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**Abstract:** The on-board real-time tree crown detection from high-resolution remote sensing images is beneficial for avoiding the delay between data acquisition and processing, reducing the quantity of data transmission from the satellite to the ground, monitoring the growing condition of individual trees, and discovering the damage of trees as early as possible, etc. Existing high performance platform based tree crown detection studies either focus on processing images in a small size or suffer from high power consumption or slow processing speed. In this paper, we propose the first FPGA-based real-time tree crown detection approach for large-scale satellite images. A pipelined-friendly and resource-economic tree crown detection algorithm (PF-TCD) is designed through reconstructing and modifying the workflow of the original algorithm into three computational kernels on FPGAs. Compared with the well-optimized software implementation of the original algorithm on an Intel 12-core CPU, our proposed PF-TCD obtains the speedup of 18.75 times for a satellite image with a size of $12,188 \times 12,576$ pixels without reducing the detection accuracy. The image processing time for the large-scale remote sensing image is only 0.33 s, which satisfies the requirements of the on-board real-time data processing on satellites.
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## 1. Introduction

The automatic tree crown detection from high-resolution remote sensing images has been an important research topic with wide attention for decades [1,2]. The distribution and the number of trees in a plantation area are valuable information for predicting the yield of trees’ fruitage, understanding the growing situation or survival rate of trees after plantation, and planning the irrigation or fertilization, etc. [3,4]. Owing to the rapid development of satellite, Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV), and airborne remote sensing technology, the large-scale and high-resolution remote sensing images become increasingly abundant [5,6]. Various approaches for automatic tree crown detection have been proposed in many remote sensing studies.
In general, existing methods for automatic tree crown detection can be summarized into the following three categories. The first category is traditional image processing-based methods \( [7,8] \), more specifically, the image binarization \( [9] \), the template matching \( [10] \), the local maximum filter based methods \( [2] \), etc. The second category is traditional machine learning based methods, including maximum likelihood \( [11] \), support vector machine \( [12] \), extreme learning machine \( [13] \), random forest \( [14] \), etc. The third category is deep learning based methods, which are based on the convolutional neural networks \( [4,15–17] \). Although supervised machine learning and deep learning based methods usually achieve relatively high accuracy, these methods require a large number of manually labeled training samples \( [14,18] \), which are still very limited for tree crown detection in large-scale areas. For traditional image processing based methods, the local maximum filter based method often achieves similar or higher detection accuracy compared with other traditional methods (e.g., template matching and binarization based methods) \( [4,9] \). Moreover, no manually labeled dataset for tree crown detection is required for this method. For these reasons, we select the most widely used local maximum filter based method (proposed in \( [2] \)) as the prototype of the tree crown detection approach proposed in this study.

Due to the increasing quantity of remote sensing data and the growing speed of data acquisitions, many efforts have been made towards the on-board remote sensing data processing, which is not only aimed at avoiding the delay between data acquisition and data processing but also reducing the quantity of data transmission from the satellite to the ground \( [19–21] \). Among various high performance platforms (e.g., multi-core processors \( [22] \), many-core processors \( [23] \), Graphics Processing Units (GPUs) \( [24] \)), the Field Programmable Gate Arrays (FPGAs) have become one of the most popular platforms for on-board remote sensing data processing for the following reasons. First, FPGAs have relatively smaller size and weight compared with clusters of computers, multi-core processors and many-core processors, etc. \( [25] \). The second advantage is that FPGAs require significantly lower power consumption compared with GPUs, which is a great benefit considering the limited power budget of the satellites \( [26] \). Moreover, different from ASICs, FPGAs have the inherent ability to be reprogrammed when their functionality needs to be updated during the lifetime of the satellite \( [27] \). Owing to above reasons, FPGA-based platforms have been broadly used for accelerating many on-board data processing tasks, including hyperspectral image processing (e.g., classification, anomaly detection, unmixing, etc.) \( [28,29] \), cloud detection \( [30] \), vehicle detection \( [31] \), tree crown detection \( [32] \), etc.

Many of the FPGA-based on-board data processing studies are aimed at hyperspectral image processing. For instance, González et al. \( [25] \) developed an algorithm for automatically detecting anomaly targets in remotely sensed hyperspectral images on FPGA, achieving 4.6 times speedup for a \( 614 \times 512 \) image over the serial implementation on CPU. Wang et al. \( [28] \) presented a scalable dataflow accelerator on FPGA for real-time SVM classification of hyperspectral images, achieving 14 times speedup for a \( 145 \times 145 \) image over the implementation on a 12-core CPU. Moreover, in some studies, the FPGA has been applied to real-time on-board object detection for high resolution remote sensing images. For instance, Shan et al. \( [30] \) proposed an optimized architecture for real-time automatic cloud detection using the spectrum and texture analysis combination (STAC) approach, obtaining 3 times speedup compared with the standard STAC approach. Tang et al. \( [31] \) proposed an FPGA-based moving target detection system for UAV in real-time, obtaining 2.28 times speedup over the serial CPU for a \( 640 \times 480 \) image. These existing FPGA-based studies often focus on on-board remote sensing image processing in a small scale.

