Significance of Submarine Groundwater Discharge in Nutrient Budgets in Tropical Sanya Bay, China
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Abstract: To quantify the contribution of submarine groundwater discharge (SGD) to the nutrient budget in tropical embayments, naturally occurring radium isotopes (223Ra, 224Ra, 226Ra, and 228Ra) were investigated as SGD tracers in Sanya Bay, China. Higher activities of radium were present along the north coast and near the Sanya River estuary. Using the activity ratio of 224Ra/228Ra, the apparent water age in Sanya Bay was estimated to be 0–13.2 days, with an average of 7.2 ± 3.2 days. Based on the mass balance of 226Ra and 228Ra, SGD was calculated to be 2.79 ± 1.39–5.07 ± 2.67 × 10^6 m^3 d^{-1} (or 4.3 ± 2.1–7.8 ± 4.1 cm d^{-1}). SGD associated dissolved inorganic nutrient fluxes into Sanya Bay were estimated to be 3.94 ± 2.00–7.15 ± 3.85 × 10^5 mol d^{-1} for oxidized inorganic nitrogen, 4.64 ± 2.74–8.42 ± 5.19 × 10^3 mol d^{-1} for phosphate, and 6.63 ± 3.29–12.0 ± 6.34 × 10^5 mol d^{-1} for silicate. The estuarine nutrient flux from the Sanya River was a few times smaller than the phosphate flux via SGD and at least an order of magnitude smaller than the oxidized inorganic nitrogen and silicate fluxes carried by SGD. SGD was also more important than atmospheric deposition and nitrogen fixation in the nutrient budget. Our results demonstrate that SGD compensated for at least 15% phosphate, 90% oxidized inorganic nitrogen, and 60% silicate of the nutrients sink in Sanya Bay.
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1. Introduction

Coastal waters are prone to deterioration under a global context of climate change and changes in ocean and land-source forces. For example, acidification and hypoxia occur in coastal waters induced by upwelling [1–5] and coastal eutrophication and consequent hypoxia are observed due to increasing terrestrial nutrient loadings from catchment areas [6]. These massive nutrient inputs often stimulate phytoplankton growth in coastal waters and result in the conversion of inorganic carbon to organic carbon [6], which is an important step in the marine carbon cycle. Among these interacting forces submarine groundwater discharge (SGD) has been recognized as an important carrier of water often characterized by high concentrations of nutrients, dissolved inorganic and organic carbon, and metals [7–12]. Thus, SGD is a key factor to quantify in evaluating material budgets of any coastal system.

Naturally occurring radioactive radium isotopes (223Ra, 224Ra, 226Ra, and 228Ra) have been widely used to trace SGD because they are not chemically active in coastal waters and their activities in SGD are typically at least an order of magnitude greater than in the receiving coastal waters [9,10,13–15]. Radium is regenerated from decay of particle-reactive thorium isotopes and released from particles when encountering brackish or saline waters. The short-lived radium isotopes,
$^{223}\text{Ra}$ (half-life = 11.4 days) and $^{224}\text{Ra}$ (half-life = 3.66 days), also work well in estimating apparent water ages on the shelf on time scales of a few to tens of days [16–18].

Sanya Bay is a tropical bay located at the southern tip of Hainan Island, China in the northern South China Sea under the influence of the Southeast Asian monsoon (Figure 1). Coral reefs account for 30% of its coastline [19]. The Sanya River flows into the bay in the northeast. Seasonal investigations in the bay demonstrated that the inner bay was influenced by the discharge of the Sanya River with relatively high nutrient levels, and the central and outer bay was dominated by oceanic forces from the South China Sea [20]. Our time-series studies demonstrate that tidally-driven SGD occurred at the Luhuitou fringing reef in the bay in a dry season, which caused coastal acidification [21] and affected nutrient dynamics of the reef system [22]. The flux of SGD into Sanya Bay based on Ra mass balance, however, has never been reported.

