Characteristic and Driving Factors of Aerosol Optical Depth over Mainland China during 1980–2017
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Abstract: Since the reform and opening up of China, the increasing aerosol emissions have posted great challenges to the country’s climate change and human health. The aerosol optical depth (AOD) is one of the main physical indicators quantifying the atmospheric turbidity and air pollution. In this study, 38-years (1980–2017) of spatial and temporal variations of AOD in China were analyzed using AOD records derived from MODIS atmosphere products and the MERRA-2 dataset. The results showed that the annual mean AOD values throughout China have gone through an increasing, but fluctuating, trend, especially in 1982 and in 1992 due to two volcano eruptions; the AOD values experienced a dramatically increasing period during 2000–2007 with the rapid economic development and “population explosions” in China/after 2008, the AOD values gradually decreased from 0.297 (2008) to 0.257 (2017). The AOD values in China were generally higher in spring than that in other seasons. The Sichuan Basin has always been an area with high AOD values owing to the strong human activity and the basin topography (hindering aerosol diffusions in the air). In contrast, the Qinghai Tibet Plateau has always been an area with low AOD values due to low aerosol emissions and clear sky conditions there. The trend analysis of AOD values during 1980–2017 in China indicated that the significant increasing trend was mainly observed in Southeastern China. By contrast, the AOD values in the northernmost of China showed a significant decreasing trend. Then, the contributions (AODP) of the AOD for black carbon aerosol (BCAOD), dust aerosol (DUAOD), organic carbon aerosol (OCAOD), sea salt aerosol (SSAOD), and SO$_4$ aerosol (SO$_4$AOD) to the total AOD values were calculated. The results showed that DUAOD (25.43%) and SO$_4$AOD (49.51%) were found to be the main driving factors for the spatial and temporal variations of AOD values. Finally, the effects of anthropogenic aerosol emissions, socioeconomic factors, and land-use and land coverage changes on AOD were analyzed. The GDP, population density, and passenger traffic volume were found to be the main socioeconomic drivers for AOD distributions. Relatively larger AOD values were mainly found in urban land and land covered by water, while lower AOD values were found in grassland and permanent glacier areas.
1. Introduction

The aerosol optical depth (AOD) is a key aerosol property, and is the main physical quantity characterizing the atmospheric turbidity [1–4]. Accurate observation of AOD values is of vital importance to aerosol research and applications [5]. Many ground-based remote sensing aerosol networks have been established around the world. For example, the Aerosol Robotic Network (AERONET) can provide continuous cloud-screened observations of spectral AOD values around the world [6,7]. In China, AOD values are routinely measured at about 50 sites within the China Aerosol Remote Sensing Network (CARSNET) [8–10]. However, the sites of AERONET and CARSNET are relatively sparse for AOD applications with high spatial resolutions [11]. Remote sensing provides an efficient way to retrieve spatiotemporally continuous AOD values at regional and global scales. The Total Ozone Mapping Spectrometer (TOMS) aboard Nimbus-7 (1976–1992) and the Earth Probe Satellite (1996 to present) can provide long-term AOD records around the world [12–14]. Torres et al. [12] found that AOD values for UV-absorbing conditions derived from TOMS are within 30% of the AERONET observations, while the AOD values for non-absorbing conditions are within 20% of the AERONET observations. Nevertheless, the nadir spatial resolutions (about 50 km × 50 km) of TOMS are relatively coarse for AOD applications. The Advanced Along Track Scanning Radiometer (AATSR) aboard Envisat can also provide AOD products with high nadir spatial resolution (1 km × 1 km) [14–17]. Meanwhile, the Seaviewing Wide Field-of-view Sensor (SEAWIFS) aboard GeoEye’s OrbView-2 can provide AOD data over the globally ocean with a spatial resolution of 9 km × 9 km [18–20]. Long-term daily and monthly AOD records (1981–2017) can also be obtained from the Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer (AVHRR) aboard TIROS-N and NOAA series with nadir spatial resolution of 1.1 km × 1.1 km [21–24]. Among all AOD products, the standard AOD products from the Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) on board Terra and Aqua are the most widely used AOD products for the estimation of AOD, with spatial resolutions of 3 km and 10 km [3,25–27].

In recent decades, with the rapid economic development and growing population in China, the amount of anthropogenic aerosol particles such as black carbon, organic carbon and sulfate emitted to the atmosphere have dramatically increased over mainland China, which makes China one of the major regions that causes the global aerosol radiative forcing effect and global climate change. Therefore, it is important to analyze the spatial and temporal variations of the aerosol optical depth and detect its drivers in different climate zones and terrain features over mainland China. Zhang et al. [28] analyzed the spatial and temporal variations of AOD values over mainland China during 1973–2014 using the KM-Elterman method. The results showed that the estimated AOD values were in good agreement with AOD values derived from MODIS products (R = 0.942). The North China Plain, Yangtze River Delta, Central China, Sichuan Basin, and Pearl River Delta were the areas with trends of rapidly increasing trend of AOD values; Southwest China was found to be an area with a significant decreasing trend of AOD values. Tang et al. [29] revisited the decadal variation of AOD in China. The result indicated two decreasing periods (pre-1980s and post-2006) and one increasing period (1980–2006) of AOD values throughout China. He et al. [30] revealed the annual and seasonal characteristics of AOD values throughout China using satellite-derived aerosol optical depth data. The result indicated that there was one upward trend pre-2008 (0.0003) and one downward trend post-2008 (−0.0005) for AOD in China during 2000–2015. The AOD values were higher in spring (0.410) than that in summer (0.370), autumn (0.260), and winter (0.340). Wu et al. [31] analyzed the spatial and temporal variations of AOD values during 1960–2009 using the Multiangle Imaging Spectroradiometer (MISR) AOD records and meteorological measurements. The results showed that the AOD values over mainland China exhibited an increasing trend (0.004 year⁻¹) during
1960–2009; the AOD values were generally higher in summer than that in other seasons. Xu et al. [32] reconstructed the AOD values during 1993–2012 throughout China using a broadband extinction model, which showed good agreements with AERONET AOD values with RRMSE, MAE, and R of 0.101, 0.029, and 0.848, respectively. Guo et al. [33] revealed the spatial and temporal characteristics of AOD values during 1980–2008 over mainland China using the TOMS AOD (1980–2001) and MODIS AOD products (2000–2008). Meanwhile, many studies on the spatial and temporal variations of AOD values in regional scale in China were conducted using meteorological measurement and satellite signals [10,26,34–40]. However, few studies have been made for analyzing the characteristics and driving factors of AOD values in different climate zones and terrain features over mainland China, due to the relative sparse AOD and meteorological measurements. Further studies should be made on the spatial and temporal variations of AOD and the effects of economic development, anthropogenic aerosol emissions and land-use and land-cover change (LUCC) on AOD over mainland China.

This study attempted to (1) analyze the spatial and temporal variations of the aerosol optical depth over mainland China using MODIS atmosphere products and MERRA-2 (The Modern Era Retrospective-Analysis for Research and Applications) [41,42] dataset; (2) reveal the characteristics of the aerosol optical depth for sea salt aerosol, black carbon aerosol, organic carbon aerosol, dust aerosol, and SO2 aerosol, respectively; and (3) reveal the effects of anthropogenic aerosol emissions, socioeconomic factors and the land-use and land-cover change (LUCC) on the spatial and temporal variations of AOD in different climate zones and terrains over mainland China.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Area and Data

2.1.1. Observation Data

Daily AOD records (550 nm) during 2002–2014 at CARSNET stations throughout China were used for the validation of AOD values. Figure 1 shows the spatial distributions of these CARSNET stations. Table 1 shows the statistical indicators representing the geographical and climate patterns of these CARSNET stations. It is clear that these stations cover most areas of China with various and complicated geomorphology and terrain features.
Table 1. Geographical and annual mean meteorological information of the CARSNET stations that were used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Lat (deg)</th>
<th>Lon (deg)</th>
<th>A (m)</th>
<th>P (hpa)</th>
<th>RH</th>
<th>SH (h)</th>
<th>T (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>max</td>
<td>47.73° N</td>
<td>126.77° E</td>
<td>3648.9</td>
<td>1042.1</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>14.60</td>
<td>35.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>min</td>
<td>22.63° N</td>
<td>79.93° E</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>638.9</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>−33.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>856.9</td>
<td>922.7</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>6.59</td>
<td>11.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>std</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>956.7</td>
<td>99.1</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>4.11</td>
<td>12.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Lat is latitude, Lon is longitude, A is altitude, P is surface pressure, RH is relative humidity, SH is sunshine hour, T is air temperature.