In recent years, there have been several studies about accelerating tree crown detection algorithms on high performance platforms, which contribute to monitoring the growing condition of individual trees in real time and discovering the abnormal situations (e.g., the damage or cutting down of trees) as early as possible. The on-board tree crown detection from satellite images also enables that only a small quantity of tree crowns’ coordinates is required to be transmitted from the satellite to the ground instead of a large quantity of remote sensing images. Duncanson et al. \( [33] \) developed an efficient tree crown delineation algorithm on 32-core CPUs. Although the proposed method is nearly
32 times faster than the serial implementation, it still takes 90 and 30 min to process two LiDAR datasets. Jiang et al. [34] developed a GPU-accelerated tree detection method for UAV images using a scale-space filtering method. It achieves 44.77 times and 28.54 times speedups for two testing images with a size of $4000 \times 3000$ pixels. The processing time of each image is less than 1 s, which satisfies the real-time processing requirement. However, the high power consumption of GPU makes it difficult to satisfy the limited power budget of satellites for on-board data processing [26]. Chen et al. [32] developed a parallel processing approach of the multi-level morphological active contour algorithm for tree crown detection on FPGA. The experimental results indicate that the proposed architecture could provide around 31% acceleration for an image with only $454 \times 424$ pixels compared with the simple implementation in Matlab. To the best of our knowledge, there is no related research about the tree crown detection algorithm used in our study (proposed in [2]) on FPGAs or any other high performance platforms.

In this paper, we design and implement an FPGA-based approach for automatic tree crown detection from large-scale remote sensing images, which satisfies the power, latency and performance requirements of the practical scenarios of on-board data processing. It is the first high performance design of the original tree crown detection algorithm used in this study (proposed in [2]). As one of the most classical tree crown detection algorithms for optical remote sensing images, the algorithm proposed in [2] has been widely used and cited in over three hundreds studies for decades. Experiment results show that our proposed design can provide a high performance solution for processing a large-scale remote sensing image of $12,188 \times 12,576$ pixels in 0.33 s, archiving 18.7-times speedup over the well-optimized software implementation on 12-core CPU. Our main contributions are summarized as follows.

- The direct mapping of the original tree crown detection algorithm to FPGAs results in high resource utilization and each pixel requires to be streamed for multiple times. Through reconstructing and modifying the original workflow into three computational kernels on FPGAs, we design a pipelined-friendly tree crown detection approach (PF-TCD) so that each pixel of the image can be streamed for only once.
- Through optimizing and adjusting the local maximum filtering, transect sampling, and minimum distance filtering algorithms of the tree crown detection approach, the utilization of different resources (look-up tables (LUTs), flip-flops (FFs), digital signal processor blocks (DSPs), and block random access memory (BRAMs)) is reduced significantly and well balanced, avoiding any of them becoming the performance bottleneck.
- We propose a complete FPGA-based framework for processing the large-scale remote sensing image in real time, which provides a high performance solution for tree crown detection from the raw remote sensing images to the final detection results. The proposed framework can process the satellite image of $12,188 \times 12,576$ pixels in 0.33 s, achieving 18.7-times speedup over the well-optimized software implementation on 12-core CPU.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the workflow of the original tree crown detection algorithm. Section 3 introduces the study area and data of this research. Section 4 presents the overall framework of the tree crown detection approach on FPGAs and the algorithm design of the three computational kernels. Section 5 analyzes the experimental results of our proposed approach. Section 6 discusses the performance of our proposed approach and other related studies. Section 7 presents the main conclusions and the future work of this study.

2. Background

The original tree crown detection algorithm developed in this study is based on the method proposed in [2], which has been one of the most widely used tree crown detection algorithm for optical remote sensing images for decades. Figure 1 shows the overall process of this algorithm, including image pre-processing, non-overlapping local maximum filtering, transect sampling,
circular-window-based local maximum filtering, and minimum distance filtering. The details of these phases are described from Sections 2.1–2.3.

2.1. Image Pre-Processing and Non-Overlapping Local Maximum Filtering

To enhance the distinctiveness of tree crown apex, an image pre-processing method is undertaken for each pixel to calculate an index from its original band values that can best identify the tree crown from other types of pixels. Several calculation methods can be applied to obtain the index. Based on the existing studies [35] and our experiment tests, we find that the best index for remote sensing images with only Red, Green and Blue bands and those with Red, Green, Blue and Near Infrared (NIR) bands can be obtained according to Equation (1), where \( n_{band} \) is the number of bands of the input image; \( R_i, G_i \) and \( N_i \) are the values of Red, Green and NIR band of pixel \( i \), respectively; \( P_i \) is the calculated index of pixel \( i \). After this phase, the multi-band remote sensing image will be converted into a single-band image, as shown in Figure 1a,b.

\[
P_i = \begin{cases} 
\frac{(G_i - R_i)}{(G_i + R_i)}, & n_{band} = 3 \\
|N_i - R_i|, & n_{band} = 4
\end{cases}
\]  

(1)

After image pre-processing, a non-overlapping local maximum filter is applied to the single-band image to find the initial coordinates of potential tree crowns. The window width of each local maximum filter (denoted by \( w \)) should not be larger than the average diameter of each tree crown in the image. The moving distance in each step is equal to the window width for the non-overlapping local maximum filter. For the \( j_{th} \) window (denoted by \( W_j \)) in the image with a size of \( W \times W \) pixels, the calculation process can be summarized according to Equations (2)–(5), where \( P_k \) is the value of the \( k_{th} \) pixel in a window; \( M(W_j) \) is the maximum pixel value of \( W_j \); \( I(W_j) \) is the index of \( M(W_j) \) relative to the window \( W_j \); \( X(W_j) \) and \( Y(W_j) \) are the X and Y coordinates of \( M(W_j) \) relative to the whole image. The number of windows in the whole image is denoted by \( N \). The result obtained after this phase is shown in Figure 1b, in which each black point denotes the local maximum of each window.

