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Abstract: Oil spills are adverse events that may be very harmful to ecosystems and the food chain. In particular, large sea oil spills are very dramatic occurrences that may affect sea and coastal areas. Hence, the sustainability of oil rig infrastructures and oil transportation via oil tankers is linked to law enforcement based on proper monitoring techniques, which are also fundamental to mitigate the impact of such pollution. In this study, a showcase referring to the Deepwater Horizon (DWH) oil incident, one of the world’s largest incidental oil pollution event that occurred in the Gulf of Mexico in 2010 affecting a sea area larger than 10,000 km², is analyzed using remotely-sensed information collected by Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR). Although, operationally, SAR sea oil slick observation is typically accomplished using C-band VV-polarized SAR imagery, during the DWH oil incident, because of their very dense revisit time, even single-polarization X-band COSMO-SkyMed (CSK) SAR measurements were collected. In this study, we exploit, for the first time, incoherent dual co-polarization SAR data collected by the Italian CSK X-band SAR constellation showing the key benefits of HH-VV SAR measurements in observing such a huge oil pollution event, especially in terms of the very dense revisit time offered by the CSK constellation.
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1. Introduction

Oceans, seas and all the marine resources are essential to human well-being and social and economic development [1]. Oceans provide livelihoods, subsistence and benefits from fisheries, tourism and many other sectors, also helping in regulating the global ecosystem by absorbing heat and carbon dioxide from the atmosphere. However, oceans and coastal areas are severely susceptible to environmental degradation, overfishing, climate change, biodiversity loss and pollution [2]. In particular, pollutants significantly threat coastal regions, and since river basins, marine ecosystems and the atmosphere belong all together to the same hydrological systems, their effects are often found at a far distance from the polluting source. According to the 2015 “Transboundary Waters Assessment Programme” global comparative assessment, the Gulf of Mexico is one of the five largest marine ecosystems mostly at risk of pollution and eutrophication. Hence, its preservation and sustainable management are key points to be achieved in the 2030 Agenda [3]. One of the goals mentioned in the sustainable development report of 2016 explicitly states that to “conserve and sustainably use the oceans, seas and marine resources for sustainable development” are of primary importance [4].

Sea oil spills are the most noticeable forms of damage to the marine environment. Oil at sea comes from oil tanker or oil rig disasters, but also, and primarily, from diffuse sources, such as leaks during oil extraction, illegal tank-cleaning operations at sea or discharges into rivers, which are then carried into the sea. Two classes of sea oil spill may occur, large oil spills and small oil spills. The size and duration of the spill, its chemical makeup and the marine environment are key factors to evaluate the
short- and long-term ecological consequences of the spillage. While macro oil spills are well known in general terms, the correct monitoring of the time evolving processes and the precise knowledge of the marine and coastal area affected are crucial. Micro oil spills are usually much more difficult to be monitored by patrol coast guard ships and airplanes, since they represent small-size events that may occur in large areas.

Although proper monitoring is only the first part of a challenging scientific and operational processing chain, it is important to be properly done [5]. In fact, although any macro oil spill has its unique characteristics, the logic processing chain is based on some key functional tools: monitoring, forecasting and vulnerability assessment. It must be noted that many uncertainties still remain especially in the forecasting of an oil spill because of meteo-marine conditions and aging that make oil forecasting a complex process that cannot be standardized in a simple way. Hence, it is important to provide to the forecast modeler the best available information in terms of sea oil coverage and possibly sea oil type. Sea oil type has a direct impact on forecasting since when oil has a predominant component that is volatile, the polluting contamination process is very different with respect to the case where heavy damping oil is predominant. In order to mitigate the adverse effects of a sea oil spill, it is of paramount importance to monitor the event and to provide the best information to the operational people to support remediation actions and dispatch proper bulletins to fishermen and the population [6].

With reference to oil tanker security, especially after the Prestige incident in 2002, the use of double-hull tankers was meant as the primary source to limit the risk of incidents. Unfortunately, the recent Sanchi incident in 2018 demonstrated that this ship construction technology does not lead to zero risk. On the other side, oil rigs are more and more environmentally risky as they move to deep and ultra-deep sea. The reference incident is the Deepwater Horizon (DWH) incident that occurred in 2010 in the Gulf of Mexico [7,8]. The oil spill industry’s sustainability is based on the increasing sea oil spill remediation capability, and this is also based on the quality of the monitoring capability.