![Figure 1](image_url)

**Figure 1.** Study area and sampling stations in Sanya Bay and the Sanya River estuary. HK represents Hong Kong.

To quantify SGD and evaluate its geochemical impacts on Sanya Bay, a study was designed and implemented in February 2012, using radium isotopes as SGD tracers. This study includes time-series observations at the Luhuitou fringing coral reef and an investigation in the bay. The time-series observations were reported in Wang et al. [21]. The present work is focused on interpretations of the bay data. The results from this work indicate that SGD is a nutrient source more important than the Sanya River, the atmospheric deposition, and nitrogen fixation in Sanya Bay in dry season.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Area

Sanya Bay has an average water depth of 16 m [19] and irregular diurnal tides with a mean tidal range of 0.9 m [23]. The annual mean surface water temperature is 26.8 °C and the annual precipitation is around 1600–1800 mm [23]. The Sanya River discharges into Sanya Bay in the northeast with an annual average discharge of 5.86 m$^3$ s$^{-1}$ [24]. 90% of the rainfall occurs in May to October, and the river discharge in June to November accounts for 85% of the annual discharge [25]. Fringing reefs develop along the east coast and around the islands in the bay. Sanya Bay is oligotrophic under the influence of the northern South China Sea [26]. Multiple habitats, coral reefs, mangroves, mudflats, and rocky and sandy beaches, are present in the bay [19]. Holocene deposits of coral debris, sand, and silt surround the coast [27]. The sediments in the bay are mostly sands (>60%) [28], composing a highly permeable surface aquifer.
2.2. Sampling and Measurements

Surface water samples were collected for radium, using a plastic barrel in Sanya Bay from 2–3 February 2012 and at the lower Sanya River estuary station H1 on 4 February 2012 (Figure 1). Samples for nutrients were collected using a 5 L Niskin bottle on 4 February 2012 in the Sanya River estuary in order to evaluate the estuarine export flux of nutrients. Temperature and salinity were measured using a multiparameter sonde YSI 6600. The salinity was in the Practical Salinity Scale.

Groundwater samples were taken from the well head after flushing at domestic wells in countryside using a submersible pump. Groundwater Station GW1 is about 50 m away from the coast. It is 2.05 m deep with a 1 m radius and samples were taken at this station every 2 h from the morning of 7 February to the morning of 8 February 2012 for 24 h to catch the diurnal variation of the groundwater. Station GW2 is about 100 m away from the coast and was sampled on 9 February 2012. At this station the well was about 40 cm in diameter and 2.33 m deep and the water was 0.83 m deep. Samples for dissolved nitrate and nitrite, phosphate, silicate, and radium isotopes were taken at both groundwater stations.

Radium samples were passed through a 1 µm cartridge filter followed by a MnO$_2$-impregnated acrylic fiber (Mn-fiber) column to extract the dissolved radium [29]. The Mn-fiber was measured for $^{223}$Ra and $^{224}$Ra with a radium delayed coincidence counter [30] with an error less than 13%. After the measurements were finished in two months, the Mn-fibers were leached for $^{226}$Ra and $^{228}$Ra, which were then co-precipitated with BaSO$_4$ and measured in a germanium gamma detector (GCW4022, Canberra) [31] with an error less than 7%. To estimate radium desorbed from particles of the estuary water, total suspended matter (TSM) was collected at Station P1 on pre-weighed and pre-combusted 47-mm-diameter GF/F filters (pore size of 0.7 µm) and measured by weighing after drying.

Nutrient samples were filtered through 0.45 µm cellulose acetate membranes and preserved with 1–2 h chloroform. One filtrate was stored at 4 °C before measurement for silicate, and one was kept at –20 °C for nitrate, nitrite, and phosphate measurements. In the laboratory, nitrate, nitrite, silicate and phosphate were measured with a Technicon AA3 Auto-Analyzer (Bran-Luebbe, GmbH) following the same methods in Han et al. [32]. The analytical precision was better than 1% for nitrate and nitrite, 2% for phosphate, and 2.8% for silicate.