2.1.2. MODIS and MERRA-2 Products

The AOD values derived from MODIS level-2 products (MOD04/MYD04) and level-3 products (MOD08/MYD08) were validated by CARSNET stations in this study. Both AOD values derived from Terra observations (10:30 LT) and Aqua observations (13:30 LT) were used in this study. The MERRA-2 dataset was provided by the Global Modeling and Assimilation Office (GMAO) in NASA. The MERRA-2 dataset showed better spatial and temporal continuity with longer temporal range (1980–present) than MODIS products. The daily mean AOD values derived from MERRA-2 dataset were also evaluated using AOD measurements at CARNET stations. Detailed information about the MODIS and MERRA-2 dataset that were used in this study are shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Basic information about the MODIS and MERRA-2 products that were used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dataset Name</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Spatial Resolution</th>
<th>Temporal Resolution</th>
<th>Temporal Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MOD04_L2/MYD04_L2</td>
<td>Aerosol optical depth (AOD, 550 nm)</td>
<td>10 km</td>
<td>Instantaneously</td>
<td>2002–2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOD08_D3/MYD08_D3</td>
<td>Aerosol optical depth (AOD, 550 nm)</td>
<td>1° (lat) × 1° (lon)</td>
<td>Instantaneously</td>
<td>2002–2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MERRA-2</td>
<td>Aerosol optical depth (AOD, 550 nm)</td>
<td>0.50° (lat) × 0.625° (lon)</td>
<td>Daily</td>
<td>1980–2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>anthropogenic aerosol emissions (BCEMAN, OCEMAN, SO2MAN and SO4MAN)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AOD for dust aerosol (DUAOD, 550 nm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AOD for black carbon aerosol (BCAOD, 550 nm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AOD for organic carbon aerosol (OCAOD, 550 nm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AOD for Sea salt aerosol (SSAOD, 550 nm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AOD for SO2 aerosol (SO2AOD, 550 nm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.1.3. Socioeconomic Data

Social and economic development indicators during 1988–2015 such as gross domestic product (GDP), population density (PD), industrial output value (ID), the proportion of the first industry to GDP (R1), the proportion of the second industry to GDP (R2), the proportion of the third industry to GDP (R3), the output value of the first industry (G1), the second industry output value (G2), the third industry output value (G3), the built-up area greening rate (GR) and the total passenger volume (PT) and the total freight volume (FT) were mainly derived from the “China City Statistical Yearbook” and the “China Statistical Yearbook” provided by National Bureau of Statistics of the People’s Republic of China [43]. It should be stated that the socioeconomic indicators are incomplete in the “China City Statistical Yearbook for 1992–1993”, thus we excluded the data in 1992–1993.
2.1.4. Climate Zones and Terrain Features

The climate and terrain regionalization data was provided by the Resource and Environment Science Data Center of Chinese Academy of Sciences [44]. Figures 2 and A1 showed the humidity zones and terrain features in China (A for humid, B for semi-humid, C for semi-arid, D for arid; I for cold temperate, II for mid temperate, III for warm temperate, IV for north subtropical, V for the mid-subtropics, VI for the south subtropics, VII for the edge of tropical zone, HI for sub-frigid zone in plateau, HII for temperature zone in plateau, IIE for mid tropical zone with humid weather).

![Figure 2. The humidity zones and temperate zones in China (a) for humidity zones, (b) for temperate zones.](image)

2.2. Trend Analysis Method

In order to characterize the spatial and temporal variations of AOD values, the Mann-Kendall trend (MK) test method employed and the Sen’s Slope trend analysis method were introduced to analyze the significance and the degree of the change of AOD values, respectively.

2.2.1. Mann-Kendall Index

The Mann-Kendall trend test method [45] is a widely used non-parametric statistical test method to test the trend of time series data. The original hypothesis H0 of the Mann-Kendall trend test method is that the time series data is a sample of random variables with the same distribution. The alternative hypothesis is that the time series data has a monotonically increasing or decreasing trend. The test method judges the significance of the change trend by counting the total number of positive biases and alternative hypotheses. First, we define the test statistical S:

\[ S = \sum_{i=2}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \text{sign}(x_j - x_i) \]  

(1)

where \( \text{sign}(x_j - x_i) \) is a symbolic function, which is \(-1, 0 \) or \(1 \) when \( x_j - x_i \) is less than, equal to or greater than \(0\), respectively; the \( S \) represents the temporal trend of the time series data (\( S > 0 \) for upward trend, \( S < 0 \) for downward trend, \( S = 0 \) for no trend). Then, the variance of \( S \) was calculated using the following formula:

\[ \text{VAR}(S) = \frac{n(n-1)(2n+5) - \sum_{p=1}^{q} t_p(t_p-1)(2t_p+5)}{18} \]  

(2)

\[ n \] represents the total number of time series data points.
Finally, the Z representing the trend and the significance of the trend of the time series data were calculated using following formula:

\[
Z = \begin{cases} 
\frac{(S + 1)}{\sqrt{\text{VAR}(S)}} & S > 0 \\
0 & S = 0 \\
\frac{(S - 1)}{\sqrt{\text{VAR}(S)}} & S < 0
\end{cases} \tag{3}
\]

where positive and negative values of Z indicate increasing trend and decreasing trend, respectively. When the absolute value of Z is greater than, or equal to, 1.96 and 2.58, this indicated that the trend analysis passed the significant test under the level of 95% and 99%, respectively.

2.2.2. Sen’s Slope Index

Sen’s Slope was firstly proposed by Sen [46] to analyze the temporal trend of time series data, which could be conducted using the following equations:

\[
Q_{med} = \begin{cases} 
Q_{[(N+1)/2]} & \text{if } N \text{ is an odd number} \\
\frac{Q_{[N/2]} + Q_{[(N+2)/2]}}{2} & \text{if } N \text{ is an even number}
\end{cases} \tag{4}
\]

\[
Q_i = \frac{x_j - x_k}{j - k} \text{ for } i = 1, \ldots, N \tag{5}
\]

where \(x_j\) and \(x_k\) represent the value of time series data at \(j\)th and \(k\)th time (\(j > k\)). When there is only one time series, \(N = n(n - 1)/2\); when there are many time series, \(N < n(n - 1)/2\).

