Binary Component Sorption of Cadmium, and Copper Ions onto Yangtze River Sediments with Different Particle Sizes
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Abstract: Sorption is a crucial process that influences immobilization and migration of heavy metals in an aqueous environment. Sediments represent one of the ultimate sinks for heavy metals discharged into water body. Moreover, the particle size of sediments plays an extremely important role in the immobilization of heavy metals. In this study, the sorption and desorption of cadmium (Cd) and copper (Cu) onto sediments with different particle sizes were investigated to predict the rate and capacity of sorption, to understand their environmental behaviors in an aqueous environment. Batch sorption and kinetic experiments were conducted to obtain the retained amount and rate of Cd and Cu in a binary system. Experimental data were simulated using sorption models to ascertain the sorption capacity and the kinetic rate. Results of European Communities Bureau of Reference (BCR) sequential extraction showed the highest concentration of Cd (0.344 mg kg$^{-1}$), and its distribution varied with sediment particle size and site. Furthermore, most of Cu (approximately 57% to 84%) existed as a residual fraction. The sorption of Cu onto six sediments followed a pseudo-first order reaction, whereas that of Cd followed a pseudo-second order reaction. Additionally, the competitive Langmuir model fitted the batch sorption experimental data extremely well. The highest sorption capacities of Cd and Cu reach 0.641 mmol kg$^{-1}$ and 62.3 mmol kg$^{-1}$, respectively, on the smallest submerged sediment particles. The amounts of Cu and Cd desorbed (mmol kg$^{-1}$) increased linearly with the initial concentration increasing. Thus, sediment texture is an important factor that influences the sorption of heavy metal onto sediments.
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1. Introduction

The pollution of aquatic and terrestrial environments by heavy metals has become a matter of great concern in recent years. Mining, smelting, industrial activities and traffic activities are primary sources of heavy metal pollution [1–3]. Series of physical, chemical and biological reactions, such as sorption–desorption [4], ion exchanges, complexation [5], oxidation–reduction [6], precipitation [7], and bioaccumulation [8], will occur, once heavy metal enters water bodies. The sorption of heavy metals onto suspended and riverbed sediments can reduce their concentration in aquatic systems, whereas desorption can lead to secondary heavy metal pollution [9,10]. Thus, sorption and desorption of heavy metals on sediments play extremely important roles in influencing water quality to a considerable extent [11]. Some studies indicate that most Cd and Cu discharged into water bodies are fixed onto sediments [12,13]. Sediments are typically mixtures of silt, clay, hydrous iron and manganese oxides and organic matters (OMs) [14,15]. Sediments represent one of the ultimate sinks for heavy
metals discharged into water bodies [13]. To determine the concentrations of Cd and Cu in sediments and to protect aquatic life communities, many researchers have conducted significant works that have identified and assessed the severity of sediment contamination. Meanwhile, Cu can be deposited into the brain, skin, liver, pancreas and myocardium, thereby causing serious toxicological effects in humans and animals [16,17]. Turki [18] investigated the risk of heavy metals in sediments from the Red Sea coast, and found that approximately 30% to 45% of Cd existed as exchangeable and carbonate bound fractions and classified under the high risk category, which could easily enter the food chain. Loska and Wiechula [19] analyzed the bottom sediments from the Rybnik reservoir (in southwestern Poland), and found the concentrations of Cd and Cu in the sediments reached 25.8 mg kg\(^{-1}\) and 451 mg kg\(^{-1}\), respectively. BCR-sequential extraction technique was used to determine the distribution of heavy metals in the sediments and the forms of heavy metals were found to vary with sample sites [20]. Jiao et al. [21] studied metal pollution based on sediment quality guidelines (SQGs), the potential eco-logical risk index (PERI) and the geoaccumulation index (I\(_{\text{geo}}\)) of metals (mercury (Hg), chromium (Cr), Cd, arsenic (As), lead (Pb) and Cu) in the sediments of Xiaogqing River in Jinan, China from 1996 to 2014, and found that Cd was the second contributor in PERI. Zhang et al. [22] analyzed heavy metals (Cd, Cr, Cu, manganese (Mn), nickel (Ni), Pb and zinc (Zn)) in the sediments from the intertidal zone of Yangtze River. Their research indicated that metal concentrations exhibit significant spatial variations, and the concentrations of Cd, Cr and Ni are above the background values of the study area. In general, Cd and Cu contamination have attracted attention in the environmental field.