2.3. Radium Mass-Balance Model and Apparent Water Age Estimation

Radium mass balance was set up to calculate the flux of SGD. The decay of the long-lived radium isotopes, $^{226}$Ra (half-life = 1600 years) and $^{228}$Ra (half-life = 5.75 years), can be ignored in studying coastal and estuarine processes [33]. Under the assumption of steady state of the system investigated, long-lived radium loss via mixing was equal to gains from river, SGD, and sediment diffusion, i.e.,

$$F_R \cdot iRa_R + iF_{sed} \cdot A_B + F_R \cdot f_d \cdot iRa_p \cdot C_{TSM} + F_{SGD} \cdot iRa_{GW} = V_B \cdot (iRa_B - iRa_O) \cdot \frac{1}{\tau} \quad (1)$$

where on the left-hand side are the source terms: the first term represents the dissolved radium flux from the river, where $F_R$ is the river water discharge, $iRa_R$ is the activity of dissolved $^iRa$ of the estuary water, $i = 226$ and 228; the second term represents the sediment diffusion flux of radium, where $iF_{sed}$ is the areal diffusive flux of $^iRa$ from the sediments, and $A_B$ is the sediment surface area of the bay investigated; the third term represents the desorbed radium flux from the river, where $f_d$ is the fraction of radium exchangeable from particles, $iRa_p$ is the activity of $^iRa$ on particles, and $C_{TSM}$ is the concentration of TSM of the estuary water; and the fourth term represents the radium flux via SGD, where $F_{SGD}$ is the SGD flux, and $iRa_{GW}$ is the average activity of dissolved $^iRa$ of the groundwater; on the right-hand side are the sink terms: where $V_B$ is the volume of the bay under investigation, $iRa_B$ is the average activity of dissolved $^iRa$ in the bay, $iRa_O$ is the activity of dissolved $^iRa$ of the ocean water, and $\tau$ is the apparent water age in the bay.
The apparent water age in the bay can be estimated using the activity ratio of $^{224}$Ra and $^{228}$Ra under the assumption of steady state and constant continuous sources of radium from the sediments, rivers, and groundwater as derived by Moore et al. [33]:

$$\tau = \frac{F\left(\frac{^{224}Ra}{^{228}Ra}\right) - I\left(\frac{^{224}Ra}{^{228}Ra}\right)}{\lambda_{^{224}} \cdot I\left(\frac{^{224}Ra}{^{228}Ra}\right)} \quad (2)$$

where $F\left(\frac{^{224}Ra}{^{228}Ra}\right)$ is the ratio of the flux of $^{224}$Ra over that of $^{228}$Ra into the system, equivalent to the activity ratio of $^{224}$Ra to $^{228}$Ra of the flux into the system, $\lambda_{^{224}}$ is the decay constant of $^{224}$Ra, and $I\left(\frac{^{224}Ra}{^{228}Ra}\right)$ is the ratio of the inventory of $^{224}$Ra over that of $^{228}$Ra in the system, which is equal to the activity ratio of $^{224}$Ra to $^{228}$Ra in the system. In estimating the apparent water age, $^{224}$Ra works well in time scale of a few days and $^{223}$Ra works well in longer time scale up to tens of days [16–18]. Due to the relatively short residence time in the bay, 2.85–7.89 days [24], $^{224}$Ra, but not $^{223}$Ra, was used in the age model in this study.

3. Results

3.1. Radium Isotopes in Sanya Bay

Activities of $^{223}$Ra ranged 0.4–1.8 dpm 100 L$^{-1}$ (i.e., 0.07–0.30 Bq m$^{-3}$), decreasing offshore and southward with the maximum in the north of the bay (Figure 2a). The activity of $^{226}$Ra showed a similar pattern, varying in the range 23–38 dpm 100 L$^{-1}$ (Figure 2d). $^{224}$Ra and $^{226}$Ra demonstrated the highest activities in the northeast bay off the Sanya River estuary. The range of activity was 12–43 dpm 100 L$^{-1}$ for $^{224}$Ra and 9.6–11.9 dpm 100 L$^{-1}$ for $^{226}$Ra (Figure 2b,c). In general, activities of radium isotopes were higher in the northern Sanya Bay and outside the Sanya River estuary, coincident with lower salinities of 33.60–33.62 at these stations (Table 1). These higher radium signals were reflective of the Sanya River plume and other land sources.