2.3. Statistical Indicators

The following statistical indicators including the correlation coefficient (R), the determination coefficient for linear regression (\(R^2\)), the relative mean absolute error (RMAE, \%), the relative root mean square error (RRMSE, %), and the root mean square error value (RMSE) were used to evaluate the accuracy of AOD values derived from MODIS and MERRA-2 AOD products. The R was also used to reveal the relationship between AOD and influencing factors.

\[
R = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (G_{est,i} - \overline{G_{est,i}}) (G_{obs,i} - \overline{G_{obs,i}})}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (G_{est,i} - \overline{G_{est,i}})^2 \sum_{i=1}^{N} (G_{obs,i} - \overline{G_{obs,i}})^2}} \text{ for } i = 1, \ldots, N \tag{6}
\]

\[
R^2 = \left( \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (G_{est,i} - \overline{G_{est,i}}) (G_{obs,i} - \overline{G_{obs,i}})}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (G_{est,i} - \overline{G_{est,i}})^2 \sum_{i=1}^{N} (G_{obs,i} - \overline{G_{obs,i}})^2}} \right)^2 \text{ for } i = 1, \ldots, N \tag{7}
\]

\[
\text{RRMSE} = 100 / \overline{M} \times \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (G_{obs,i} - G_{est,i})^2}{n}} \text{ for } i = 1, \ldots, N \tag{8}
\]

\[
\text{RMAE} = 100 / \overline{M} \times \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} |G_{obs,i} - G_{est,i}| \right) / n \text{ for } i = 1, \ldots, N \tag{9}
\]

\[
\text{RMSE} = \times \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} (G_{obs,i} - G_{est,i})^2 \right) / n \text{ for } i = 1, \ldots, N \tag{10}
\]

where \(n\) means the number of the samples; \(G_{est,i}\) and \(G_{obs,i}\) are the estimated and observed AOD, respectively; \(G_{est,i}\) and \(G_{obs,i}\) represent the mean of the estimated and observed AOD, respectively; \(\overline{M}\) means the mean of the observed AOD values.
3. Results

3.1. Validation of AOD Products

The AOD records (550 nm) derived from MODIS and MERRA-2 were validated and compared to 29 CARSNET stations (2076 samples). The mean values of AOD records derived from Terra and Aqua observations were approximately set as the daily mean AOD values from MODIS products. Figure 3 shows the scatter plots illustrating the accuracy of AOD values derived from five MODIS AOD products, including MOD08/MYD08 Deep Blue algorithm (MODIS08DB), MOD08/MYD08 Combined Deep Blue and Dark Target algorithm (MODIS08DTBC), MOD08/MYD08 Mean values (MODIS08MEAN), MOD04/MYD04 Deep Blue algorithm (MODIS04DB), and MOD04/MYD04 Dark Target algorithm (MODISDT), respectively. Figure 3 also shows the scatter plot between the AOD values derived from MERRA-2 AOD dataset and CARSNET AOD observations. The results indicate that AOD values derived from the MODIS04 using the Dark Blue algorithm (MODIS04DB) and the Dark Target algorithm (MODIS04DT) show better performances than other products, due to their higher spatial resolutions than other AOD products.

In spite of the relatively poor accuracy of the MERRA AOD dataset, the spatial and temporal continuity of the MERRA-2 AOD products were better than that of MODIS AOD products. Figure 4 illustrates the number of daily AOD records in each grid during 2015 over mainland China. There are many missing values in the MODIS AOD products since the aerosol retrieval algorithm could not work well under cloudy sky conditions or over bright targets. In contrast, all AOD records with a spatial resolution of 0.50° (lat) × 0.625° (lon) throughout China during 1980–2017 could be derived from the MERRA-2 dataset. Meanwhile, the AOD values for black carbon (BCAOD), dust (DUAOD), organic carbon (OCAOD), sea salt (SSAOD), and SO4 (SUAOD) could also be derived from MERRA-2 products. Thus, AOD values derived from MERRA-2 AOD values were used for analyzing the spatial and temporal variations of AOD values throughout China.
AOD values during 1993–2000 were all under the level of 0.250. In the beginning of 21st century, volcano eruptions in 1982 (ALCH Joan volcanic eruption, 0.276) [47] and 1992 (Pinatubo volcanic eruption) [48,49]. The annual mean AOD values during 1993–2000 were all under the level of 0.250. In the beginning of 21st century, volcano eruptions in 1982 (ALCH Joan volcanic eruption, 0.276) [47] and 1992 (Pinatubo volcanic eruption) [48,49]. The annual mean AOD value reached the highest ever level (0.342) in 1992 over mainland China. After 1992, the annual mean AOD values gradually decreased. The annual mean AOD values during 1993–2000 were all under the level of 0.250. In the beginning of 21st century, the AOD values had gradually increased (0.007 year$^{-1}$) in response to the rapid economic development, dramatically rising anthropogenic aerosol emissions and growing population in China. The annual mean AOD values rose from 0.232 (in 2001) to 0.288 (in 2007). However, the period of 2008–2009 appears to be a pivot point in AOD. Since 2008, the anthropogenic aerosol emissions were contained and decreased, as a result of various environmental protection policies for reducing carbon and aerosol emissions in China [50]. Therefore, the annual mean AOD values in China have gradually decreased since 2008 ($-0.004$ year$^{-1}$). The ranges of the annual mean AOD during 2008–2017 was 0.257–0.297. It was clear that AOD fluctuations are the result of multiple natural geographic elements and socioeconomic factors.

Figure 4. The number of AOD records from MODIS during 2015 over mainland China.

3.2. Spatial and Temporal Variations of AOD in China

3.2.1. Annual Variations of AOD in China

Figure 5 shows the annual variations of AOD values during 1980–2017 over mainland China. For the entire study period (1980–2017), there is a slight upward trend (0.002 year$^{-1}$). In the beginning of the 1980s, when the annual mean AOD values over mainland China were relatively lower than that in any other period of time measured, due to low anthropogenic aerosol emissions. Notably, the annual AOD values dramatically fluctuated during 1982–1995, owing to two giant volcano eruptions in 1982 (ALCH Joan volcanic eruption, 0.276) [47] and 1992 (Pinatubo volcanic eruption) [48,49]. The annual mean AOD value reached the highest ever level (0.342) in 1992 over mainland China. After 1992, the annual mean AOD values gradually decreased. The annual mean AOD values during 1993–2000 were all under the level of 0.250. In the beginning of 21st century, the AOD values had gradually increased (0.007 year$^{-1}$) in response to the rapid economic development, dramatically rising anthropogenic aerosol emissions and growing population in China. The annual mean AOD values rose from 0.232 (in 2001) to 0.288 (in 2007). However, the period of 2008–2009 appears to be a pivot point in AOD. Since 2008, the anthropogenic aerosol emissions were contained and decreased, as a result of various environmental protection policies for reducing carbon and aerosol emissions in China [50]. Therefore, the annual mean AOD values in China have gradually decreased since 2008 ($-0.004$ year$^{-1}$). The ranges of the annual mean AOD during 2008–2017 was 0.257–0.297. It was clear that AOD fluctuations are the result of multiple natural geographic elements and socioeconomic factors.

Figure 5. The annual mean values of the MERRA AOD during 1980–2017 over mainland China.
3.2.2. Spatial and Temporal Variations of AOD in China

Figures 6 and 7 illustrate the spatial and temporal variations of AOD values over mainland China. The result illustrated that AOD values were generally higher in spring (0.309) than that in summer (0.271), autumn (0.222), and winter (0.202), due to the dense aerosol generated by the frequent sandstorms in Northern China and the straw combustion in Southern China. The Sichuan Basin (0.703) has always been an area with high AOD values with monthly mean AOD range of 0.355–0.709, due to the strong human activity intensity and the basin topography (hindering aerosol diffusion in the air) there. The AOD values in North China plain and the South Yangtze River were also large, because of intense human activity and favorable humid weather conditions for the formation of haze in the atmosphere. For example the annual mean AOD values in the Huainan and the plain of the middle reaches of the Yangtze River, the North China Plain, the hilly areas of the south of the Yangtze River, the hilly areas in the middle of Shandong Province were 0.513, 0.509, 0.479, 0.481, and 0.438, respectively. The Tarim and Turpan Basin was also an area with high AOD values, especially in summer (0.391), owing to the frequent dusty weather in summer there. In contrast, Northwestern China has always been an area with low AOD values, due to relatively low human activity intensity and clear sky conditions there. For example the ranges of the monthly mean AOD values in Alashan and Hexi Corridor, the Western Inner Mongolia high plains, the Eastern Inner Mongolia high plains were 0.150–0.307, 0.151–0.297, and 0.134–0.293, respectively. The Qinghai Tibet Plateau has always been an area with the lowest AOD values, due to the clear sky conditions there. For example, the ranges of the monthly mean AOD values in the Nagqu Plateau and the Ali Mountains were 0.015–0.080 and 0.047–0.116, respectively.