\[
M(W_j) = \max \{ P_k : k \in W_j \}
\]  

(2)

**Figure 1.** The overall process of the original tree crown detection algorithm. (a) The input image; (b) Image pre-processing and non-overlapping local maximum filtering; (c) Transect sampling; (d) Circular-window-based local maximum filtering; (e) Minimum distance filtering; (f) The output results of the tree crown detection algorithm.
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\[ I(W_j) = \arg\max\{P_k : k \in W_j\} \] (3)

\[ X(W_j) = (j\% (W/w)) \times w + I(W_j)\%w \] (4)

\[ Y(W_j) = (j/ (W/w)) \times w + I(W_j)/w \] (5)

2.2. Transect Sampling and Circular-Window-Based Local Maximum Filtering

In this phase, we adjust the initial coordinates of potential tree apexes obtained in the former phase to new positions based on the transect sampling strategy and the circular-window-based local maximum filtering strategy, as shown in Figure 1c,d. In the phase of transect sampling, we find the radius with the maximum change of pixel value for each transect and calculate the average radius of all transects. Figure 1c shows some examples of the transects using the black lines.

The process of the transect sampling strategy is described in Algorithm 1. \(N_w, N_t\) and \(n_t\) denote the number of windows, the number of transects, and the number of pixels in a transect, respectively. \(\Delta r\) is the change of radius in each step. \(x_{q'}, y_{q'}, I_{q'}\) are the \(x\) coordinate, the \(y\) coordinate, and the index of the current pixel (\(q\)) or the next pixel (\(q + 1\)), all of which are relative to the whole image. \(C_q\) is the change of pixel value between two neighboring pixels. \(R_p\) is the radius with the maximum change of pixel value for the \(p_{th}\) transect. \(R_j\) is the average radius of all transects.

In the phase of circular-window-based local maximum filtering, \(R_j\) is used as the radius of the circular window. For each local maximum’s coordinate obtained in Section 2.1 (denoted by the black points in Figure 1d, we find the new local maximum’s coordinate within the corresponding circular window (denoted by the blue circles in Figure 1d). The process of circular-window-based local maximum filtering is similar to the one of non-overlapping local maximum filtering described in Section 2.1. The updated tree apexes (denoted by black points in Figure 1e) might be out of the former windows used in non-overlapping local maximum filtering.

Algorithm 1 Transect sampling

\[
\text{for all } j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, N_w - 1\} \text{ do}
\]
\[
\quad \text{for all } p \in \{0, 1, \ldots, N_t - 1\} \text{ do}
\]
\[
\quad \quad \text{for all } q \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n_t - 1\} \text{ do}
\]
\[
\quad \quad \quad \text{for all } q' \in \{q, q + 1\} \text{ do}
\]
\[
\quad \quad \quad \quad x_{q'} = X(W_j) + q' \times \Delta r \times \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{n_t} \times p\right)
\]
\[
\quad \quad \quad \quad y_{q'} = Y(W_j) - q' \times \Delta r \times \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{n_t} \times p\right)
\]
\[
\quad \quad \quad \quad I_{q'} = x_{q'} + y_{q'} \times W
\]
\[
\quad \quad \quad \end{for}
\]
\[
\quad \quad C_q = P(I_{q+1}) - P(I_q)
\]
\[
\quad \end{for}
\]
\[
\quad R_p = \arg\max\{C_q : q \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n_t - 1\}\} \times \Delta r
\]
\[
\end{for}
\]
\[
R_j = \frac{\sum_{p=0}^{N_t-1} R_p}{N_t}
\]

2.3. Minimum Distance Filtering

In the phase of minimum distance filtering, we average a group of local maximum’s coordinates into one coordinate if the distances between these coordinates are smaller than the allowed physical structure of a tree (the black points within the red circles in Figure 1e). The process of this phase is described in Algorithm 2. \(X_{\text{sum}}\) and \(Y_{\text{sum}}\) are the sum of coordinates of a group of local maxima. \(N_{\text{merge}}\) is the number of local maxima in a group. \(X'(W_j)\) and \(Y'(W_j)\) are the local maximum’s coordinates of
the \( j \)th window obtained in Section 2.2. \( S_j \) and \( S_{jj} \) are the status of the local maximum’s coordinate of the \( j \)th and the \( jj \)th windows, in which the False indicates the coordinate has been removed while the Truth indicates the coordinate still exists. \( d_{jj} \) is the Euclidean distance between the local maximum’s coordinates of the \( j \)th and the \( jj \)th windows. \( d_{min} \) is the minimum allowed distance between two tree apexes. \( X_{avg} \) and \( Y_{avg} \) are the average coordinates of a group of local maxima. After this phase, we can obtain the final tree crown detection results. The final tree crown coordinates are denoted by the black points in Figure 1f.