![Figure 2](image-url)  
*Figure 2.* Surface distributions of radium isotopes (in dpm 100 L$^{-1}$) in Sanya Bay in February 2012, (a) $^{223}$Ra; (b) $^{224}$Ra; (c) $^{226}$Ra; and (d) $^{228}$Ra.
3.2. Parameters of the Estuary Water and of the Groundwater

The salinity in the investigated Sanya River estuary increased from 6.06 downstream to 31.70 at the estuary outlet. Temperature ranged from 23.12 to 24.00. Nutrients decreased consistently with salinity for oxidized inorganic nitrogen (nitrite and nitrate) and silicate, from 36.6 to 6.72 µM for oxidized inorganic nitrogen with nitrate accounting for one third of oxidized inorganic nitrogen and from 271 to 30.1 µM for silicate (Figure 3a). For phosphate, a general decreasing trend was present (Figure 3b), however, the peak concentration, 11.0 µM, appeared at the mid-salinity station H8, where the salinity was 15.60, and the minimum concentration, 1.45 µM, showed at Station H3, where the salinity was 28.91. The deviation from conservative mixing of phosphate in the mid-salinity in estuaries has been proposed to be due to particle sorption/desorption [34,35]. The estuarine station H1 had a salinity of 31.70 and relatively high activities of radium isotopes (in dpm 100 L⁻¹) compared with the bay water, 1.7 for 223Ra, 65 for 224Ra, 16 for 226Ra, and 44 for 228Ra. TSM of the estuary water was 25.3 mg L⁻¹.

![Figure 3. Concentrations of nutrients against salinity in the Sanya River estuary and groundwater (GW), (a) oxidized inorganic nitrogen (NO₃) and silicate (b) phosphate.](image-url)
A weekly observation of temperature and salinity at groundwater Station GW1 indicated that groundwater properties were relatively constant with time, without apparent tidal resonances as the salinity varied in the range of 20.06–20.49 [21]. Oxidized inorganic nitrogen was mostly nitrate with nitrite less than 0.1% (i.e., <0.1 µM). The average concentrations of oxidized inorganic nitrogen, phosphate, and silicate (in µM) were 141 ± 15, 1.66 ± 0.53, and 237 ± 2, with n = 12, respectively. The average activities of radium isotopes (in dpm 100 L⁻¹) were 30.6 ± 7.2 for ²²³Ra, 624 ± 26 for ²²⁴Ra, 246 ± 36 for ²²⁶Ra, and 435 ± 17 for ²²⁸Ra. At Station GW2 the salinity was 0.20. The activities of radium isotopes (in dpm 100 L⁻¹) were much lower than at Station GW1, 2.0 for ²²³Ra, 62 for ²²⁴Ra, 18 for ²²⁶Ra, and 43 for ²²⁸Ra; while concentrations of nutrients were twice greater for oxidized inorganic nitrogen than at Station GW1, twice as high for silicate, but half as much for phosphate as at Station GW1. The lower Ra activity at Station GW2 than at Station GW1 was most likely due to less desorption of radium at smaller ionic strength in the groundwater. The nutrients in the groundwater were higher than those in the estuary at the same salinity for oxidized inorganic nitrogen and silicate (Figure 3a), presumably due to remineralization of organic matter in the groundwater [10]. However, phosphate was lower in the groundwater than in the estuary at the same salinity (Figure 3b), likely due to complexation and/or sorption of phosphate in the groundwater [10].