In addition, the sorption characteristics of Cd and Cu on sediments should be investigated, because sorption–desorption is extremely important process in the heavy metal geochemical cycle. Nevertheless, existing research on sorption of Cd and Cu has focused on wastewater treatment, and several mineral and biomaterials have been used to fix and reduce the concentrations of Cd and Cu in water [23–27]. Only a few studies have been conducted on sorption of Cd and Cu onto sediments. Jain and Sharma [13] examined the adsorption characteristics of Cd on the bed sediments of Hindon River in western Uttar Pradesh (India), and pH was determined as the most important factor in Cd adsorption. Moreover, iron (Fe) and manganese oxide also played important roles in the adsorption process. Oh et al. [28] investigated the single-solute and bi-solute competitive sorption of Pb and Cd onto sediments and found that modified Langmuir model favorably predicted Pb sorption in bi-solute competitive sorption but not Cd sorption. In general, studies on Cd and Cu sorption properties have focused on sediment component and environmental factors. However, the particle size of sediments can significantly influence the sorption process.

In this paper, BCR-SEP was used to understand the distribution of heavy metals in sediments with different particle sizes. Batch and kinetic sorption experiments of Cu and Cd were conducted to explore the sorption characteristics of sediments and determine the influence of particle size. Moreover, the competitive Langmuir sorption model is used to describe the sorption characteristics of Cd and Cu in binary sorption system. This information will be useful in understanding the environmental behavior of Cd and Cu and supporting strategies to control water pollution.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Sediment Samples and Characterization

The sediment samples were collected from Yangtze River (China), and located in Chongqing urban area (106°32′E, 29°32′N), as shown in Figure 1. Yangtze River is the longest river in Asia and the third longest in the world. The weather in Chongqing is mainly controlled by a humid subtropical monsoon climate, and annual average temperature, precipitation and ambient relative humidity are 16–18 °C, 1000–1350 mm, and 70–80%, respectively. In October 2016, the riparian and submerged surface sediments were collected from two sites. At each riparian site, three repeated samples were collected using a plastic shovel. At each submerged site, three sediment samples are collected using a
The chemical speciation of Cu and Cd in the sediment samples was determined using BCR-SEP [30]. The detailed extraction steps are as follows: (1) Exchangeable: Sediment sample (2.0 g) was weighted into 100 mL polyethylene centrifuge tubes, mixed with 40 mL 0.11 M ammonium acetate, leaching with 40 mL 0.5 M hydroxylammonium chloride (pH 2) at 25 °C with continuous agitation over night before being air-dried. Sediments sample sites and properties.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>site</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>OM (%)</th>
<th>Cu Content (mg kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>Cd Content (mg kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>Particle Size (d₀.5) (µm)</th>
<th>Specific Surface Area (m³ g⁻¹)</th>
<th>Sample Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>106°32'59&quot;E, 29°32'46&quot;N</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>0.148</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>0.0872</td>
<td>1-S-L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>156</td>
<td>0.133</td>
<td>1-S-M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>72.9</td>
<td>0.436</td>
<td>1-S-S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Submerged sediment</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>0.164</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>0.0627</td>
<td>1-R-L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>165</td>
<td>0.124</td>
<td>1-R-M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>67.9</td>
<td>0.406</td>
<td>1-R-S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>106°32'46&quot;E, 29°33'51&quot;N</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>0.164</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>0.0627</td>
<td>1-R-L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>165</td>
<td>0.124</td>
<td>1-R-M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>67.9</td>
<td>0.406</td>
<td>1-R-S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Submerged sediment</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>0.0941</td>
<td>2-S-L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>151</td>
<td>0.123</td>
<td>2-S-M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>71.2</td>
<td>0.379</td>
<td>2-S-S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Riparian sediment</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>0.174</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>0.0742</td>
<td>2-R-L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>163</td>
<td>0.130</td>
<td>2-R-M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>69.3</td>
<td>0.353</td>
<td>2-R-S</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2. Sample Extraction and Analysis