**Algorithm 2 Minimum distance filtering**

\[
\text{for all } j \in \{0, 1, ..., N_w - 1\} \text{ do} \\
\quad \text{if } S_j == \text{True} \text{ then} \\
\qquad X_{sum} = 0, Y_{sum} = 0, N_{merge} = 0 \\
\quad \text{for all } jj \in \{0, 1, ..., N_w - 1\} \text{ do} \\
\qquad \quad d_{jj} = \text{dist}((X'(W_j), Y'(W_j)), (X'(W_{jj}), Y'(W_{jj}))) \\
\qquad \quad \text{if } (S_{jj} == \text{True} \& \& d_{jj} < d_{min}) \text{ then} \\
\qquad \qquad X_{sum} += X'(W_{jj}), Y_{sum} += Y'(W_{jj}), N_{merge} += 1, S_{jj} = \text{False} \\
\qquad \text{end if} \\
\quad \text{end for} \\
\quad \text{end if} \\
\text{end for}
\]

\[
X_{avg} = \frac{X_{sum}}{N_{merge}}, Y_{avg} = \frac{Y_{sum}}{N_{merge}}
\]

3. Data

The remote sensing data used in this research is a large-scale Quickbird satellite image collected from the south of Malaysia on 21 November 2006, as shown in Figure 2. There are different land cover types in this study area, e.g., oil palm plantation areas, grassland, forests, buildings, bare land, etc. The Quickbird satellite image has four spectral bands (Red, Green, Blue, and NIR) with a size of 12,188 \( \times \) 12,576 pixels and a spatial resolution of 0.6 meters. Six regions are selected from the whole study area (denoted by red squares in Figure 2, each with a size of 600 \( \times \) 600 pixels and various land cover types) for evaluating the detection accuracy of our proposed approach on FPGAs.

In our study area, most of the regions are covered by the oil palm trees, which are one of the most vital economic crops in Malaysia and other tropical countries [36]. The palm oil produced by the oil palm trees is the most consumed vegetable oil all over the world [16]. The automatic detection of oil palm trees from the high-resolution satellite image is an important research issue for understanding the growing situation of oil palms, improving the resource utilization, and other essential aspects of the precision agriculture of oil palms [3]. The crown diameter of a mature oil palm is about ten meters (i.e., 15–17 pixels in the Quickbird image).

Moreover, many oil palm mapping studies have published regional or worldwide oil palm plantation maps [36,37], which can be combined with the tree crown detection algorithm for optimizing the oil palm detection results. In this study, we select the 0.6-meter-resolution oil palm plantation maps (https://github.com/dongrunmin/oil_palm_data/blob/master/segmentation_map) published in [38] (with the same spatial resolution as the Quickbird image used in this study) for further optimizing the oil palm detection results obtained from the tree crown detection algorithm.
Figure 2. The Quickbird satellite image of the whole study area (left) and a local area of accuracy evaluation regions (right). The red squares denote the selected regions for evaluating the detection accuracy.

4. Methods

In this section, we introduce the design of our proposed PF-TCD algorithm on FPGAs. The overall framework of PF-TCD algorithm will be described in Section 4.1. The three computational kernels will be described from Sections 4.2–4.4.

4.1. Overall Framework of Tree Crown Detection for Large-Scale Remote Sensing Images on FPGAs

In this paper, we propose a framework of tree crown detection that uses reconfigurable platforms such as FPGAs to process the large-scale remote sensing images in real-time on satellites. The framework is shown in Figure 3. We use FPGAs as the ideal processing units as they not only meet the limited power and weight budget of satellites but also provide high performance and real-time computation. As FPGAs are much more efficient than general processing units, we offload as many tasks as possible to FPGAs in order to squeeze every bit of performance, including image pre-processing, non-overlapping local maximum filtering, transect sampling, circular-window-based local maximum filtering and minimum distance filtering. In order to further improve the performance, we propose a complete set of customized mechanisms on FPGAs for the tree crown detection algorithm from the raw remote sensing images to the final detection results.

The direct mapping of original tree crown detection algorithm to FPGAs results in a very naive design, which suffers from high resource utilization as well as requiring each pixel to be streamed for multiple times. In order to solve these problems, we propose a pipeline-friendly tree crown detection algorithm (PF-TCD) by reconstructing and modifying the original workflow. In our proposed PF-TCD algorithm, we only use 1/3 of the total resources and each pixel can be streamed for only once, leading to a pipeline-friendly and resource-economic design of the tree crown detection algorithm. The proposed PF-TCD algorithm consists of three computational kernels: (1) Transect sampling radius calculation and local maximum filtering; (2) Transect sampling radius based local maximum filtering; (3) Minimum distance filtering. The details of each kernel will be described from Sections 4.2–4.4.

To further reduce and balance the utilization of different resources, the large-scale image is partitioned into smaller image blocks. Multiple image blocks are then streamed into three PF-TCD kernels and processed in parallel. As image blocks contain overlaps with their neighbours to guarantee
that each tree crown can be completely included in at least one image block, smaller image blocks will increase the total number of image blocks and duplicated pixels. On the other hand, smaller image blocks often require fewer resource utilization so that more image blocks can be processed in parallel. So we adjust the size of the image block to maximize the overall performance. As multiple image blocks are processed in parallel, the bandwidth between the PCIe and FPGAs becomes the bottleneck. In order to avoid this issue, we first load the raw images to the off-chip memory on FPGAs before streaming the images into PF-TCD algorithm.

Figure 3. The overall framework of the tree crown detection for large-scale satellite images on FPGAs.

4.2. Kernel 1: Transect Sampling Radius Calculation and Local Maximum Filtering

In the original tree crown detection algorithm proposed in [2], the non-overlapping local maximum filtering is applied to the whole image in order to find the local maximum’s coordinates for each window. Then the transect sampling scheme is applied to each local maximum in order to find the radius with the maximum change of pixel value for each transect. It is a challenging task to search for the local maximum’s coordinates of each window from the large-scale remote sensing images on FPGAs, resulting in several problems if we directly map the original algorithm to FPGA platform. First, to search for the local maximum’s coordinates of a \( w \times w \) window in each cycle, we need to access the streams of all pixel values in the window, which requires a large amount of on-chip buffer with a size of \( W \times w + w \) (\( W \) denotes the width of the image). Second, it takes \( w \times w \) cycles to slide through a \( w \times w \) window during the local maximum filtering phase. In \( w \times w \) cycles, only the result of one cycle is useful while the results of the other \( (w \times w - 1) \) cycles are ignored. Third, it requires a lot of resources for the utilization of mathematical functions (e.g., \( \sin \), \( \cos \), and \( \sqrt{\cdot} \)) during the transect sampling phase.