4. Discussion

4.1. Apparent Water Age in Sanya Bay

Dissolved radium in Sanya Bay appeared to have the same source as that of the estuary water and of the groundwater with ²²⁴Ra vs. ²²³Ra and ²²⁸Ra vs. ²²⁶Ra falling not far from a linear line (Figure 4). The activity ratio of ²²⁴Ra/²²⁸Ra ranged 0.42–1.48 in Sanya Bay with the maximum occurring at Station P1 outside the Sanya River estuary, with higher values in the north and northeast of the bay (Figure 5a), indicating sources of radium from the coastline. The surface salinity in the bay was slightly lower in the northeast off the Sanya River estuary and along the north coast, indicating the extension of the river plume along the north and northeast coast of the bay [22]. The extension of the river plume is coincident with the higher activity ratio of ²²⁴Ra/²²⁸Ra. Besides the river plume groundwater discharge from the coastline may likely contribute to the higher activity ratio. The intrusion of the northern South China Sea water into the bay caused the lower activity ratio of ²²⁴Ra/²²⁸Ra in the south of the bay. In terms of the sources of radium into Sanya Bay, the activity ratio of ²²⁴Ra/²²⁸Ra was almost the same for the Sanya River plume and groundwater, 1.48 for Sanya River estuary water and 1.44 ± 0.07 for the groundwater. SGD was assumed to have the same activity ratio as the groundwater. Considering that the radium flux from sediment diffusion is usually much less than SGD [9,33], the apparent water age in the bay was estimated using Equation (2), taking 1.48 to represent the activity ratio of radium input fluxes from the river plume and SGD. The apparent water age ranged 0–13.2 days in Sanya Bay with an average of 7.2 ± 3.2 days, relatively short near the north and northeast coast of the bay and increasing offshore (Figure 5b). The apparent water age was close to the residence time reported for Sanya Bay [24].
4.2. SGD Estimation Using Radium Isotopes

To estimate SGD into Sanya Bay, the mass balance of $^{226}$Ra and $^{228}$Ra was set up, respectively as illustrated in Equation (1). The average salinity of the bay water was $33.77 \pm 0.10$. Thus, groundwater Station GW1, a well much closer to the coast, where the average salinity was 20.22, was more representative of SGD water directly interacting with the bay water. Station GW1 is located at countryside without any land use and its aquifer is composed of Holocene deposits just as the rest of the coastal area of the bay. Therefore, data from Station GW1 were taken as the SGD end-member under the assumption that SGD occurred as brackish SGD. The Sanya River discharge in dry season
was 15% of the annual discharge [24,25], which was 0.88 m³ s⁻¹. The parameters at the lower estuarine station H1 were taken to calculate the river/estuarine contribution of radium to the bay. Diffusive fluxes of radium were taken from the literature [8,36]. The surface area of the bay investigated was the polygon area constrained by the sampling stations. The volume of the bay investigated was estimated using the surface area of the bay investigated and the average water depth of the bay, 16 m [19]. Radium data at an offshore station LE01 (110° E, 18° N) were taken to represent the ocean water radium. All the parameters used in Equation (1) to estimate SGD are listed in Table 2 and sources and sinks of radium in the bay were quantified and are listed in Table 3. The SGD flux was estimated to be 2.79 ± 1.37 × 10⁶ m³ d⁻¹ (or 4.3 ± 2.1 cm d⁻¹) based on ²²⁶Ra and 5.07 ± 2.64 × 10⁶ m³ d⁻¹ (or 7.8 ± 4.1 cm d⁻¹) based on ²²⁸Ra. This rate was comparable to the SGD rate along the eastern coast of Hainan Island and in other embayments in the Asian region and US (Table 4). The rate estimated using the mass balance of long-lived radium isotopes in the bay fell in the range of the seepage rates derived from time-series observations of ²²⁹Ra in a coastal station in Sanya Bay, 0–44 cm d⁻¹ [21]. The SGD rate might be higher in the nearshore area as indicated by the higher seepage rates obtained at the coastal station.