In this framework, this study focuses on the benefit of satellite day and night fine spatial-resolution Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR) monitoring during the DWH incident. In fact, among the various remote sensing tools, the COSMO-SkyMed (CSK) SAR constellation could effectively address the user needs in the case of such huge incidental polluting events in terms of:

- area covered, due to its large swath mode;
- continuous and almost near real-time operability, due to the dense revisit time.

In particular, the dense revisit time information collected by the Italian CSK X-band SAR constellation is a key resource.

SAR imaging characteristics provide several extra-benefits if compared to optical remote sensing, even though the latter is extensively used to retrieve rough estimations of oil thickness and chemical properties. However, optical measurements are severely affected by weather conditions, and furthermore, response efforts such as the use of chemical dispersants may alter oil slicks’ appearance by dispersing it in subsurfaces, making the interpretation of optical data non-trivial at all [5,6].

On the other side, one of the key drawbacks of SAR satellite imaging relies on its revisit time that, very often, is not dense enough to ensure a good sampling of the oil spill event. From this viewpoint, the very dense revisit time guaranteed by the CSK SAR constellation is a key benefit. In fact, it is internationally recognized that oil spill response operational services obtain great benefits by utilizing airborne/satellite-based remote sensing for oil spill surveillance [9,10]. In fact, several countries and governmental agencies, e.g., the European Maritime Safety Agency, assist their operational services by providing remotely-sensed measurements, especially by SAR imagery. The latter is an active, coherent, band-limited microwave high-resolution sensor that can make day- and night-time measurements almost independently of atmospheric conditions.

SAR oil slick observation is physically possible because an oil slick damps the short gravity and capillary waves, which are responsible for the backscattering to the SAR antenna, and therefore, a low backscattering return occurs. As a result, in the SAR image plane, a dark area is associated with an oil
SAR oil spill detection is not an easy task, since SAR images are affected by multiplicative noise, known as speckle, which hampers the interpretability of such images. Furthermore, there are other physical phenomena, known as look-alikes, which can generate dark areas in SAR images not related to oil spills, such as biogenic films, low-wind areas, rain cells, internal waves and oceanic or atmospheric fronts [12]. Accordingly, tailored filtering techniques must be developed in order to minimize the number of false alarms. They are generally based on the use of single-polarization SAR data together with ancillary data [5,11,13]. In some cases, the distinction between oil slicks and biogenic films is based on optical data [5].

Before proceeding further, it is important to underline that classical single-polarization image processing approaches for sea oil spill monitoring showed that the contrast between oil and water is higher at X-band than at C- and L-band [5,6]. However, satellite-borne X-band SAR measurements are more affected by atmospheric phenomena and a significant noise floor [14]. All this matter, together with the large availability of C-band SAR imagery, promoted the use of VV-polarized C-band data as the most suitable tool for sea oil slick observation [11,15–18]. In particular, during the DWH incident, U.S. operational services, in order to fill the gap, used also the Italian CSK X-band SAR imagery, even though they were unaware of the benefits provided by these physical techniques.

Within this context, the CSK constellation is attractive from an operational point of view since it is a constellation of four X-band SARs, characterized by a very short revisit time, i.e., ≈12 h, and it is able to operate in an incoherent dual-polarization mode (Ping Pong (PP) mode). The capability of CSK to support an operational monitoring of the oceans has been demonstrated in [19–21].

The importance of dual co-polarization coherent SAR measurements has been demonstrated in the literature for oil slick observation purposes [22–28]. Nevertheless, although it has been physically demonstrated by theoretical modeling and experiments that polarimetric SAR measurements are the most adequate source to monitor oil slicks at sea [10,29], it is important to analyze, especially in the occurrence of large oil spill incidents, how all the available SAR measurements can be exploited the best.

In this study, a dual co-polarization analysis of the capabilities of X-band CSK PP mode SAR data is first undertaken focusing on the DWH oil spill. The latter was extensively monitored by means of L-, C- and X-band SAR systems but, to the best of our knowledge, no study exploited the incoherent CSK PP mode to consider such a huge oil spill event [24,25,30,31]. Oil spill detection and estimation of the polluted area are undertaken using a textural-based image processing approach, while a dual co-polarization analysis is undertaken in order to characterize the contrast, i.e., the ratio between the Normalized Radar Cross-Section (NRCS) relevant to the slick-free and oil-covered sea surface, both in the HH and VV channels. In addition, ancillary wind speed information is also extracted from the CSK imaged scene using a spectral method.