In our proposed PF-TCD algorithm, we design and implement a set of strategies to address the problems mentioned above. First, a carefully tuned blocking scheme is used to reduce the buffer size. Second, we avoid the utilization of \( \sin \) and \( \cos \) functions by choosing special angles such as 45° and 90°, which also generates correct results. We approximate the \( \sqrt{\cdot} \) function using piece-wise linear function inspired from [39]. Moreover, we design a search-in-time strategy in Kernel 1 to replace the search-in-space scheme used in the original algorithm in order to increase the data utilization and decrease the resource usage. Details of the search-in-time strategy in Kernel 1 are introduced as follows.
Figure 4 shows the basic process of Kernel 1. We modify the processing workflow of the original algorithm. In each cycle, the first step is to calculate the average radius of all transects for the current input stream, and the second step is to update the local maximum value $M$, its X and Y coordinates, and the transect sampling radius $R$ of its corresponding window. The above mentioned problems can be effectively solved in our proposed design of Kernel 1.

![Figure 4: The basic process of Kernel 1.](image)

In the first step of Kernel 1, the moving stride of each transect direction ($S_p$) can be defined as (6), where $p$ is numbered in clockwise direction. The change of pixel value ($C_p(i)$) between the current stream ($P_i$) and the stream in the $p_{th}$ transect direction ($P_{i+S_p}$) can be defined as Equation (7). For each pixel in the $p_{th}$ transect direction, the change of pixel value between two neighbouring pixels ($C_p(q)$) can be defined as Equation (8) and the corresponding radius ($r_p(q)$) can be defined as Equation (9). The radius with the maximum change of pixel value can be defined as Equation (10). The average radius of all transects for $P(i)$ can be defined as Equation (11).

$$S_p = \{-W, -W + 1, 1, W + 1, W, W - 1, -1, -W - 1\}, p \in \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, N_t - 1\}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

$$C_p(i) = P_{i+S_p} - P_i$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

$$C_p(q) = P(i + (q + 1) \times S_p) - P(i + q \times S_p), q \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n_t - 1\}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

$$r_p(q) = \begin{cases} 
q + 1, & q \in \{0, 2, 4, 6\} \\
(q + 1) \times 1.41, & q \in \{1, 3, 5, 7\}
\end{cases}$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

$$R_p = r_p(\arg \max \{C_p(q), q \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n_t - 1\}\})$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

$$R_i = \frac{\sum_{p=0}^{N_t-1} R_p}{N_t}$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

In the original algorithm, we need to save the streams of all pixel values of a $w \times w$ window in an array in order to find the local maximum in each cycle. In the second step of our proposed Kernel 1, we compare the value of the current stream with its corresponding window’s maximum, and update the maximum when meeting the updating condition. For an input stream $P_i$, its corresponding window index $j$ can be calculated according to Equation (12), where $x_i$ and $y_i$ are the coordinates of $P_i$. The local maximum of $P_i$’s corresponding window is denoted by $M(W_j)$. If $P_i$ is larger than $M(W_j)$, then $M(W_j)$, $X(W_j)$, $Y(W_j)$ and $R(W_j)$ will be replaced by $P_i$, $x_i$, $y_i$ and $R_i$; Otherwise, $M(W_j)$, $X(W_j)$, $Y(W_j)$ and $R(W_j)$ will remain their previous values. After this step, we obtain the pixel value, the $x$ and $y$ coordinates, and the average radius of transect sampling for each window’s local maximum. Consequently, we need four arrays to save and update the above four types of values. The size of each
array equals to the number of windows in the image. In each cycle, only the current stream need to be accessed and no offset is required for this step.

\[ j = \left(\frac{y_i}{W}\right) \times \left(\frac{W}{w}\right) + (x_i \% w) \]  \hfill (12)

4.3. Kernel 2: Transect Sampling Radius Based Local Maximum Filtering

In the original algorithm described in Section 2, after obtaining the local maximum and the transect sampling radius of all windows, a circular-window-based local maximum filtering strategy is applied in order to find the new local maximum within the transect sampling radius. Similar to the previous phase, there are several problems if we map the original algorithm directly to FPGAs. On the one hand, only the result of one cycle in \(w \times w\) cycles is valid and useful, while the results of the other \((w \times w - 1)\) cycles are ignored. On the other hand, in each cycle, it requires a large size of on-chip buffer to store the pixels of previous cycles in order to access all pixels within the circular window (with transect sampling radius). The value range of the required offset in each cycle is \([-n_t \times W - n_t, (w + n_t) \times W + (w + n_t)]\). In order to solve these problems, we reconstruct the original circular-window-based local maximum filtering so that the local maximum is searched across cycles instead of spaces. For our pipeline-friendly design of this phase, only the current stream needs to be accessed and no offset is required in each cycle.