Figure 8 illustrates the monthly mean AOD values in different climate zones. AOD values were generally higher in humid zones with warm temperate than that in arid zones, due to frequent cloud occurrences there. For example the AOD ranges for VA, IVA, IIIB, and IIIA were 0.259–0.570, 0.374–0.588, 0.303–0.527, and 0.303–0.569, respectively. AOD values in humid zones in China were higher in spring than that in winter, owing to the frequent sandstorms in Northern China and the straw combustion in Southern China. For example the AOD ranges for VIIA, VIA, VA, IVA, IIIB, and IIIA in spring were 0.236–0.508, 0.303–0.582, 0.361–0.570, 0.459–0.588, 0.458–0.461, and 0.448–0.493, respectively. The AOD values were also large in arid areas, owing to the dusty air conditions there. For example the AOD ranges for IIID (warm temperate zones with arid air condition) were 0.173–0.457,
respectively. Relatively large AOD values in the arid areas were mainly observed in spring and summer because of the strong heating atmosphere and surface convection there. For example, the AOD value for IIID in spring, summer, autumn, and winter were 0.410, 0.381, 0.288, and 0.196, respectively. In all, it appears that air temperature and humidity have significant effects on the characteristics of AOD values.

Figure 7. The monthly mean AOD values throughout China.

Figure 8. The monthly mean AOD values in different climate zones (a) for climate zones, (b) for humidity zones.)
3.2.3. Temporal Trends of AOD in China

In this study, the MK index and the Sen Slope index were calculated to characterize the temporal trend of the aerosol optical depth during 1980–2017 in China. Figure 9 shows the spatial distributions of the MK and Sen Slope values for AOD in China. The AOD values in Southeastern China showed a significant (high MK values) increasing trend (positive Sen Slope values), owing to the growing populations and anthropogenic aerosol emissions there. The growing trend of AOD values in Southwestern China was not obvious. By contrast, the AOD values in the Altai Mountains, Greater Khingan Range and the northeast of Inner Mongolia showed a significant decreasing trend of AOD values, because of low anthropogenic aerosol emissions and clear sky conditions there.

The plain and hilly areas of Northern China, Eastern China, and Central China were the areas with the most significant rising trend of aerosol optical depth over mainland China, which is mainly related to the rapid industrial development and growing population since 1980s there. For example, the MK and Sen Slope values for Huainan and the middle and lower reaches of the Yangtze River are 5.816 and 0.0095, respectively. The intensity of human activities in the Tibetan Plateau is weak, so the temporal change of aerosol optical depth in this area is not obvious. For example, the MK and Sen Slope values for Zangnan Mountains were 1.408 and 0.526, respectively. The northeasternmost and the northwesternmost of China were the areas with low aerosol emissions and low intensity of human activity, thus, the aerosol optical depth there even appeared a decline trend. For example, the MK and Sen Slope values for the south of the Five Ridges were −0.722 and −0.0009, respectively.

![Figure 9. The MK and Sen Slope values for AOD throughout China during 1980–2017 (a) for MK values, (b) for Sen Slope values.](image)

4. Discussions

4.1. The Composition of AOD over Mainland China

Daily AOD values for dust aerosol (DUAOD), black carbon aerosol (BCAOD), organic carbon aerosol (OCAOD), sea salt aerosol (SSAOD), and SO$_4$ aerosol (SO$_4$AOD) were derived from the MERRA-2 dataset to analyze the main aerosol resources for the total AOD over mainland China. The AOD values were found to be roughly fitted using DUAOD, BCAOD, OCAOD, SSAOD and SO$_4$AOD values following this equation:

$$AOD_{Total} = DUAOD + BCAOD + OCAOD + SSAOD + SO_4AOD + C$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

where $C$ is a very small constant that could be ignored. Therefore, the contributions of DUAOD, BCAOD, OCAOD, SSAOD, and SO$_4$AOD to $AOD_{Total}$ could be calculated using following equation:

$$AODP = AODS/AOD_{Total}$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

where $AODP$ is the contribution rate (%) of each aerosol to $AOD_{Total}$. 
Figure 10 illustrates the annual mean contributions of DUAOD, BCAOD, OCAOD, SSAOD, and \( \text{SO}_4 \text{AOD} \) to the total AOD values. The AODP for \( \text{SO}_4 \text{AOD} \) (49.51%) were generally higher than that of DUAOD, BCAOD, OCAOD, and SSAOD. It was clear that \( \text{SO}_4 \text{AOD} \) is the main driving factor for the spatial distribution of total AOD values over mainland China, especially in Eastern China owing to the huge anthropogenic \( \text{SO}_4 \) aerosol emissions there. The DUAOD (25.43%) had a significant effect on the total AOD values in China, especially in NorthWestern China, since the Taklimakan Desert is the largest desert with vast dusty aerosol emissions in the air. The BCAOD (5.45%) and OCAOD (13.62%) showed certain but not obvious effect on the distribution of the total AOD values throughout China, due to relatively lower aerosol emissions of black carbon aerosols and organic carbon aerosols than that of dust aerosols and \( \text{SO}_4 \) aerosols. The SSAOD (6.03%) only have a weak effect on the coastal areas of China.

Figure 11 indicates the spatial and seasonal variations of AODP for BCAOD, DUAOD, OCAOD, SSAOD, and \( \text{SO}_4 \text{AOD} \) over mainland China. \( \text{SO}_4 \text{AOD} \) has always shown a great effect on the total AOD values in each season. The AODP for \( \text{SO}_4 \text{AOD} \) were generally higher in winter (53.49%) than that in spring (53.41%), summer (40.84%), and autumn (50.37%) due to the strong human activity. DUAOD occupied a large proportion of the total AOD values in NorthWestern China owing to the perennial dusty air conditions there, especially in the summer due to the strong surface convection during the summer. The mean AODP for DUAOD in spring, summer, autumn, and winter were...
20.34%, 34.59%, 24.59%, and 22.04%, respectively. The BCAOD, OCAOD, and SSAOD had small contributions to the total AOD values, since the black carbon aerosols, organic carbon aerosols, and sea salt aerosol emissions were generally lower than that of dust aerosols and SO\textsubscript{4} aerosols. The mean AODP values in spring for BCAOD, OCAOD, and SSAOD were 6.40%, 14.15%, and 5.69%, respectively; the mean AODP values in summer for BCAOD, OCAOD and SSAOD were 4.59%, 15.69%, and 4.26%, respectively; the mean AODP values in autumn for BCAOD, OCAOD, and SSAOD were 4.90%, 12.65%, and 7.48%, respectively; the mean AODP values in winter for BCAOD, OCAOD, and SSAOD were 5.88%, 11.92%, and 6.65%, respectively.

The AODP for BCAOD, DUAOD, OCAOD, SSAOD, and SO\textsubscript{4}AOD were closely correlated with the climate features. Figure 12 illustrates the AODP values over mainland China in different climate zones. The SO\textsubscript{4}AOD showed a great effect on the total AOD values in all humidity zones, especially in the humid zones, because of the severe industrial air pollutants and humid air conditions there. The AODP for SO\textsubscript{4}AOD in different climates were higher in autumn and winter than that in other seasons because of high anthropogenic SO\textsubscript{4} emissions in autumn and winter. The largest AODP for SO\textsubscript{4}AOD in the humid zones were found in September (67.40%), while the smallest AODP were found in April (47.50%). The largest AODP for SO\textsubscript{4}AOD in arid zones were found in January (44.10%), while the smallest AODP were found in April (24.30%). The AODP for DUAOD were negatively correlated with the humidity since there are more dust aerosol emissions in arid areas than in other humidity zones. The AODP for DUAOD was higher in summer than in other seasons owing to the strong surface convection in summer there. The largest AODP for DUAOD in humid zones were found in May (20.60%), while the smallest AODP were found in January (8.80%). The largest AODP for DUAOD in arid zones were found in May (66.00%), while the smallest AODP were found in January (39.60%).
were the main driving factors for the distributions of the total AOD values. It was obvious that DUAOD and SO$_4$AOD were the main drivers for the total AOD values in all climate zones with high AODP values. Relatively large AODP values for SO$_4$AOD were mainly found in humid areas with warm temperate, owing to the strong SO$_4$ aerosol emissions caused by dense industry and human intensity there. For example the AODP ranges for SO$_4$AOD in IIIA, IIIB, IVA, VA, and VIA were 49.51–71.83%, 44.29–68.30%, 50.15–70.39%, 47.62–70.28%, and 40.56–69.77%, respectively. Small AODP values for SO$_4$AOD were in arid areas, due to low anthropogenic SO$_4$ aerosol emissions there. The AODP values for DUAOD were generally higher in arid area than that in humid area with warm temperate, because of the dense dust aerosol in the air. For example the AODP ranges for DUAOD in IID and IIID were 49.51–76.66% and 40.75–60.78%, respectively. Small AODP values for SO$_4$AOD were in arid areas, due to low anthropogenic SO$_4$ aerosol emissions there. The OCAOD also had a certain effect on the total AOD values, especially in spring. The SSAOD showed certain but obvious effect on the total AOD values in IIE (14.49–28.36%). It could be concluded that DUAOD and SO$_4$AOD were the main driving factors for the distributions of the total AOD values.