The chemical speciation of Cu and Cd in the sediment samples was determined using BCR-SEP [30]. The detailed extraction steps are as follows: (1) Exchangeable: Sediment sample (2.0 g) was weighted into 100 mL polyethylene centrifuge tubes, mixed with 40 mL 0.11 M ammonium
acetate, and continuously agitated for 16 h at room temperature, to obtain the acid-soluble heavy metal fractions. (2) Fe/Mn oxides: The residue from the previous extraction step was subjected to further leaching with 40 mL 0.5 M hydroxylammonium chloride (pH 2) at 25 °C with continuous agitation to receive the metals present in the samples in reducible forms. (3) OM/sulfides: The residue from the second extraction phase reacted with 5 mL 30% H$_2$O$_2$ at 25 °C for 1 h, and then another 5 mL 30% H$_2$O$_2$ was added and digested in a temperature-controlled water bath at 85 °C evaporation. Subsequently, 50 mL of 1 M ammonium acetate (pH 2, adjusted by HNO$_3$) was added and extracted at room temperature for 16 h with continuous agitation. The heavy metals in oxidizable forms were separated in this step. (4) Residual metal forms: The residual fraction was analyzed by digesting the sediments using a mixture of HCl, HClO$_4$, and HNO$_3$ at a ratio of 3:1:1 at 240 °C.

Samples were washed with 10 mL Milli-Q water between wash SEP phase. After continuous agitation, the samples were centrifuged at 6000 rpm. The supernatant liquid was filtered using 0.22 µm cellulose filters before analysis. All the filtrates were decanted into acid washed polyethylene containers and refrigerated prior to analysis. The concentrations of Cu and Cd were determined using atomic absorption spectrometer (AAS, Z-5000, Shimadzu Corporation, Tokyo, Japan). All measurements were repeated in triplicate.

2.3. Sorption and Desorption Experiments

In this study, the submerged and riparian sediment samples from one site (Site 1) were used to study the binary of Cu and Cd sorption characteristics. CuCl$_2$·2H$_2$O and CdCl$_2$·H$_2$O (analytic reagent grade, Sigma) were dissolved to form Cu$^{2+}$ and Cd$^{2+}$ stock solutions, which were stored in 250 mL volumetric flasks for the posterior metal adsorption experiments. NaOH (1 M) and HCl (1 M) solution were also used for pH adjustment. All the working standards and initial solutions for the sorption isotherms and kinetic experiments were prepared via serial dilution with deionized water. A batch equilibration method was conducted to study the binary sorption isotherms of Cu$^{2+}$ and Cd$^{2+}$. Ionic strength was kept constant at 10 mmol L$^{-1}$ in all the experiments by adding KCl. For sorption, 1.0 g of each sediment sample was weighted into polyethylene centrifuge tubes and then suspended in 25 mL aqueous solution, which contained the following doses of Cu$^{2+}$ (0, 10, 20, 40, 60, 80 and 100 mg L$^{-1}$) and Cd$^{2+}$ (0, 1, 2, 4, 6, 8 and 10 mg L$^{-1}$). After conducting shaking reciprocation for 24 h (25 ± 1 °C) and centrifugation at 6000 rpm, the supernatant liquid was filtered using 0.22 µm cellulose filters before analysis. The concentrations of Cu and Cd in the supernatant were determined using AAS. The equilibrium sorption capacity, $Q_e$ (mmol kg$^{-1}$), was calculated using Equation (1):

$$Q_e = (C_0 - C_e) \times \frac{V}{W}$$

where $C_0$ and $C_e$ are the initial liquid phase concentrations of Cu/Cd, and at equilibrium (mmol L$^{-1}$), respectively; $Q_e$ is the equilibrium sorption capacity (mmol kg$^{-1}$); $V$ is the volume of the solution (L); and $W$ is the mass of the adsorbent (g).

Sorption kinetic experiments were conducted to obtain sorption as a function of time. Dynamic contacts were performed in polyethylene centrifuge tubes. For a given reaction time (0–48 h), each sample was collected from one of the tubes, which would not be used again. The initial Cu and Cd concentration in the experiments were 50 mg L$^{-1}$ and 5 mg L$^{-1}$, respectively. The amounts of Cu and Cd sorption in the sediments at different times were calculated using Equation (2):

$$Q_t = (C_0 - C_t) \times \frac{V}{W}$$

where $C_0$ and $C_t$ are the initial liquid-phase concentrations of Cu and Cd, and equilibrium concentration at time $t$ (mmol L$^{-1}$), respectively; $Q_t$ is the equilibrium sorption capacity at time $t$ (mmol kg$^{-1}$); $V$ is the volume of the solution (L); and $W$ is the mass of the adsorbent (g).

Desorption experiments were conducted immediately after the adsorption test. Moreover, 20 mL 10 mmol L$^{-1}$ KCl was added into the centrifuge tubes. Subsequently, shaking reciprocation was
performed for 2 h (25 ± 1 °C) and centrifugation at 6000 rpm. The supernatant liquid was filtered using 0.22 µm cellulose filters before analysis. The Cu and Cd in the supernatant were determined as the desorbed Cu and Cd.