Figure 5 shows the basic process of Kernel 2. In each cycle, we need to determine whether the current stream \(P_i\) can be the new local maximum of any window. For \(j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, N_w - 1\}\), the squared Euclidean distance between the coordinate of the current stream \((P_i)\) and the coordinate of its corresponding window’s local maximum \((M(W_j))\) can be calculated according to Equation (13). For the new local maximum of window \(W_j\), its pixel value, \(x\) coordinate and \(y\) coordinate are denoted by \(M'(W_j)\), \(X'(W_j)\), and \(Y'(W_j)\), respectively. If the value of \(P_i\) is larger than \(M(W_j)\), and the squared Euclidean distance between the coordinates of \(P_i\) and \(M(W_j)\) is smaller than or equal to the squared average radius of \(W_j\), then \(M'(W_j)\), \(X'(W_j)\), and \(Y'(W_j)\) will be replaced by \(P_i\), \(x_i\), and \(y_i\); Otherwise, \(M'(W_j)\), \(X'(W_j)\), and \(Y'(W_j)\) will remain their previous values (i.e., \(M(W_j)\), \(X(W_j)\), and \(Y(W_j)\)). After this phase, we can obtain the coordinates of the updated local maximum of each window.

**Figure 5.** The basic process of Kernel 2.

\[ d_{ij}^2 = (x_i - X(W_j))^2 + (y_i - Y(W_j))^2 \]  \hfill (13)

4.4. Kernel 3: Minimum Distance Filtering

In the last phase of the original tree crown detection algorithm, the minimum distance filtering is applied to the updated local maximum in order to merge the group of identified tree apexes’ coordinates into one coordinate if their distance is smaller than a user-defined value, as shown in
Algorithm 2. Algorithm 3 defines the process of the improved minimum distance filtering of our proposed PF-TCD algorithm. The inputs of Kernel 3 are the updated local maximum’s coordinates of each window obtained in Kernel 2. For each cycle, we need to calculate the Euclidean distance between the current input stream \((X'(W_j), Y'(W_j))\) and all updated local maximum’s coordinates \((X'(W_{jj}), Y'(W_{jj}))\). \(MG_{jj}\) is used to record whether \((X'(W_{jj}), Y'(W_{jj}))\) should be merged with \((X'(W_j), Y'(W_j))\), in which values 1 and 0 indicate the two coordinates should or should not be merged. \(S_{jj}\) is used to record whether \((X'(W_{jj}), Y'(W_{jj}))\) is existing (\(S_{jj}\) equals True) or has been merged (\(S_{jj}\) equals False). Moreover, \((X'(W_{jj}), Y'(W_{jj}))\) is used to record all coordinates that should be merged with \((X'(W_j), Y'(W_j))\). \(N_{merge}\) denotes the total number of coordinates that should be merged with the current stream \((X'(W_j), Y'(W_j))\). \(X_{avg}\) and \(Y_{avg}\) denote the average coordinates of \((X'(W_{jj}), Y'(W_{jj}))\), which are the final tree crown detection results of our proposed PF-TCD algorithm.

**Algorithm 3 Minimum distance filtering on FPGA**

```plaintext
for all \(jj \in \{0, 1, ..., N_w - 1\}\) do

\[
d_{jj} = \text{dist}((X'(W_j), Y'(W_j)), (X'(W_{jj}), Y'(W_{jj})))
\]

if \(S_j == \text{True} \& S_{jj} == \text{True} \& d_{jj} < d_{min}\) then

\[
X''(W_{jj}) = X'(W_{jj}), Y''(W_{jj}) = Y'(W_{jj}), MG_{jj} = 1, S_{jj} = \text{False}
\]

else

\[
X''(W_{jj}) = 0, Y''(W_{jj}) = 0, MG_{jj} = 0
\]

end if

end for

\[
N_{merge} = \sum_{jj=0}^{N_w-1} MG_{jj}
\]

\[
X_{avg} = (N_{merge} \neq 0) ? \frac{\sum_{jj=0}^{N_w-1} X''(W_{jj})}{N_{merge}} : 0
\]

\[
Y_{avg} = (N_{merge} \neq 0) ? \frac{\sum_{jj=0}^{N_w-1} Y''(W_{jj})}{N_{merge}} : 0
\]
```

To implement our proposed Algorithm 3 on FPGAs, we need to use an array in the length of \(N_w\) in order to record the \((X'(W_{jj}), Y'(W_{jj}))\) coordinate and the status \(S_{jj}\) for each window (for \(jj \in \{0, 1, ..., N_w\}\)). For each cycle, we need to read and update the value of \(S_{jj}\) according to whether \((X'(W_{jj}), Y'(W_{jj}))\) should be merged, which results in a loop dependency of 4 in our case. Consequently, we have to read a value every other four cycles and only 1/5 of the cycles produce useful results. In order to solve the above problem, we propose and implement a strategy for improving the design of Kernel 3 on FPGAs. We create an array in the length of \(5 \times N_w\) so that the positions of reading and writing are different to avoid the loop dependency, as shown in Figure 6. The logic resource (LUTs and FFs) usage of this implementation is almost the same as using one array in the length of \(N_w\). The only extra overhead is the space (i.e., BRAM) of the extra length of the array, which is only a small percentage of the whole resource usage. In this way, we do not need to use the idle cycles for array writing and the results can be generated in each cycle.
5. Experimental Results Analysis

In this section, we analyze the experiment results of our proposed PF-TCD algorithm on FPGAs. The hardware platform, the processing time and the speedup over original implementation will be described in Section 5.1. The resource utilization of each kernel will be described in Section 5.2. The tree crown detection results of our proposed approach will be analyzed in Section 5.3.