### Table 2. Parameters used in the mass balance Equation (1) of ²²⁶Ra and ²²⁸Ra.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>River discharge</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>m³ s⁻¹</td>
<td>[24,25]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>²²⁶Ra</td>
<td>15.5 ± 0.7</td>
<td>dpm 100 L⁻¹</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>²²⁸Ra</td>
<td>43.8 ± 1.9</td>
<td>dpm 100 L⁻¹</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concentration of total suspended matter</td>
<td>25.33</td>
<td>mg L⁻¹</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fraction of desorbed radium from particles</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>[37]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>²²⁶Ra on particles</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>dpm g⁻¹</td>
<td>[36]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>²²⁸Ra on particles</td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td>dpm g⁻¹</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>²²⁶Ra diffuse flux</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>dpm m⁻² d⁻¹</td>
<td>[8]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>²²⁸Ra diffuse flux</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>dpm m⁻² d⁻¹</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groundwater</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>²²⁶RaGW</td>
<td>246 ± 36</td>
<td>dpm 100 L⁻¹</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>²²⁸RaGW</td>
<td>435 ± 18</td>
<td>dpm 100 L⁻¹</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estuary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bay water ²²⁶Ra</td>
<td>10.75 ± 0.66</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>²²⁶Ra</td>
<td>27.81 ± 3.59</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume of the bay investigated</td>
<td>1.04 × 10⁹</td>
<td>m³</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface area of the bay investigated</td>
<td>6.49 × 10⁷</td>
<td>m²</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residence time</td>
<td>7.2 ± 3.2</td>
<td>day</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3. Sources and sinks of long-lived radium (²²⁶Ra and ²²⁸Ra) in Sanya Bay.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Radium</th>
<th>Formula in Equation (1)</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>²²⁶Ra</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>dpm d⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sources</td>
<td>Sanya River</td>
<td>F_R²²⁶Ra</td>
<td>1.17 × 10⁷</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sediment diffusion</td>
<td>F_R²²⁶Ra · C_TSM</td>
<td>2.07 × 10⁶</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groundwater</td>
<td>A_R²²⁶F_sed</td>
<td>4.24 × 10⁷</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGD</td>
<td>V_B (²²⁶Ra_B - ²²⁸Ra_O)/τ</td>
<td>6.87 × 10⁸</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>²²⁸Ra</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sources</td>
<td>Sanya River</td>
<td>F_R²²⁸Ra</td>
<td>3.32 × 10⁷</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sediment diffusion</td>
<td>F_R²²⁸Ra · C_TSM</td>
<td>1.73 × 10⁷</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groundwater</td>
<td>A_R²²⁶F_sed</td>
<td>1.01 × 10⁸</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGD</td>
<td>V_B (²²⁹Ra_B - ²²⁸Ra_O)/τ</td>
<td>2.21 × 10¹⁰</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References:

- [24,25]
- [21]
- [8,36]
Table 4. The submarine groundwater discharge (SGD) flux in Sanya Bay compared with SGD rates in other embayments and along the eastern Hainan Island.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>SGD Rate (cm d$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Manila Bay, Philippines</td>
<td>0–26</td>
<td>[38]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jamaica Bay, USA</td>
<td>1.5–17</td>
<td>[39]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Masan Bay, Korea</td>
<td>6.1–7.1</td>
<td>[40]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yeogil Bay, Korea</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>[41]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern coast of Hainan Island, China</td>
<td>10–29</td>
<td>[42]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanya Bay, China</td>
<td>4.3 ± 2.1–7.8 ± 4.1</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The uncertainty in the flux of SGD was calculated considering errors in each term listed in Table 2 using error propagation as in Wang et al. [37]. The spatial variation in the residence time contributed the most, about 90%, to the uncertainty in the flux of SGD, followed by the error in the Ra activity of the groundwater endmember and of the bay water, then the error in the Ra activity of the ocean (Table A1). The error in the Ra activity of the river water contributed the least to the SGD flux uncertainty.

There are a couple of limitations in this study. The first is related to SGD endmember as follows: (a) groundwater sampling was not extensive along the coast; (b) beach groundwater was not sampled; and (c) only one brackish groundwater was taken as the SGD endmember. More groundwater sampling may make the determination of the SGD endmember more accurate. Nevertheless, more groundwater samples may increase the uncertainty of the SGD endmember and further increase the uncertainty of the SGD flux estimation. The second is related to the apparent water age in the mass balance model, where the apparent water age was taken to represent the residence time. Although the values of the two terms were comparable to each other, their physical definitions are different.