2.4. Sorption Models

2.4.1. Sorption Isotherm

Firstly, the interaction between Cu and Cd was disregarded, and the Langmuir equation was used to describe the sorption isotherms. The Langmuir equation is given by Equation (3):

$$ Q = \frac{KQ_M C_e}{(1 + KC_e)} \quad (3) $$

where $C_e$ is the equilibrium concentration of Cu or Cd in the bulk solution (mmol L$^{-1}$); $Q$ is the equilibrium content in solid phase (mmol kg$^{-1}$); $Q_M$ (mmol kg$^{-1}$) and $K$ (L mmol$^{-1}$) are the maximum sorption capacity and sorption energy, respectively; $Q_M$ represents the complete monolayer coverage of the adsorbent with heavy metal; and $K$ represents the enthalpy of sorption, which varies with temperature.

Secondly, the interaction between Cu and Cd was considered, and the binary component isotherm models based on the Langmuir assumption could be used to simultaneously describe Cu and Cd sorption onto sediments. In case of binary component sorption, the sorption reaction can be expressed using two chemical reaction equations, and the total binding sites are the sum of the vacant and occupied sites. Thus, the sorption capacity can be derived as [17,23] Equations (4) and (5):

$$ Q_{Cu} = \frac{b_{Cu} Q_{M,Cu} C_{e,Cu}}{1 + b_{Cu} C_{e,Cu} + b_{Cd} C_{e,Cd}} \quad (4) $$

$$ Q_{Cd} = \frac{b_{Cd} Q_{M,Cd} C_{e,Cd}}{1 + b_{Cd} C_{e,Cd} + b_{Cu} C_{e,Cu}} \quad (5) $$

where $Q_{M,Cu}$ and $Q_{M,Cd}$ are the maximum sorption capacities; $b_{Cu}$ and $b_{Cd}$ are the affinity constants of extended Langmuir model; $C_{e,Cu}$ and $C_{e,Cd}$ are the equilibrium concentrations; and $Q_{Cu}$ and $Q_{Cd}$ are the sorption capacities.

2.4.2. Sorption Kinetics

In sorption kinetics section, pseudo-first order equation, pseudo-second order equation, the Elovich equation and double-constant equation, were used to simulate kinetic data. The kinetic equations can be expressed as follows.

Pseudo-first order:

$$ \frac{dQ_t}{dt} = K_1 (Q_e - Q_t) \quad (6) $$

Pseudo-second order:

$$ \frac{t}{Q_t} = \frac{1}{Q_e^2 K_2} + \frac{1}{Q_e K_2} t \quad (7) $$

The initial adsorption rate, $h$, is obtained from the intercept in Equation as follows:

$$ h = Q_e^2 \times K_2 \quad (8) $$

Elovich equation:

$$ Q_t = \frac{1}{K} \ln(1 + aKt) \quad (9) $$

Double-constant rate:

$$ Q_t = at^b \quad (10) $$
where $Q_t$ is the amount of heavy metal adsorbed (mmol kg$^{-1}$) at time $t$ (h); $Q_e$ is the amount adsorbed (mmol kg$^{-1}$) at equilibrium time; and $a$, $b$, $K_1$, $K_2$, $\alpha$ and $K$ are the sorption constants.

In this study, the fitting of the sorption isotherms and sorption kinetic data, and the calculation of the parameters in all the equations were undertaken via nonlinear regression using Sigmaplot 12.0 (SYSTAT SOFTWARE, San Jose, CA, USA).

2.5. Heavy Metal Distribution Coefficient ($K_d$)

$K_d$ was used to evaluate Cu and Cd behavior and to measure the affinity with sediments. Higher $K_d$ values mean greater adsorption capacity. In this context, $K_d$ was calculated using Equation (11):

$$K_d = \frac{Q_e}{C_e}$$

where $K_d$ is the distribution coefficient (L kg$^{-1}$); $Q_e$ is the adsorbed amount (mmol kg$^{-1}$); and $C_e$ is the equilibrium concentration (mmol L$^{-1}$) for all initial Cu or Cd concentrations added in the adsorption experiment.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Concentration and Distribution of Cu and Cd in Sediments