5.1. Performance Analysis of Our Proposed Approach

In this study, a Quickbird satellite image with a size of 12,188 × 12,576 pixels is used to evaluate the performance of our proposed approach. We implement the proposed PF-TCD algorithm using a high-level programming language called MaxJ (an extended version of Java). The kernel and manager code of PF-TCD algorithm is transformed into a hardware design using MaxCompiler and further processed into hardware code by FPGA vendor tools [40]. The MaxCompiler enables us to focus on the description of the hardware design by hiding the hardware details. The performance of PF-TCD algorithm is tested on a Maxeler MAX4 acceleration card with an Altera Stratix-V FPGA (running at 200 MHz) and 48GB DDR3 onboard memory.

We also compare the performance of our hardware implementation with a well-optimized software implementation of the original algorithm (implemented using multi-threaded C++, compiled with g++ with -O3 flags) on a 12-core CPU (Intel Xeon CPU E5-2697, running at 2.4 GHz). The running time (averaged from 10 repeated experiments) of the hardware solution and the software solution are 0.33 s and 6.12 s, indicating that our proposed PF-TCD algorithm on FPGAs achieves the speedup of 18.75 times over the original algorithm on 12-core CPU and meets the real-time data processing requirements of satellites.

5.2. Resource Utilization of Each Kernel

In our proposed approach, the image size of each block is set as 116 × 116 pixels, in which the width of the overlap is 16 pixels (similar to the crown diameter of a mature oil palm tree). The window width \( w \) of 5, 10 and 15 are evaluated in this study, which should be smaller than the diameter of a tree crown. When the \( w \) is set as 10, the average F1-score of the six selected regions is over 8% higher than those obtained from other two cases. We also evaluate the number of pixels in a transect \( n_t \) from 8 to 12, which should be similar to or larger than the radius of a tree crown. The difference of F1-score obtained from each case is smaller than 1% and the image processing time increases with \( n_t \). Consequently, the parameter \( w \) is set as 10 and the parameter \( n_t \) is set as 8 for our study area. The parameter \( d_{min} \) (the minimum allowed distance between two tree apices) is set as 5, which
guarantees that the coordinates corresponding to the same tree can be averaged into one coordinate properly. As the value range of our data is 0 to 255, 8-bit fixed point computations are used for this algorithm. For our proposed PF-TCD algorithm, the accumulative number of cycles of Kernel 1, Kernel 2, and Kernel 3 (denoted by $N_{\text{Cycle}_1}$, $N_{\text{Cycle}_2}$, $N_{\text{Cycle}_3}$) can be calculated according to Formula (14), of which the total number of cycles (denoted by $N_{\text{Cycle}_{\text{Total}}}$) equals the accumulative number of cycles of Kernel 3. Kernel 1 and Kernel 2 are fully-pipelined and the input of Kernel 2 is the output of Kernel 1. For Kernel 3, the incremental number of cycles equals to two times of the number of windows, which takes only a small percentage of the total number of cycles (1.5%).

\[
\begin{align*}
N_{\text{Cycle}_1} &= (W + 2 \times n_t)^2 \\
N_{\text{Cycle}_2} &= N_{\text{Cycle}_1} + (W/w) + 2 \\
N_{\text{Cycle}_{\text{Total}}} &= N_{\text{Cycle}_3} = N_{\text{Cycle}_2} + 2 \times (W/w)^2
\end{align*}
\]

Table 1 summarizes the resource utilization of an image block in each main phase. The total resource utilization of an image block on the Stratix-5SGSD8 FPGA is 28.33% of the LUTs, 27.82% of the FFs, 25.47% of the DSPs and 29.61% of the BRAMs. Based on this resource utilization, three image blocks in $116 \times 116$ pixels can be processed in parallel in our proposed approach. The power consumption of our proposed approach on FPGAs is 20–25 W, which is significantly lower than the power consumption required by GPUs and CPUs.

Table 1. The resource utilization of our proposed PF-TCD algorithm on FPGAs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resource</th>
<th>Kernel 1</th>
<th>Kernel 2</th>
<th>Kernel 3</th>
<th>Manager</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LUTs</td>
<td>1636</td>
<td>31,229</td>
<td>2852</td>
<td>38,621</td>
<td>74,338 (28.33%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFs</td>
<td>2847</td>
<td>50,062</td>
<td>6250</td>
<td>86,842</td>
<td>146,001 (27.82%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSPs</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>500 (25.47%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRAMs</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>455</td>
<td>760 (29.61%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.3. The Detection Results of Our Proposed Approach

To evaluate the detection results of our proposed approach, we compare the predicted tree crown coordinates of each region with the ground truth collected through manually labeling by specialist. The calculating processes of the precision, recall, and F1-score are described from Equations (15)–(17), in which $TP$ denotes the true positive detection indicating the number of correctly detected oil palm trees, $FP$ denotes the false positive detection indicating the number of other objects detected as oil palm trees, and $FN$ denotes the false negative detection indicating the number of oil palm trees not detected. Similar to [4], if the Euclidean spatial distance between the coordinates of a detected oil palm tree and a ground truth oil palm tree is smaller than or equal to 5 pixels, then the oil palm tree is considered as detected correctly.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Precision} &= \frac{TP}{TP + FP} \quad (15) \\
\text{Recall} &= \frac{TP}{TP + FN} \quad (16) \\
F1 - \text{score} &= \frac{2 \times \text{Precision} \times \text{Recall}}{\text{Precision} + \text{Recall}} \quad (17)
\end{align*}
\]