Figure 13 illustrates the synergy effect of air temperature and humidity on AODP for different type of aerosols. It was obvious that DUAOD and SO$_4$AOD were the main drivers for the total AOD values in all climate zones with high AODP values. Relatively large AODP values for SO$_4$AOD were mainly found in humid areas with warm temperate, owing to the strong SO$_4$ aerosol emissions caused by dense industry and human intensity there. For example the AODP ranges for SO$_4$AOD in IIIA, IIIB, IVA, VA, and VIA were 49.51–71.83%, 44.29–68.30%, 50.15–70.39%, 47.62–70.28%, and 40.56–69.77%, respectively. Small AODP values for SO$_4$AOD were in arid areas, due to low anthropogenic SO$_4$ aerosol emissions there. The AODP values for DUAOD were generally higher in arid area than that in humid area with warm temperate, because of the dense dust aerosol in the air. For example the AODP for DUAOD in IID and IIID were 49.51–76.66% and 40.75–60.78%, respectively. The OCAOD also had a certain effect on the total AOD values, especially in spring. The SSAOD showed certain but obvious effect on the total AOD values in IIE (14.49–28.36%). It could be concluded that DUAOD and SO$_4$AOD were the main driving factors for the distributions of the total AOD values.
4.2. The Effect of Anthropogenic Aerosol Emissions on AOD

Since the 1980s, anthropogenic aerosols in the atmosphere have dramatically increased over mainland China with the industrial development, which posed great disturbances on the regional climate [51]. The anthropogenic aerosols emitted into the atmosphere mainly include four types of aerosols: black carbon aerosol, organic carbon aerosol, SO2 (sulfur dioxide) aerosols, and SO4 (sulfate) aerosols. This study attempted to reveal the characteristics and effect of the anthropogenic aerosol emissions on AOD, using anthropogenic aerosol emission data derived from MERRA-2 products. Four types of anthropogenic aerosol emissions, including black carbon aerosol (BCEMAN), organic carbon aerosol (OCEMAN), SO2 aerosol (SO2MAN), and SO4 (SO4MAN) aerosol from the MERRA-2 dataset were used in this study.

Figure 14 shows the annual variations of anthropogenic aerosol emissions in China during 1980–2017. The results showed that there was a rapid growing trend of anthropogenic black carbon aerosol (2.135–2.794 × 10\(^{-12}\) kg m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\)), organic carbon aerosol (5.006–6.573 × 10\(^{-12}\) kg m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\)), SO2 aerosol (33.471–44.134 × 10\(^{-12}\) kg m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\)), and SO4 aerosol (1.582–2.082 × 10\(^{-12}\) kg m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\)) in China during 1980–1999, which may be related to the economic development and the growing populations in China during 1980–1999. In 2000–2008, the anthropogenic aerosol emissions in China showed an explosive growing trend because of the rapidly developed industry in China. At that stage, BCEMAN increased from 2.780 × 10\(^{-12}\) kg m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) (in 2000) to 3.350 × 10\(^{-12}\) kg m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) (in 2008); OCEMAN increased from 6.568 × 10\(^{-12}\) kg m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) (in 2000) to 7.135 × 10\(^{-12}\) kg m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) (in 2008); the SO2MAN rose from 43.063 × 10\(^{-12}\) kg m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) (in 2000) to 70.398 × 10\(^{-12}\) kg m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) (in 2008); SO4MAN increased from 2.033 × 10\(^{-12}\) kg m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) (in 2000) to 3.306 × 10\(^{-12}\) kg m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) (in 2008). After 2008, the Chinese government set up a series of laws and regulations to restrict the emissions of air pollutants. At that stage, the BCEMAN, OCEMAN, SO2MAN, and SO4MAN were maintained at the same level of 2008.

Figure 14. The annual variations of the anthropogenic aerosol emissions in China during 1980–2017.

Figure 15 showed the spatial distributions of the anthropogenic aerosol emissions in China during 1980–2017. In China, the economy was relatively more developed in Eastern China than that in Western China. Meanwhile, the burning of fossil fuels and the industrial gas and dust emissions are also larger in Eastern China than that in Western China. The North China Plain was the most densely populated area in China, with high anthropogenic emissions of aerosols. The annual mean BCEMAN, OCEMAN, SO2MAN, and SO4MAN in the North China Plain were 1.168 × 10\(^{-10}\), 4.252 × 10\(^{-11}\), 4.035 × 10\(^{-10}\), and 1.872 × 10\(^{-11}\) kg m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\), respectively. The Sichuan Basin was also an area with
high anthropogenic aerosol emissions, since the aerosol diffusion is hindered by the basin topographic features. The annual mean BCEMAN, OCEMAN, SO\(_2\)MAN, and SO\(_4\)MAN in the Sichuan Basin were \(9.241 \times 10^{-11}\), \(4.078 \times 10^{-11}\), \(2.056 \times 10^{-10}\), and \(9.539 \times 10^{-12}\) kg m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\), respectively. In contrast, the NorthWestern China and the Qinghai Tibet Plateau were always areas with low anthropogenic aerosol emissions. For example, the annual mean BCEMAN, OCEMAN, SO\(_2\)MAN, and SO\(_4\)MAN were \(6.444 \times 10^{-13}\), \(2.916 \times 10^{-13}\), \(2.022 \times 10^{-12}\), and \(9.336 \times 10^{-14}\) kg m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\), respectively.

Figure 16 illustrates the spatial distributions of the correlation coefficient (R) between the annual mean BCEMAN, OCEMAN, SO\(_2\)MAN, SO\(_4\)MAN, and AOD values, respectively. It was clear that the anthropogenic aerosol emissions have great effects on AOD values over mainland China. The highest R for BCEMAN (0.925), OCEMAN (0.915), SO\(_2\)MAN (0.918), and SO\(_4\)MAN (0.927) were mainly observed in Eastern China, owing to the higher human intensity and anthropogenic aerosol emissions there than that in Western China. As the most distributed and densely populated areas in Northern China, the North China Plain has always been an area with high anthropogenic aerosol emissions and AOD values. Therefore, there is a significant positive correlation between anthropogenic aerosol emissions and AOD values in the North China Plain. The mean R for BCEMAN, OCEMAN, SO\(_2\)MAN, and SO\(_4\)MAN in the North China Plain were 0.863, 0.816, 0.363, and 0.376 respectively. The lower reaches of the Yangtze River is also an area with high R values between anthropogenic aerosol emission and AOD values. For example, the mean R for BCEMAN, OCEMAN, SO\(_2\)MAN, and SO\(_4\)MAN in the middle and lower reaches of the Yangtze River were 0.854, 0.739, 0.487 and 0.486, respectively. Both the anthropogenic aerosol emissions and AOD values in the Tibetan Plateau were relatively low, thus, the mean R for BCEMAN, OCEMAN, SO\(_2\)MAN, and SO\(_4\)MAN were \(-0.157\), \(-0.073\), 0.046, and 0.045 respectively.
4.3. The Socioeconomic Factors for AOD

Since the 1980s, the aerosol particle concentration in China has increased dramatically with the developing economy and growing population, resulting in significant aerosol radiative forcing effects [28]. The relationship between AOD (average AOD values in each city over mainland China) and various social and economic development indicators such as gross domestic product (GDP), population density (PD), industrial output value (ID), the proportion of the first industry to GDP (R1), the proportion of the second industry to GDP (R2), the proportion of the third industry to GDP (R3), the output value of the first industry (G1), the second industry output value (G2), the third industry output value (G3), the built-up area greening rate and the total passenger volume (PT), and the total freight volume (FT) were analyzed to reveal the socioeconomic effects on AOD over mainland China. It should be stated that the R could be used to reveal the quantitative relationship between AOD and socioeconomic factors, but could not reveal the causality between AOD and socioeconomic factors.