As shown in Table 1 and Figure 2, the total concentrations of Cu and Cd in sediment particles with different sizes vary with sample sites and sediment properties. The highest concentration of Cd (0.344 mg kg$^{-1}$) was found in Sample 2-S-S (submerged sediments with the smallest particles), and the lowest content (0.044 mg kg$^{-1}$) was observed in Sample 1-S-L. The average concentrations of Cd in three particle size sediment from same site ranges from 0.148 to 0.200 mg kg$^{-1}$, and were lower than the background value (0.25 mg kg$^{-1}$) [31]. By contrast, Sample 1-S-L exhibited the lowest Cu content (12.5 mg kg$^{-1}$), and the highest values (27.4 mg kg$^{-1}$) are found in Sample 1-R-M. All the Cu concentration in the different particle size sediments did not exceed the background value of 35 mg kg$^{-1}$ in the upstream of Yangtze River [31]. The figure also shows that the content of Cu and Cd are higher in smaller particles than in larger particles. Although the common belief that heavy metals are majorly accumulate in very fine sediment size fractions, Vosoogh et al. [32] investigated several heavy metals content in five different particle size, and their results indicated that the concentration of some metals such as cobalt, vanadium and chromium was greater in the sediments with particle sizes larger than 63 µm. Heavy metal content in sediments with particle sizes could be affected by the characteristic of the sediment.

The distribution of Cd among the four fractions of sediments varied with different sizes (Figure 2). Firstly, the acid-soluble and residual Cd fractions were higher with a smaller particle size in submerged sediments, whereas the reducible Cd fraction was lower with a smaller particle size. In the Sample 1-S (submerged sediments), approximately 11% of the total Cd is found in the acid-soluble fraction in the smallest sediment particles, whereas approximately 26% is found in the largest sediment particles. Secondly, most of the Cd existed as reducible and oxidizable in the largest sediment particles. However, most of the Cd in the acid-soluble and residual fraction was found in the smallest particles. That is, the mobility of Cd in small sediment particles was higher than that in large particles. Meanwhile, small sediment particles are easy to transfer with water when the bodies of water are turbulent. Cd will be released into the water, and the water bodies will be polluted secondarily. By contrast, the residual Cu fraction was found with the greatest proportion (approximately 57% to 84%) in all the samples. The oxidizable Cu fraction (which coincides with organic and sulfur compounds) was higher with smaller sediment particles because of the high contents of organic and sulfur compounds. Cu is easy to complex with OM for the high stability constant of organic Cu compounds. In addition, several studies have stated that a high proportion of Cu in sediments may form part of OMs [20,33].
3.2. Kinetic Sorption of Cu and Cd on Sediments

Sorption kinetic experiments and data fitting were conducted to predict the sorption rates of Cu and Cd on sediments with different particle sizes. Figure 3 shows the results of the variation in Cu or Cd sorption onto the sediments with time. The Cu sorption amounts markedly varied among sediments at the Cu$^{2+}$ initial concentration of 50 mg L$^{-1}$. The riparian sediment particles with the smallest size (1-R-S) exhibited a higher sorption amount than the others and reached the sorption equilibrium in 1 h. Most of the Cu sorption reaction can reach the sorption equilibrium in 4 h, and submerged and riparian sediments with a smaller particle size have larger sorption capacities. This phenomenon can be due to the different properties of sediments, such as high contents of OM and sulfur compounds in small sediment particles, and Cu can easily combine with these compounds [12]. In addition, smaller particle have a larger special surface and more sorption sites. By contrast, the kinetics of Cd sorption (with 5 mg L$^{-1}$ Cd initial concentration) is relatively slow, and most of the Cd can reach sorption equilibrium within 10 h. Furthermore, the 1-R-S sediment exhibited the highest sorption amounts and the fastest sorption process among the six sediments.

![Figure 2](image-url)  
**Figure 2.** Spatial distribution of Cd and Cu concentrations in sediments (mg/kg, dry mass).

![Figure 3](image-url)  
**Figure 3.** Sorption of Cu and Cd onto sediments as a function of contact time.
In this work, four kinetic models, including the pseudo-first order rate equation, the pseudo-second order rate equation, the Elovich equation, and the double-constant rate equation, were applied to fit Cu and Cd kinetic sorption data. The regressed kinetic parameters are given in Table 2. When the double constant rate and Elovich equations were used to describe the Cu sorption process, the determination coefficients ($R^2$) changed within the range of 0.973–0.999 and 0.942–0.974, respectively (Table 2). The pseudo-first order rate and the pseudo-second order rate equation fitted well and properly described the sorption process, and the determination coefficients ranged 0.998–0.999 and 0.998–0.999, respectively (Table 2). Furthermore, the initial sorption rates ($h$) for Cu obtained from the pseudo-second order rate model differed among the sediments, varying from 152 (Sample 1-R-L) to $8.25 \times 10^3$ (Sample 1-R-S). In addition, the data in Table 2 show that the pseudo-second order rate equation can be used to describe Cd sorption on sediments ($R^2$ range 0.973–0.999), and the initial sorption rates ($h$) for Cd varied from 5.93 (Sample 1-R-L) to 38.3 (Sample 1-R-S).