Figures 7 and 8 show the detection image results of six selected regions obtained from our proposed PF-TCD algorithm and the original algorithm, in which the red points, green squares and blue squares indicate the correctly detected oil palm trees (TP), the oil palm trees not detected (FN), and other objects detected as oil palms (FP), respectively. Table 2 shows the detection results of each region obtained from our proposed PF-TCD algorithm and the original algorithm, in terms of TP, FP,
FN, Precision, Recall and F1-score. The beginning \((x, y)\) coordinates (the pixel offset to the top-left corner of the whole study area) of six regions are (3896, 1715), (5390, 2733), (8783, 3768), (10,708, 6519), (8210, 8678) and (1743, 11,384) in pixels. The sizes of six regions are 600 \(\times\) 600 pixels. Experiment results demonstrate that the detection F1-scores obtained from our proposed PF-TCD algorithm are between 83.98\% and 92.15\% for six regions. The difference between the F1-scores obtained from PF-TCD algorithm on FPGAs and those obtained from the original algorithm on CPU is smaller than 1\%, even though we use special angles (such as 45° and 90°) for transact sampling and fixed point computations in PF-TCD algorithm.

<p>| Table 2. The oil palm tree detection results of each region. |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Index</th>
<th>Region 1</th>
<th>Region 2</th>
<th>Region 3</th>
<th>Region 4</th>
<th>Region 5</th>
<th>Region 6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PF-TCD algorithm</td>
<td>Precision</td>
<td>83.37%</td>
<td>87.10%</td>
<td>85.93%</td>
<td>80.66%</td>
<td>90.46%</td>
<td>84.20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recall</td>
<td>93.48%</td>
<td>92.71%</td>
<td>94.50%</td>
<td>87.58%</td>
<td>93.90%</td>
<td>91.69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F1-score</td>
<td>88.14%</td>
<td>89.82%</td>
<td>90.01%</td>
<td>83.98%</td>
<td>92.15%</td>
<td>87.79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Original algorithm</td>
<td>Precision</td>
<td>82.86%</td>
<td>86.84%</td>
<td>86.16%</td>
<td>80.50%</td>
<td>89.91%</td>
<td>84.32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recall</td>
<td>92.76%</td>
<td>92.57%</td>
<td>94.76%</td>
<td>87.20%</td>
<td>93.33%</td>
<td>91.83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F1-score</td>
<td>87.53%</td>
<td>89.61%</td>
<td>90.26%</td>
<td>83.71%</td>
<td>91.59%</td>
<td>87.91%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. Discussion

In this section, we analyze the size of image blocks and the image processing time of our proposed approach. The impact of the size of each image block on the performance of our proposed approach will be analyzed in Section 6.1. The running time for processing images in different sizes will be analyzed in Section 6.2.

6.1. The Size of Image Blocks

In the blocking module of our proposed approach, the size of each image block has a great influence on the performance of our proposed approach. When the size of each image block is getting smaller, the resource utilization of each block will be less and more image blocks can be processed in parallel. However, as the image block contains overlaps with their neighbors to guarantee that each tree crown can be completely included in at least one image block, smaller image blocks will increase the total number of image blocks and duplicated pixels. In contrast, when the size of each image block is getting larger, the resource utilization of each block will be larger and fewer image blocks can be processed in parallel. However, the number of duplicated pixels to be processed will be reduced and fewer cycles will be required to process the whole image. After tuning the size of each image block, we set the size of image block as 116 \(\times\) 116 to maximize the overall performance.
Figure 7. The detection image results of region 1, 2 and 3 obtained from our proposed PF-TCD algorithm (left) and the original algorithm (right).
Figure 8. The detection image results of region 4, 5 and 6 obtained from our proposed PF-TCD algorithm (left) and the original algorithm (right).
6.2. The Running Time for Processing Images in Different Sizes

Figure 9 shows the running time of our proposed approach for processing remote sensing images in different sizes. We can find that the running time increases almost linearly with the image size. This is because when the FPGA resource utilization and the size of each image block are fixed, the number of image blocks will increase with the size of the remote sensing image. The total number of cycles for processing an image increases linearly with the image size, resulting in the linear increasing of the running time. Based on this linear relationship, we can further predict the running time for processing larger remote sensing images.

![Figure 9. The running time for processing images in different sizes.](image)

7. Conclusions

In this research, we propose an FPGA-based approach for large-scale remote sensing image processing in real time. It is a complete high performance solution for automatic tree crown detection from the raw satellite images to the final detection results. To satisfy the power, latency and performance requirements of the practical scenarios of on-board data processing, we reconstruct and modify the workflow of the original algorithm and designed a pipelined-friendly tree crown detection algorithm (PF-TCD) with three computational kernels. We optimize and adjust the local maximum filtering, transact sampling, and minimum distance filtering algorithms of the tree crown detection approach to reduce the resource utilization and the idle cycles, and balance the utilization of different resources (LUTs, FFs, DSPs, and BRAMs) to avoid any of them becoming the performance bottleneck.

Compared with the well-optimized software implementation of the original algorithm on an Intel 12-core CPU, our proposed PF-TCD algorithm obtains the speedup of 18.75 times for a large-scale remote sensing image with $12,188 \times 12,576$ pixels. The image processing time is only 0.33 s, which satisfies the requirements of real-time data processing on satellites. The detection F1-scores obtained from our proposed PF-TCD algorithm are between 83.98% and 92.15% for six selected regions. In our future work, we plan to further optimize our proposed approach and apply it to larger-scale datasets. We will also explore the potential of reconfigurable platforms and develop high performance solutions for other remote sensing image analysis tasks.
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