4.3. Nutrient Fluxes Via SGD into Sanya Bay and Their Contributions to the Nutrient Budgets

Nutrient fluxes via SGD into Sanya Bay were calculated using the flux of SGD estimated from surface distributions of long-lived radium in the bay multiplied by nutrient concentrations at the groundwater Station GW1. Thus, nutrient fluxes via SGD were $4.64 \pm 2.74$–$8.42 \pm 5.19 \times 10^3$ mol d$^{-1}$ for phosphate, $3.94 \pm 2.00$–$7.15 \pm 3.85 \times 10^3$ mol d$^{-1}$ for oxidized inorganic nitrogen, and $6.63 \pm 3.29$–$12.0 \pm 6.34 \times 10^3$ mol d$^{-1}$ for silicate. The uncertainty in the material flux was calculated using error propagation considering the uncertainty in the flux of SGD and in the groundwater nutrient concentrations. Sanya Bay is oligotrophic with concentrations of nutrients in the range of below the detection limit (BDL) to 0.17 µM for phosphate, BDL to 1.13 µM for oxidized inorganic nitrogen, and 4.06–7.92 µM for silicate [22]. The inventory of nutrients in Sanya Bay was estimated, taking the average concentration of nutrients in the bay and multiplied by the water volume under investigation, to be $4.57 \times 10^4$ mol phosphate, $3.82 \times 10^5$ mol oxidized inorganic nitrogen, and $5.39 \times 10^6$ mol silicate. The inventory was then divided by the residence time in the bay, 7.2 d, and a removal rate of nutrients by mixing was estimated to be $6.31 \times 10^3$ mol d$^{-1}$ phosphate, $5.28 \times 10^4$ mol d$^{-1}$ oxidized inorganic nitrogen, and $7.44 \times 10^5$ mol d$^{-1}$ silicate. Comparisons with SGD-associated nutrient fluxes indicated that SGD could supply all oxidized inorganic nitrogen and almost all phosphate and silicate removed by mixing in Sanya Bay. The average planktonic primary production in Sanya Bay in winter is $39.36$ mmol C m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ [43]. Diatoms account for almost 80% of the phytoplankton in Sanya Bay [44]. Assuming an uptake ratio of C:N:P:Si of 106:16:1:15 [45,46], the corresponding nutrient uptake rates would be $2.41 \times 10^4$ mol d$^{-1}$ for phosphorus, $3.86 \times 10^5$ mol d$^{-1}$ for nitrogen, and $3.61 \times 10^5$ mol d$^{-1}$ for silicate. SGD seemed to provide more than enough nitrogen and silicate and at least 19% of the phosphorus necessary to support this planktonic primary production. In addition, nitrite, nitrate, and phosphate at offshore stations were below detection limits [22], indicating that the ocean provided negligible, if any, nutrients to Sanya Bay. The average nitrogen fixation rate in the bay is 0.14 mmol m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ in winter [43], at most 2% equivalent to that contributed by SGD. The estuarine export nutrient fluxes from the Sanya River estuary were estimated, using an effective concentration multiplied by the dry-season river discharge, to be $1.22 \times 10^5$ mol d$^{-1}$ for phosphate,
4.17 × 10^3 mol d^{-1} for oxidized inorganic nitrogen, and 2.10 × 10^4 mol d^{-1} for silicate, which was a few times smaller than the SGD-associated phosphate flux and at least an order of magnitude smaller than the oxidized inorganic nitrogen and silicate fluxes carried by SGD. The effective concentration was the y intercept of a linear regression of the concentration in the estuary against salinity at mid to high salinity [47]. As shown in Figure 3, the linear regressions were significant for these nutrients with R^2 > 0.9, p < 0.01 and the effective concentration was 16.0 μM for phosphate, 54.9 μM for oxidized inorganic nitrogen, and 277 μM for silicate. Another source of nutrients is atmospheric deposition. Since there was no rain during the two weeks before our sampling, a higher dry deposition rate of nitrogen for the south China from the literature, 9.72 × 10^{-5} mol m^{-2} d^{-1} [48], was considered, which gave a deposition flux of 6.31 × 10^3 mol d^{-1} nitrogen. The deposition flux is about two orders of magnitude smaller than SGD-contributed nitrogen. Thus, SGD is the most important nutrient contributor to Sanya Bay in winter.