Figure 17 illustrates the temporal variations of the correlation coefficients between AOD and socioeconomic factors. The results show that the correlation coefficients between social economic factors and AOD were not high in the 1980s–1990s since China’s economy was still in the initial stage of the “Reform and Opening Up”, and its energy consumption and aerosol emissions were kept at a low level at that stage. In that period of time, the range of R for GDP, PD, ID, R1, R2, R3, G1, G2, G3, GR, PT, and FT were 0.122–0.342, 0.275–0.502, 0.120–0.398, −0.118 to 0.089, 0.024 to 0.265, −0.209 to 0.107, −0.207 to 0.152, −0.027 to 0.201, and 0.017–0.561, respectively. Entering the 21st century, the amount of aerosol emissions increased sharply in China. Therefore, the R between social economic factors and AOD was relatively high at that stage. The range of R for GDP, PD, ID, R1, R2, R3, G1, G2, G3, GR, PT, and FT were 0.232–0.633, 0.535–0.765, 0.271–0.782, −0.409 to −0.053, 0.137–0.306, −0.186 to 0.145, 0.302–0.351, 0.248–0.695, −0.144 to 0.548, −0.141 to 0.369, 0.017–0.412, and −0.053 to 0.561, respectively.
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The denser the population is, the higher the emissions of anthropogenic aerosol particles are, and the higher the AOD value is. Therefore, there is a high correlation between PD and AOD, and the R between AOD and PD has maintained a rising trend with the growing population in China in the past decades. The R for PD increased from 0.295 (in 1980) to 0.743 (in 2015). GDP is the main indicator representing the level of economic development. The economic development is in direct proportion to the energy consumption and aerosol emissions. Thus, the GDP was also highly correlated to AOD. The correlation coefficient between GDP and AOD rose from 0.123 (in 1980) to 0.631 (in 2015). Industrial waste gas pollution is the main resource of anthropogenic aerosols in China. Therefore, the correlation coefficient between ID/G2 and AOD were also high. The R for ID rose from 0.164 (in 1980) to 0.783 (in 2015); the R for G2 rose from 0.155 (in 1980) to 0.693 (in 2015). R1, R2, and R3 are the main indicators reflecting the industrial structure, which had a certain, but not obvious, impact on AOD. In recent years, the increasing passenger traffic volume has led to the increase of vehicle emissions, which caused great pollutants to the atmosphere. Therefore, the correlation coefficient between passenger volume and AOD was also large. The correlation coefficient between PT and AOD ranges from 0.052 to 0.582.

4.4. The Effect of Land-Use and Land-Cover Change on AOD

The land-use and land-cover change (LUCC) could directly or indirectly affect the surface reflectance, atmospheric vapor content, and aerosol particle concentration, which have great influences on aerosol optical properties. The land use data with 1 km × 1 km spatial resolution, provided by the Resources and Environmental Science Data Center of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, were used to analyze the characteristics of LUCC in China during 1980, 1990, 1995, 2000, 2005, 2010, and 2015, and the quantitative effects of LUCC on AOD.
Figure 18 shows the mean aerosol optical depth under different land-use coverage in China. Table A1 (Appendix A) shows the aerosol optical depth under different land-use coverage in China during 1980–2017. Urban and rural areas, industrial and mining, and residential land are densely populated with heavy solid waste, domestic waste, and industrial waste; thus, the concentrations of artificial aerosol particles in the air there is higher than that in other areas. Therefore, urban and rural areas, industrial and mining, and residential land have always been areas with high AOD values. For example, the AOD values for urban land, rural settlements, and other constructive land were 0.345, 0.368, and 0.338, respectively, and the range of mean AOD values were 0.234–0.469, 0.244–0.500, and 0.233–0.450, respectively. High water vapor content above the land covered by water is beneficial to the formations and agglomerations of aerosol particles, so the land covered by water is also a land-use coverage area with high AOD values. For example, the annual mean AOD in canal land, lake, reservoir pit, shoal, and bottomland were 0.319, 0.199, 0.160, 0.283, and 0.244, respectively; the AOD under these land-use coverage ranged from 0.219 to 0.430, 0.145 to 0.256, 0.232 to 0.470, 0.148 to 0.394, and 0.180 to 0.315, respectively. The sandy land is also a land-use coverage with high AOD values due to the dense concentrations of dust aerosol in the air there. The annual mean AOD for sandy land is 0.247 with a range of 0.200–0.302.

Table A2 shows the R between the ratio of different land use types to the total land area (LUCCP) and AOD. The urban and rural area, industrial and mining and residential land are areas with strong intensity of human activity and huge anthropogenic aerosol emissions. Therefore, LUCCP is positively correlated with AOD. Among them, the R (0.336–0.436) for LUCCP and AOD is higher than that for other land-use, which is mainly related to the dense populations and strong intensity of human activities. The R for rural settlements (0.149–0.232) and other construction land (0.254–0.352) were also high. The anthropogenic aerosol emissions of forest land is lower than that in other type of land, thus the LUCCP is significantly negatively correlated with AOD values. For example the R for forestland, shrub woodland, sparse woodland, and other woodlands were −0.169 to −0.004, −0.108 to −0.025, −0.279 to −0.202, and −0.241 to −0.124, respectively. The higher the coverage of grassland, the better the air quality, and the lower the concentration of aerosol particles in the air. Therefore, the AOD values were significantly negatively correlated with AOD values. For example, the R for high coverage grassland, middle coverage grassland, and low coverage grassland was −0.418 to −0.281, −0.435 to −0.365 and −0.366 to −0.278, respectively. The permanent glacier area is also an area with low aerosol emissions, thus, the ratio of LUCCP for the permanent glacier area is negatively correlated with the AOD, with an R of −0.213 to −0.150.
5. Conclusions

Using daily MODIS atmosphere products and the MERRA-2 aerosol reanalysis dataset, we analyzed the spatial and temporal characteristics of the aerosol optical depth over mainland China over the past 38 years. Then the temporal trend analysis of the AOD values in different climate zones throughout China were conducted using the Mann-Kendall index and Sen’s Slope index. Finally, the effects of different aerosol resources, anthropogenic aerosol emissions, socioeconomic factors and the land-use and land-cover change (LUCC) on the spatial and temporal variations of AOD in different climate zones and terrains over mainland China were investigated.

The results showed that the MERRA-2 AOD products could provide AOD records with higher spatial and temporal continuity than that of MODIS AOD products. The AOD values fluctuated greatly in the 1980s–1990s over mainland China, due to two giant volcano eruptions, including the ALCH Joan volcanic eruption (1982) and the Pinatubo volcanic eruption (1992). The highest annual mean AOD during 1980–2017 was observed to be 0.342 in 1992. After 1992, the annual mean AOD values gradually decreased. The annual mean AOD values during 1993–2000 were under 0.250. In the beginning of the 21st century, AOD values gradually increased because of the increasing anthropogenic aerosol emissions in China in that period of time. The annual mean AOD values had increased from 0.232 (in 2001) to 0.288 (in 2007). Since 2008, the anthropogenic aerosol emissions were reduced due to stricter environmental protection policies for reducing carbon and aerosol emissions have been formulated and implemented in China. Thus, the annual mean AOD values in China gradually decreased. The range of the annual mean AOD values during 2008–2017 was 0.257–0.297. The AOD values were generally higher in spring than that in other seasons. The largest monthly mean AOD value (0.323) during 1980–2017 was found in April, while the smallest monthly mean AOD value (0.187) during 1980–2017 was found in December. Relatively larger AOD values were mainly observed in the Sichuan Basin, while smaller AOD values were mainly in the Qinghai Tibet Plateau. The Tarim and Turpan Basin was also an area with high AOD values, owing to the dusty air conditions there. In terms of the AOD trends, the AOD values in Southeastern China showed a significant (high MK values) increasing trend (high Sen Slope values). By contrast, the AOD values in the Altai Mountains, Greater Khingan Range, and the northeast of Inner Mongolia showed a significant decreasing trend (negative Sen Slope values).