In general, model fitting to the experimental data points indicated that the sorption of Cu onto six sediments followed a pseudo-first-order reaction, whereas that of Cd followed a pseudo-second order reaction. The initial Cu and Cd sorption rate of 1-R-S was the fastest among all the sediments because of its higher pH and OM content. Moreover, particle size plays an important role in the sorption of Cu and Cd onto sediments.
Table 2. Regressed kinetic parameters for Cu and Cd adsorption on the sediments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sediment</th>
<th>Double Constant</th>
<th>Pseudo-First-Order</th>
<th>Pseudo-Second-Order</th>
<th>Elovich</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>$R^2$</td>
<td>$Q_e$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-S-L</td>
<td>7.30</td>
<td>2.58 x 10^{-2}</td>
<td>0.981</td>
<td>7.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-S-M</td>
<td>7.48</td>
<td>1.69 x 10^{-2}</td>
<td>0.990</td>
<td>7.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-S-S</td>
<td>7.68</td>
<td>5.25 x 10^{-3}</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>7.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-R-L</td>
<td>7.17</td>
<td>3.25 x 10^{-2}</td>
<td>0.973</td>
<td>7.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-R-M</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td>1.64 x 10^{-2}</td>
<td>0.989</td>
<td>7.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-R-S</td>
<td>7.72</td>
<td>7.99 x 10^{-4}</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>7.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-S-L</td>
<td>0.371</td>
<td>5.35 x 10^{-2}</td>
<td>0.992</td>
<td>0.409</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-S-M</td>
<td>0.388</td>
<td>4.35 x 10^{-2}</td>
<td>0.988</td>
<td>0.421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-S-S</td>
<td>0.415</td>
<td>2.52 x 10^{-2}</td>
<td>0.984</td>
<td>0.437</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-R-L</td>
<td>0.348</td>
<td>6.08 x 10^{-2}</td>
<td>0.998</td>
<td>0.386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-R-M</td>
<td>0.385</td>
<td>4.33 x 10^{-2}</td>
<td>0.987</td>
<td>0.418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-R-S</td>
<td>0.431</td>
<td>8.18 x 10^{-3}</td>
<td>0.998</td>
<td>0.438</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.3. Sorption Isotherms of Cu and Cd on Sediments

Results of the binary sorption of Cu and Cd are shown in Figure 4. The amount of Cu sorption onto sediments increased with Cu equilibrium concentration, except for Sample 1-S-L and Sample 1-R-M. The amount of Cd sorption on Sample 1-S-S and Sample 1-R-S, which are the smallest sediment particles, exhibited an increasing to decreasing trend, thereby reaching the lowest point at the maximum Cd and Cu dosages, and the amount of Cd sorption fluctuated. In addition, the total sorption capacity of Cd and Cu increased with total equilibrium concentration. Moreover, the sorption capacity of Cu or Cd on the sediments with the smallest particle size was greater than that in larger sediment particles.

In addition, the sorption amount of Cd was influenced by Cu equilibrium concentration, which increased with the initial Cd concentration when Cu concentration was low. Nevertheless, the sorption amount of Cd began to decline when the initial Cu concentration reached 40 mg L\(^{-1}\) and 20 mg L\(^{-1}\) for small/middle (Sample 1-S-S, 1-S-M, 1-R-S and 1-R-M) and large (Sample 1-S-L and 1-R-L) sediment particles, respectively. However, the Cd sorption amount increased secondly when the initial Cu concentration reached 100 mg L\(^{-1}\), and the Cu sorption amount dropped for large/middle sediment particles (Figure 4). With regard to the change in the sorption sequence for the lowest and highest added metal concentrations added, some studies have indicated that the maximum adsorption capacities are in the order of Cd > Cu in a mono-metal non-competitive experiment and Cu > Cd in a multi-metal competitive trial [34]. This sequence is coincidental with the reverse order of the hydrated ionic radii of two heavy metal species (Cu\(^{2+}\) and Cd\(^{2+}\)), and, thus, space limitations to sorption will be less important when the added metal concentrations are low, because many adsorption sites are still available, whereas the opposite will occur when the added metal concentrations are high.

