich land in the northern part of the Jiri pit belongs to kaolinized granites and gneisses containing a large amount of quartz, variable amounts of kaolinite and a little admixture of muscovite. The abandoned Erika sand pit contains sand, sandstone, gravel and conglomerates rich in quartz, accompanied with pebbles of quartz-rich geological units (mica schists) and with kaolinite-coated quartz grains. The blue and red spots at the Bozicany kaolinite pit (Figure 10) are associated with quartz-poor and quartz-rich piles, respectively. During the mining operations, kaolinite was washed from the kaolinized granite, redeposited and resorted, while the quartz sand was left behind. The large spot close to Vresova is a small quarry of weathered granites.

Figure 10. Quartz content in exposed land in the Sokolov lignite mining area.
3.2. SWIR-Region Results

To extend the mineral classification and to map the major non-quartz mineral content of the land, i.e., kaolinite, other phyllosilicates or other silicates, the reflectance image in the SWIR region was analyzed. The SWIR spectra of some land ROIs are shown in Figure 11.

**Figure 11.** (A) SWIR spectra of some land ROIs as derived from the reflectance image (solid lines) and from laboratory measurements with the Analytical Spectral Devices (ASD) FieldSpec Pro spectroradiometer (Analytical Spectral Devices, Inc.) after resampling to the AHS spectral configuration (dotted lines); (B) Continuum removal (CR) spectra of the ROIs between 2.134 and 2.227 µm; and (C) between 2.134 and 2.181 µm.

The SWIR reflectance spectra of the land ROIs display a typical absorption feature of 2:1 and 1:1 phyllosilicates with a minimum at 2.196 µm, accompanied by a smaller minimum at 2.165 µm typical to 1:1 kaolinite phyllosilicate. The depth of the absorption at 2.196 µm, as derived from the continuum removal (CR) spectrum between 2.134 and 2.227 µm (henceforth CR_{2.196µm}), indicates the amount of 2:1 or 1:1 phyllosilicate in the land. The depth of the absorption at 2.165 µm, as derived from the CR spectrum between 2.134 and 2.181 µm (henceforth CR_{2.165µm}), indicates the amount of kaolinite in the
land. The values of CR \textsubscript{2.196µm} and CR \textsubscript{2.165µm} were calculated for each land pixel in the reflectance image, and some examples are shown in Table 2. A bigger CR \textsubscript{2.196µm} value indicated a larger amount of phyllosilicate and together with a bigger CR \textsubscript{2.165µm} value, indicated a larger amount of kaolinite, from either the reflectance image or the laboratory measurements.

**Table 2.** The mineralogy of land samples according to XRD analysis and samples with their CR \textsubscript{2.196µm} and CR \textsubscript{2.165µm} values as calculated from the SWIR reflectance image (in parentheses are the values from the laboratory measurements with the ASD spectroradiometer).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Mineral Composition from Major to Minor (Trace Minerals are not Included)</th>
<th>CR \textsubscript{2.196 µm}</th>
<th>CR \textsubscript{2.165 µm}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PKV4</td>
<td>Kaolinite, Anatase, Quartz, Goethite</td>
<td>0.198 (0.340)</td>
<td>0.052 (0.130)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PKV3</td>
<td>Kaolinite, Quartz, Muscovite, Anatase</td>
<td>0.164 (0.181)</td>
<td>0.047 (0.044)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PKV1</td>
<td>Quartz, Muscovite, Kaolinite</td>
<td>0.129 (0.139)</td>
<td>0.018 (0.021)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PKV2</td>
<td>Quartz, Albite, Orthoclase, Muscovite, Clinohlore, Kaolinite</td>
<td>0.094 (0.094)</td>
<td>0.008 (0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The content of phyllosilicates and kaolinite according to the CR \textsubscript{2.196 µm} and CR \textsubscript{2.165 µm} values, as well as the content of quartz according to the $\Delta \varepsilon$ values, in the Jiri active pit and in the Medard abandoned pit are shown in Figure 12.

ROI 1 in Figure 12 represents the quartz-rich land at the northern rim of the Jiri pit, belonging to the kaolinized granite with large amount of quartz (Figure 12A), a variable amount of kaolinite and a little admixture of muscovite (Figure 12C,E). Kaolinite, the dominant mineral in the Cypris formation, prevails in Jiri’s quartz-poor land, especially at the bottom layers of this formation close to the lignite seam, as represented by ROIs 2 and 3. Toward the younger upper layers, away from the lignite layer, kaolinite is less abundant and is accompanied by other phyllosilicates, including muscovite, represented by ROI 4, other silicates (analcite, zeolites, feldspars) and therefore less phyllosilicates, as represented by ROI 5, carbonates (calcite, dolomite, ankerite and siderite), anatase, a small amount of quartz and many other minerals, mostly of volcanic origin. The eastern border of Medard Lake (the abandoned Medard pit) contains material of the Cypris formation transported from the Druzba pit. The elongated strips of quartz-rich land, represented by ROIs 6 and 7 in Figure 12, are depositions of weathered granite from the upper parts of the Cypris formation, which are being used for the construction of roads, dams and piers for the recreational boats in the planned Medard Lake. Quartz-poor transported land—ROIs 8, 9—is from the lower parts of the Cypris formation and therefore rich in kaolinite.
Figure 12. Content of quartz in Jiri (A) and Medard (B) areas; (C,D) Content of phyllosilicates; and (E,F) content of kaolinite. Some selected land ROIs are numbered.