The contributions of DUAOD, BCAOD, OCAOD, SSAOD, and SO\textsubscript{4}AOD to DUAOD, BCAOD, OCAOD, SSAOD, and SO\textsubscript{4}AOD to Total were calculated using Equations (11) and (12). The result showed that DUAOD and SO\textsubscript{4}AOD were the main driving factors for the distributions of the total AOD values in different climate zones over mainland China with high contributions. The annual mean AODP for BCAOD, DUAOD, OCAOD, SSAOD, and SO\textsubscript{4}AOD were 5.45%, 25.43%, 13.62%, 6.03%, and 49.51%, respectively. Then, the effects of the anthropogenic aerosol emissions and socioeconomic factors on the spatial and temporal variations of AOD values throughout China were analyzed using the MERRA-2 dataset and economic statistics. The anthropogenic aerosol emissions showed great effects on AOD values, especially in Eastern China. The highest R values for BCEMAN (0.925), OCEMAN (0.915), SO\textsubscript{2}MAN (0.918), and SO\textsubscript{4}MAN (0.927) were mainly observed in Eastern China. The GDP, population density, and passenger traffic volume were found to be the main socioeconomic factors for AOD distributions. Finally, the correlation between AOD values and land-use and land-cover change (LUCC) were analyzed. The result showed that relatively higher AOD values were mainly observed in urban and rural areas, industrial and mining, and residential areas. For example, the annual mean AOD values for urban land, rural settlements, and other constructive land were 0.345, 0.368, and 0.338, respectively. The R (0.336–0.436) for the ratio of urban land to total land area and AOD was higher than that for other land-use coverage, which is mainly related to the dense populations and strong intensity of human activities there. The land covered by water and sandy land were also areas with high AOD values. For example, the higher the coverage of grassland, the better the air quality and the lower the concentration of aerosol particles in the air. Therefore, the AOD values for grassland were negatively correlated with AOD values. For example, the R for high coverage...
grassland, middle coverage grassland, and low coverage grassland was \(-0.418\) to \(-0.281\), \(-0.435\) to \(-0.365\), and \(-0.366\) to \(-0.278\), respectively.

Certainly, the accuracy and spatial resolutions of AOD values derived from the MERRA-2 products should be improved to reveal the spatial and temporal variations of AOD values in more precise spatial and temporal scales over mainland China. An improved aerosol algorithm should be developed for the estimation of the total AOD, BCAOD, DUAOD, OCAOD, SSAOD, and SO\(_4\)AOD values. More AOD products with high accuracy and high spatial resolutions, such as TOMS, MODIS, and MISR AOD products, could be compared to reveal the spatial and temporal variations of AOD in China in further studies. Meanwhile, the driving mechanisms of the effects of the anthropogenic aerosol emissions, socioeconomic factors, and land-use should be further investigated using system dynamics.
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**Appendix A**