The Langmuir models were used to fit the experimental data. Table 3 shows several parameters on sorption energy and capacity. The experimental data of Cu sorption fitted well with the Langmuir isotherms, and the determination coefficients exceeded 0.931 for all sediment sorption data. However, Cd sorption data exhibited worse fit with the Langmuir isotherms compared with Cu, and the determination coefficients ranged 0.629 to 0.947 (Table 3). Meanwhile, the relationships with the amount of Cd and Cu sorption and equilibrium concentration agree with the Langmuir
mode. The maximum Cu sorption capacity ($Q_M$) of the six sediments predicted by the Langmuir equation varied widely ranging from a low value of 17.1 mmol kg$^{-1}$ on Sample 1-R-L to a high value of 48.2 mmol kg$^{-1}$ on Sample 1-S-S.

**Table 3.** Langmuir equation parameters calculated using Nonlinear-Curve-Fitting for sediments with different particle size.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sediment</th>
<th>Cu$^{2+}$</th>
<th>Cd$^{2+}$</th>
<th>Cu$^{2+}$ + Cd$^{2+}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$K$ (L mmol$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>$Q_{\text{MAX}}$ (mmol kg$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>$R^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-S-L</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>0.931</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-S-M</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>0.988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-S-S</td>
<td>57.3</td>
<td>48.2</td>
<td>0.990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-R-L</td>
<td>70.6</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>0.986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-R-M</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>0.980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-R-S</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>34.7</td>
<td>0.9856</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In this context, the modified Langmuir model was used to describe the Cu and Cd sorption onto sediments, and the results are presented in Figure 5 and Table 4. Figure 5 illustrates the 3D sorption isotherm surfaces of the Cu and Cd mixture system. The constant presence of Cd in the system resulted in a decrease in the sorption capacity of Cu, thereby indicating that a competitive sorption occurred between the two metals on the surface of sediments. Table 4 shows that the total sorption capacities are approximately 20.3, 25.6, 47.8, 17.2, 20.3, and 33.8 mmol kg$^{-1}$ for Cu$^{2+}$-Cd$^{2+}$ binary mixtures onto Sample 1-S-L, 1-S-M, 1-S-S, 1-R-L, 1-R-M and 1-R-S, respectively, which indicate that maximum sorption capacity increases with a decrease in sediment particle size. In addition, Cu sorption data exhibited better fit with the modified Langmuir isotherm compared with Cd sorption data, and the determination coefficients ranged from 0.979 to 0.990 (Table 4). Based on the model assumptions, this finding can lead to a potential supposition that metal ions exhibit higher sorption affinity in bonding with a free binding site than with binding sites occupied by another metal ion.

**Table 4.** Parameters of binary sorption isotherms for sediments with different particle size.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sediment</th>
<th>Cu$^{2+}$</th>
<th>Cd$^{2+}$</th>
<th>Cu$^{2+}$ + Cd$^{2+}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$q$ (mmol kg$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>$B_{\text{Cu}}$</td>
<td>$B_{\text{Cd}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-S-L</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>0.106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-S-M</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>1.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-S-S</td>
<td>62.3</td>
<td>44.5</td>
<td>10.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-R-L</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>78.7</td>
<td>28.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-R-M</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>0.0902</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-R-S</td>
<td>36.1</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>2.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$q$: mmol kg$^{-1}$; $B_{\text{Cu}}, B_{\text{Cd}}$: L mmol$^{-1}$.
3.4. Distribution Coefficient of Cu and Cd on Sediments

\( K_d \) is the ratio of the amount of heavy metal adsorbed to that in the equilibrium solution. In our study, the \( K_d \) values of Cu sorption (Table 5) varied within the ranges of 21.3–610, 39.4–413, 703–1.93 \( \times 10^3 \), 21.0–283, 25.4–147, and 86.6–919 for Sample 1-S-L, 1-S-M, 1-S-S, 1-R-L, 1-R-M, and 1-R-S sediments, respectively. The value of \( K_d \) decreased with increasing initial Cu concentration. Higher \( K_d \) values were found in the lower initial Cu concentration added to the solution because sediments contain sufficient available sorption sites and higher affinity to fix Cu when the initial Cu concentration is low. In addition, marked differences were observed when the \( K_d \) values among the studied sediments were compared. Among the investigated samples, results demonstrated that 1-S-S was the most effective in retaining Cu in solids, by achieving the highest \( K_d \) values. Meanwhile, the variation characteristics of the \( K_d \) values for Cd sorption onto six types of sediments were similar to those of Cu, that is, \( K_d \) increased with smaller particles. Thus, sediment texture is an important factor that influences the heavy metals sorption onto sediments.
3.5. Desorption of Cu and Cd