In addition, ground truth validation was conducted to confirm the quartz and kaolinite contents estimated from the calculated $\Delta \varepsilon$ and $\text{CR}_{2.165\mu m}$ values, respectively. The $\Delta \varepsilon$ and $\text{CR}_{2.165\mu m}$ values of selected ROIs were compared with the chemical analysis results of land samples collected from these ROIs, as shown in Figure 13. A higher concentration of silicon (Si) in the sample (large $\%\text{SiO}_2$ value, Figure 13A) indicated a higher concentration of quartz, which also exhibited a larger $\Delta \varepsilon$ value. It should be mentioned that the $\%\text{SiO}_2$ value is affected by the presence of all silicates and not only by quartz. However, in a quartz-rich sample, the relative amount of Si is larger, resulting in a larger $\%\text{SiO}_2$ value. The $\text{CR}_{2.165\mu m}$ value of a land sample was compared with the ratio of aluminium to potassium (Al/K) ($\%\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3/\%\text{K}_2\text{O}$, Figure 13B); in a kaolinite ($\text{Al}_2\text{Si}_2\text{O}_5(\text{OH})_4$)-rich sample, the Al/K ratio is larger than in a sample that is rich in other common minerals, i.e., quartz, muscovite
(KAl₃(AlSi₃O₁₀)(F,OH)₂) or K-feldspar. As a general trend, samples with larger %Al₂O₃/%K₂O values exhibited larger CR₂.165µm values (Figure 13B). Both the %Al₂O₃/%K₂O and CR₂.165µm values indicated a relatively large amount of kaolinite. The correlation between the measured %SiO₂ values and the calculated ΔΕ values was good with an R² value of 0.91 (Figure 14A), and that between the Log₁₀(%Al₂O₃/%K₂O) and CR₂.165µm values was relatively good with R² = 0.72 (Figure 14B). This validation confirmed the estimation of the relative amounts of quartz and kaolinite in exposed land based on the calculated ΔΕ and CR₂.165µm values.

Figure 13. (A) Comparison of measured %SiO₂ values (primary y-axis) and calculated ΔΕ values (secondary y-axis) of different land samples; (B) Comparison of measured %Al₂O₃/%K₂O values (primary y-axis) and calculated CR₂.165µm values (secondary y-axis) of the different land samples; logarithmic values were used for the graphic presentation. For several samples, the CR₂.165µm values could not be calculated due to cloud cover during data acquisition. The name of each sample represents its location and serial number: BO—Bozicany, LI—Litov, PKV—Podkrusnohorska-vysypka, and DR—Druzba.
Figure 14. (A) Correlation between the measured %SiO$_2$ values and the calculated $\Delta\xi$ values; (B) Correlation between the measured $\log_{10}(\%Al_2O_3/\%K_2O)$ values and the calculated $CR_{2.165\mu m}$ values.

4. Summary and Conclusions

The current study demonstrates the ability to map the relative amounts of quartz and kaolinite in exposed land of the lignite mining area in the Sokolov Basin. Mining, dumping and backfilling activities, as well as rehabilitation processes, change the nature of the exposed surface. Use of the AHS
airborne multispectral data in the LWIR spectral region, with the ability to detect quartz, along with the hyperspectral data in the SWIR spectral region, with the ability to detect phyllosilicates and in particular, kaolinite, with support from ground/laboratory measurements, enabled mapping the spatial distribution of the dominant minerals. The emissivity spectrum of each land pixel in the LWIR image was calculated and the reflectance spectrum of each land pixel was derived from the VNIR–SWIR image after applying atmospheric correction. The difference between the calculated emissivity values at $\lambda = 9.68 \mu m$ and $\lambda = 8.77 \mu m$ ($\Delta \varepsilon$) was used to estimate the relative amount of quartz in each land pixel. The depth of the absorption at about $2.2 \mu m$ in the SWIR reflectance spectrum (CR$_{2.165\mu m}$) was used to estimate the relative amount of kaolinite in each land pixel. The resultant mineral classification was in good agreement with the mineralogy of the exposed surfaces based on their geological nature and origin. For example, the quartz-rich land classified in the surroundings of the abandoned Medard pit represents the local outcrops of alluvial sediments that are rich in quartz, whereas kaolinite-rich material on its eastern border represents the kaolinite-rich material transported from the Druzba pit. Another example is the quartz-poor and kaolinite-rich land in the bottom layers of the Jiri pit close to the lignite seam, which changes to quartz-poor and kaolinite-poor land further away from the lignite representing younger upper land layers. A validation procedure showed a quite good correlation between the measured concentration of Si in land samples and the calculated $\Delta \varepsilon$ with $R^2 = 0.91$, and between the measured Al/K ratio and the calculated CR$_{2.165\mu m}$ with $R^2 = 0.72$. This indicates that the $\Delta \varepsilon$ and CR$_{2.165\mu m}$ values can be used to estimate the relative amounts of quartz and kaolinite in exposed land.

Overall, this study shows that merging remote-sensing data from LWIR and SWIR spectral regions may be efficient for mapping the spatial distribution of major minerals in exposed surfaces, and can be used to track mining activities and rehabilitation processes in mining environments.
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