**Table A1.** The annual mean AOD in different land-use coverage during 1980–2015.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Paddy Land</td>
<td>0.107</td>
<td>0.158</td>
<td>0.164</td>
<td>0.199</td>
<td>0.314</td>
<td>0.352</td>
<td>0.291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry Land</td>
<td>0.106</td>
<td>0.159</td>
<td>0.156</td>
<td>0.206</td>
<td>0.276</td>
<td>0.328</td>
<td>0.286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forestland</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>0.103</td>
<td>0.102</td>
<td>0.140</td>
<td>0.206</td>
<td>0.236</td>
<td>0.211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shrub Woodland</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td>0.106</td>
<td>0.106</td>
<td>0.138</td>
<td>0.213</td>
<td>0.260</td>
<td>0.211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sparse Woodland</td>
<td>0.087</td>
<td>0.136</td>
<td>0.140</td>
<td>0.174</td>
<td>0.276</td>
<td>0.316</td>
<td>0.260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Woodlands</td>
<td>0.074</td>
<td>0.102</td>
<td>0.130</td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>0.242</td>
<td>0.265</td>
<td>0.230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Coverage Grassland</td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>0.174</td>
<td>0.182</td>
<td>0.212</td>
<td>0.224</td>
<td>0.254</td>
<td>0.243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Coverage Grassland</td>
<td>0.145</td>
<td>0.169</td>
<td>0.188</td>
<td>0.209</td>
<td>0.220</td>
<td>0.247</td>
<td>0.229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Coverage Grassland</td>
<td>0.133</td>
<td>0.149</td>
<td>0.140</td>
<td>0.185</td>
<td>0.182</td>
<td>0.205</td>
<td>0.190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal Land</td>
<td>0.219</td>
<td>0.263</td>
<td>0.266</td>
<td>0.307</td>
<td>0.390</td>
<td>0.430</td>
<td>0.387</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>0.145</td>
<td>0.168</td>
<td>0.174</td>
<td>0.208</td>
<td>0.234</td>
<td>0.256</td>
<td>0.236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reservoir Pit</td>
<td>0.232</td>
<td>0.277</td>
<td>0.283</td>
<td>0.320</td>
<td>0.433</td>
<td>0.470</td>
<td>0.410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent Glacier Snow</td>
<td>0.124</td>
<td>0.140</td>
<td>0.139</td>
<td>0.179</td>
<td>0.178</td>
<td>0.198</td>
<td>0.192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoal</td>
<td>0.221</td>
<td>0.254</td>
<td>0.148</td>
<td>0.278</td>
<td>0.367</td>
<td>0.394</td>
<td>0.349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bottomland</td>
<td>0.180</td>
<td>0.209</td>
<td>0.220</td>
<td>0.245</td>
<td>0.276</td>
<td>0.315</td>
<td>0.291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban Land</td>
<td>0.234</td>
<td>0.279</td>
<td>0.283</td>
<td>0.333</td>
<td>0.424</td>
<td>0.469</td>
<td>0.423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural Settlements</td>
<td>0.244</td>
<td>0.299</td>
<td>0.298</td>
<td>0.358</td>
<td>0.448</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>0.456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Constructive Land</td>
<td>0.233</td>
<td>0.280</td>
<td>0.283</td>
<td>0.330</td>
<td>0.420</td>
<td>0.450</td>
<td>0.400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>0.219</td>
<td>0.249</td>
<td>0.262</td>
<td>0.253</td>
<td>0.302</td>
<td>0.275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gobi</td>
<td>0.168</td>
<td>0.180</td>
<td>0.190</td>
<td>0.210</td>
<td>0.198</td>
<td>0.229</td>
<td>0.202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saline Alkali Land</td>
<td>0.173</td>
<td>0.182</td>
<td>0.199</td>
<td>0.219</td>
<td>0.212</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>0.228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marshland</td>
<td>0.164</td>
<td>0.172</td>
<td>0.153</td>
<td>0.191</td>
<td>0.178</td>
<td>0.211</td>
<td>0.211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bare Land</td>
<td>0.174</td>
<td>0.190</td>
<td>0.184</td>
<td>0.216</td>
<td>0.206</td>
<td>0.238</td>
<td>0.214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bare Rock Land</td>
<td>0.137</td>
<td>0.147</td>
<td>0.158</td>
<td>0.188</td>
<td>0.183</td>
<td>0.208</td>
<td>0.194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0.099</td>
<td>0.109</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>0.142</td>
<td>0.135</td>
<td>0.147</td>
<td>0.132</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table A2. The correlation coefficients between the annual mean AOD and proportions of land-use area to the total land area during 1980–2015.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Paddy Land</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.077 **</td>
<td>0.101 **</td>
<td>0.142 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry Land</td>
<td>0.111 **</td>
<td>0.107 **</td>
<td>0.121 **</td>
<td>0.109 **</td>
<td>0.138 **</td>
<td>0.149 **</td>
<td>0.163 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forestland</td>
<td>-0.004</td>
<td>-0.062 **</td>
<td>-0.071 **</td>
<td>-0.126 **</td>
<td>-0.169 **</td>
<td>-0.152 **</td>
<td>-0.110 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shrub Woodland</td>
<td>-0.101 **</td>
<td>-0.047 **</td>
<td>-0.025</td>
<td>-0.059 **</td>
<td>-0.041</td>
<td>-0.062</td>
<td>-0.108 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sparse Woodland</td>
<td>-0.208 **</td>
<td>-0.260 **</td>
<td>-0.225 **</td>
<td>-0.279 **</td>
<td>-0.270 **</td>
<td>-0.246 **</td>
<td>-0.202 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Woodlands</td>
<td>-0.155 **</td>
<td>-0.155 **</td>
<td>-0.124 **</td>
<td>-0.146 **</td>
<td>-0.163 **</td>
<td>-0.213 **</td>
<td>-0.216 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Coverage Grassland</td>
<td>-0.418 **</td>
<td>-0.392 **</td>
<td>-0.281 **</td>
<td>-0.380 **</td>
<td>-0.360 **</td>
<td>-0.364 **</td>
<td>-0.380 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid Coverage Grassland</td>
<td>-0.365 **</td>
<td>-0.388 **</td>
<td>-0.386 **</td>
<td>-0.388 **</td>
<td>-0.393 **</td>
<td>-0.404 **</td>
<td>-0.435 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Coverage Grassland</td>
<td>-0.278 **</td>
<td>-0.321 **</td>
<td>-0.333 **</td>
<td>-0.332 **</td>
<td>-0.346 **</td>
<td>-0.352 **</td>
<td>-0.366 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canal Land</td>
<td>0.179 **</td>
<td>0.200 **</td>
<td>0.178 **</td>
<td>0.216 **</td>
<td>0.210 **</td>
<td>0.213 **</td>
<td>0.227 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake</td>
<td>0.056 **</td>
<td>0.062 **</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>0.080 **</td>
<td>0.080 **</td>
<td>0.079 **</td>
<td>0.100 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reservoir Pit</td>
<td>0.227 **</td>
<td>0.250 **</td>
<td>0.223 **</td>
<td>0.262 **</td>
<td>0.291 **</td>
<td>0.296 **</td>
<td>0.299 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent Glacier Snow</td>
<td>-0.213 **</td>
<td>-0.159 **</td>
<td>-0.164 **</td>
<td>-0.157 **</td>
<td>-0.150 **</td>
<td>-0.152 **</td>
<td>-0.155 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoal</td>
<td>0.092 **</td>
<td>0.089 **</td>
<td>0.096 **</td>
<td>0.088 **</td>
<td>0.125 **</td>
<td>0.125 **</td>
<td>0.106 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bottomland</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>-0.024</td>
<td>-0.014</td>
<td>-0.054</td>
<td>-0.015</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban Land</td>
<td>0.336 **</td>
<td>0.379 **</td>
<td>0.375 **</td>
<td>0.421 **</td>
<td>0.434 **</td>
<td>0.425 **</td>
<td>0.436 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural Settlements</td>
<td>0.218 **</td>
<td>0.192 **</td>
<td>0.162 **</td>
<td>0.149 **</td>
<td>0.153 **</td>
<td>0.184 **</td>
<td>0.232 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Constructive Land</td>
<td>0.254 **</td>
<td>0.283 **</td>
<td>0.289 **</td>
<td>0.331 **</td>
<td>0.352 **</td>
<td>0.347 **</td>
<td>0.329 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand</td>
<td>-0.095 **</td>
<td>-0.129 **</td>
<td>-0.124 **</td>
<td>-0.139 **</td>
<td>-0.158 **</td>
<td>-0.150 **</td>
<td>-0.152 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gobi</td>
<td>-0.121 **</td>
<td>-0.135 **</td>
<td>-0.151 **</td>
<td>-0.150 **</td>
<td>-0.158 **</td>
<td>-0.161 **</td>
<td>-0.163 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saline Alkali Land</td>
<td>-0.008</td>
<td>-0.123 **</td>
<td>-0.110 **</td>
<td>-0.146</td>
<td>-0.158</td>
<td>-0.155</td>
<td>-0.144 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marshland</td>
<td>-0.065 **</td>
<td>-0.101 **</td>
<td>-0.125 **</td>
<td>-0.118 **</td>
<td>-0.155 **</td>
<td>-0.142 **</td>
<td>-0.114 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bare Land</td>
<td>-0.009 **</td>
<td>-0.089 **</td>
<td>-0.108 **</td>
<td>-0.110 **</td>
<td>-0.118 **</td>
<td>-0.120 **</td>
<td>-0.126 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bare Rock Land</td>
<td>-0.298 **</td>
<td>-0.292 **</td>
<td>-0.294 **</td>
<td>-0.287 **</td>
<td>-0.278 **</td>
<td>-0.280 **</td>
<td>-0.289 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>-0.107 **</td>
<td>-0.116 **</td>
<td>-0.075 **</td>
<td>-0.116 **</td>
<td>-0.119 **</td>
<td>-0.123 **</td>
<td>-0.129 **</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* means R is significant at 0.005 confidence level; ** means R is significant at 0.001 confidence level.

Figure A1. The topographic zones over mainland China (IA1 for the Greater Khingan Range; IIA2 for the mountainous area in the eastern part of Northeast China; IIB3 for the foothills of the piedmont of Sanhe Mountain; IIA3 for the piedmont plain in the eastern part of Northeast China; IIA1 for the Sanjiang Plain; IIB2 for south of the Greater Khingan Range; IIB1 for the Central Songliao Plain; IIC3 for the Eastern Inner Mongolia high plain; IID4 for the Altai Mountains and the Tacheng Basin; IID3 for the Junggar Basin; IIC3 for the Eastern Inner Mongolia high plain; IIC2 for south of the Greater Khingan Range; IIC1 for the Southwestern Songliao Plain; IIDS for Ili Basin; IIDD1 for the Inner Mongolia High Plain; IIDD1 for Tarim and Turpan Basins; IID2 for the Alashan and Hexi Corridor; IIDD2 for the north wing of the Kunlun Mountains; IIB3 for the mountains and hills in Northern China; IIIA1 for the hills in Jiaodong and Liaodong; IIB2 for the North China Plain; HID1 for the Alpine Plateau in Kunlun;
HIID1 for the Qaidam Basin; IIIC1 for the Jinzhong-Shaanxi-Gandong Plateau; HIIC1 for the Qilian Mountain Area; IIIA1 for the hills in Jiaodong and Liaodong; IIIB1 for the Shandong hilly area; IIIB4 for the Shanxi-Guanzhong Basin; HIID3 for Alishan Mountain; HIC2 for the Qiangtang Plateau Lake Basin; VAI1 for Huainan and the middle and lower reaches of the Yangtze River; HIB1 for the GologNagquHilly Plateau; IVA2 for the Hanzhoung Basin; HIVAB1 for the deep alpine valley in Tibet, Sichuan Province; VA4 for the Fujian and Guangdong Guangxi Hilly Plain; VAI1 for the mountains and plains in North-Central Taiwan; VIIA1 for the lowlands in Southern Taiwan; VIIA3 for the Hilly Valley in Southern Yunnan; VIIA2 for the hilly area in Qiong Lei; VIII1 for theQiong Lei Lowlands and Dongsha-Xisha-Nansha;and HIIC2 for the Zangnan mountain area).
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