Desorbed amounts of Cu and Cd are presented in Figure 6. The desorption of Cu by potassium chloride across six sediments varied from 0.0103 mmol kg\(^{-1}\) (1-S-S at initial concentration was 10 mg L\(^{-1}\), to 0.0807 mmol kg\(^{-1}\) (1-S-L at initial concentration was 10 mg L\(^{-1}\)). The desorption of Cd across six sediments varied from 0.00269 mmol kg\(^{-1}\) (1-S-S at initial concentration was 1 mg L\(^{-1}\)) to 0.101 mmol kg\(^{-1}\) (1-R-S at initial concentration was 10 mg L\(^{-1}\)). Moreover, the rates of Cu desorption were extremely low, and the desorption amounts from smaller sediment particles were lower than that from larger particles, because of the strong sorption capability onto smaller particles. In addition, compared with Cu, Cd desorbs more easily from sediments, and its desorption rates are higher. In this context, the amounts of Cu desorbed (mmol kg\(^{-1}\)) linearly increased with the amount of Cu added to the solution (mmol L\(^{-1}\)) (Figure 6). Among the studied sediment samples, Cu desorbed amounts were lower than that of Cd, because most of the Cu exists as oxidizable and residual phase. Moreover, a higher adsorption capacity of Cu was found in the studied sediments. This result can explain the low concentration of the desorbed Cu. By contrast, Cd is more mobile in the sediments, and adsorbed Cd is easy to desorb. However, in this study, the concentration Cu was one magnitude higher than that of Cd, which could significantly influence Cd sorption and desorption. In addition, Lair et al. [35] demonstrated that Strong competition was observed between Cu\(^{2+}\) and Cd\(^{2+}\) for the sorption and retention sites in soil and sediment, and the sorption capacity of Cu\(^{2+}\) ions on the organic material are twice as high as that for Cd\(^{2+}\), which resulted in Cd\(^{2+}\) migration from soil. Cerqueira et al. [36] demonstrated the majority of soils have a high affinity for Cu\(^{2+}\), more than for Cd\(^{2+}\). Meanwhile, they found that the Cu\(^{2+}\) could make sorption amount of Cd on soil (compared to the individual one) decrease 72 ± 21% (always more than 43%), and desorption amount decline 80 ± 18% (always more than 54%). For Cu, the difference between individual and competitive sorption is 11 ± 24%. Therefore, in the binary sorption system, the desorption amount of Cu and Cd would be effected each other.

![Figure 6. Desorption of Cu and Cd by 0.01 M KCl in different sediments.](image-url)
4. Conclusions

The results of this study suggest that sediment particles demonstrate a significant capacity to absorb Cu(II) and Cd(II) ions in a binary sorption system. Batch tests have shown that the smallest particles (1-S-S), which present the highest specific surface area, exhibit the strongest sorption affinity and the lowest desorption rate for Cu(II) and Cd(II) ions, whereas the largest sediment particles present the lowest sorption capacity. The initial sorption rate of 1-R-S ($h$ value), which was obtained from the pseudo-second order rate equation, was approximately 50 times higher than that of Sample 1-R-L. Moreover, $K_d$ of 1-S-S was approximately 3 or 2 times higher than that of 1-S-L with the addition of 100 mg L$^{-1}$ Cu(II) and 10 mg L$^{-1}$ Cd(II) initial concentration, respectively. However, the rates of Cu desorption were extremely low, and the desorption amounts from smaller sediment particles were lower than that from larger particles. Therefore, sediment texture plays a significant role on metal sorption capacity of sediments. The equilibrium data of a mixture of Cd (II) and Cu(II) ions were graphically represented by a 3D adsorption surface and modeled by a modified extended multi-component Langmuir-type isotherm, and good fit was obtained. Under binary solutions, the predicted maximum capacity of Cu(II) was estimated to be 62.3 mmol kg$^{-1}$, whereas the predicted $Q_M$ for Cd(II) was estimated to be 0.6412 mmol kg$^{-1}$. Finally, the results show that the affinity of each metal ion onto the surface of the sediments is influenced by sediment particle size.
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