In the nutrient budget of Sanya Bay (Figure 6), the source terms include the Sanya River estuarine export, SGD, atmospheric deposition, and nitrogen fixation. The sink terms are ocean mixing and biological uptake. The biological uptake of nutrients is directly related to carbon uptake, i.e., conversion of inorganic carbon to organic carbon. On the other hand remineralization of organic matter releases inorganic carbon and nutrients. In this sense nutrient cycles are intertwined with the carbon cycle. The total sink is 4.39 × 10^3 mol d^{-1} nitrogen, 3.04 × 10^4 mol d^{-1} phosphorus, and 1.11 × 10^6 mol d^{-1} silicate, while the total source is 4.13–7.34 × 10^5 mol d^{-1} nitrogen, 5.86–9.64 × 10^5 mol d^{-1} phosphorus, and 6.84–12.2 × 10^5 mol d^{-1} silicate. According to our data, the source and sink terms of nitrogen and silicate can be balanced in Sanya Bay. A deficit in phosphate is present. At least 2.08 × 10^4 mol d^{-1} phosphorus is required to fill the gap. We propose two reasons for this deficit: (a) benthic flora of about 150 species were found in Sanya Bay [49] and macroalgae usually demonstrate an N:P ratio of about 30 in their tissues [50]; if this ratio were considered in estimating the biological uptake rate of phosphate based on the nitrogen uptake rate, a much lower biological uptake rate of phosphate would have been obtained to decrease the deficit; and (b) benthic release of phosphorus due to remineralization or grazing of organic matter may be a phosphate source.

Nutrients carried by SGD account for 95–97% oxidized inorganic nitrogen, 79–87% phosphate, and 97–98% silicate of the nutrient source in Sanya Bay. SGD may compensate for at least 90% oxidized inorganic nitrogen, 15% phosphate, and 60% silicate of the nutrient sink in the bay and satisfy all nitrogen and silicate requirements by phytoplankton growth in the dry season. Our results substantiate the regulation of SGD on nutrient composition in a coral reef system of Sanya Bay found in our time-series studies [22]. In summer, with upwelling influencing this area [51] as well as greater river discharge, the nutrient budget may be different from that in dry seasons and the contribution of SGD to the nutrient budget merits investigations. Nutrient enrichments have caused worldwide coastal environmental issues of eutrophication and hypoxia [52,53]. With frequencies and areas of eutrophication and associated hypoxia increasing around the world coast [54], coastal ecosystems under risk necessitates long term monitoring of SGD and its associated material fluxes in environmental protection programs.

![Figure 6. Nutrient budget in Sanya Bay. Unit is in mol d^{-1}.](image-url)
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Appendix A

Table A1. Errors calculated using error propagation for $F_{SGD}$ considering the uncertainty in each parameter in Equation (1) with values listed in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>$\delta_Ra_R$</th>
<th>$\delta_Ra_O$</th>
<th>$\delta_Ra_{GW}$</th>
<th>$\delta_\tau$</th>
<th>$\delta_Ra_B$</th>
<th>$\delta_{Total}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$F_{SGD_{226}}$Ra (m$^3$ d$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>2.16 x 10$^2$</td>
<td>2.46 x 10$^5$</td>
<td>4.08 x 10$^5$</td>
<td>1.25 x 10$^6$</td>
<td>3.86 x 10$^5$</td>
<td>1.39 x 10$^6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_{SGD_{228}}$Ra (m$^3$ d$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>3.32 x 10$^2$</td>
<td>4.69 x 10$^5$</td>
<td>1.11 x 10$^5$</td>
<td>2.35 x 10$^6$</td>
<td>1.18 x 10$^6$</td>
<td>2.67 x 10$^6$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: $\delta_x$ represents the uncertainty resulting from the uncertainty in $x$. The subscript "R" represents river, "O"—ocean endmember, "GW"—groundwater, "B"—bay water.
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