Experiments, accomplished over X-band HH-VV PP mode Single-look Complex Slant (SCS) Level 1A CSK SAR data collected in the Gulf of Mexico over the polluted area, demonstrate the importance of the Italian constellation of CSK SAR satellites for an effective observation of sea oil slicks.

2. The Deepwater Horizon Incidental Oil Spill: A Case Study

On 20 April 2010, a fire broke out on the Transocean DWH oil rig under lease to British Petroleum (BP), with 126 people on board (see Figure 1a). After a large explosion, all but 11 of the crew managed to escape as the rig was overwhelmed by fire. On 22 April 2010, the rig sank. Safeguards set in place to automatically cap the oil well in case of catastrophe did not work as expected. According to a first conservative Minerals Management Service formula, BP estimated at worst a spill of 162,000 barrels per day and a standard technology recovery capacity of about 500,000 barrels per day. Only after 12 weeks did BP succeed in placing a tight cap on the well. A first estimate of about five million barrels [32,33] already makes this incident the world’s largest incidental oil spill and, by far, the worst oil disaster in United States history. It is surpassed only by the intentional 1991 Gulf War spill in Kuwait.
Oil spilled from the DWH wellhead was a Mississippi Canyon Block 252 (MS252) South Louisiana sweet, i.e., low in sulfur concentration, crude oil, and as far as for all the crude oils, it consists of thousands of chemical compounds [32,33]. The vast and persistent DWH spill challenged response capabilities, which called for quantitative oil assessment at synoptic and operational scales. Although nowadays, oil spill response still mainly relies on experienced observers, few trained observers and confounding factors, including weather, oil emulsification and scene illumination geometry presented very non-trivial challenges [34,35]. Moreover, the DWH spill was characterized by some key peculiarities that made its observation very challenging:

- The spill originated from a water-depth of 1500 m. This has confounded many problems on understanding the behavior of the oil [36,37]. In general, oil at sea is influenced by a number of advective processes, e.g., wind and wave advection, spreading, emulsification, etc., and bio-geochemical processes, e.g., weathering. The latter is a process that alters the oil’s chemical and physical properties. In addition to the conventional weathering process on the surface, the DWH oil was subjected to weathering as it ascended from the well. In fact, DWH oil appeared to be incorporating water as it emerged on the surface [36,37];

- Fresh oil was continuously released. Unlike “conventional” tanker oil spills, where oil is released at once, the DWH oil spill was far more challenging due to continuous fresh oil release. Hence, in a continuous release situation, there is a mixture of fresh and weathered oil (of various degrees), as well as emulsified oil;

- A massive use of dispersants was made to mitigate the oil’s impact on the environment [33,36]. The dispersants help to reduce the oil-water interfacial tension, which when aided by the addition of energy in the form of wind/waves, can help to enhance natural dispersion of the oil. During the DWH oil spill, nearly two million gallons of chemical dispersant were used both on the surface
and directly onto the gushing oil at the wellhead in an attempt to keep some of the oil under the water surface (see Figure 1b). Scientists believe that BP’s excessive use of dispersants has contributed significantly to the enormous underwater oil plumes that remain in the Gulf, one of which was 22 miles long and six miles wide [33,36];

- according to the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Agency (NOAA) estimates, the polluted area was so large (10,000 km²) to suggest closing the fishery boundaries (see the area within the red line in Figure 1c) [32]. This hampered traditional approaches to provide a synoptic spill observation, thus making remote sensing a key asset [38].

In addition, such huge oil spillage may have a critical long-term impact over the whole marine and coastal ecosystem and, therefore, still needs to be continuously monitored [39–41].

In summary, this unprecedented oil spill incident triggered the operational use of SAR techniques to provide detailed information on the oil slick related to the DWH incident. Nevertheless, since the DWH polluted area included oil slicks of different thickness, emulsified oil, weathered oil, oil/dispersant mixture, fresh oil, etc., the surface slick was very heterogeneous. This implies that a synergistic use of different SAR operating modes is needed. In fact, large-swath imaging modes, e.g., ScanSAR, allow obtaining information on the extent of the oil spill, while narrower swath polarimetric modes, e.g., PP, allow extracting deeper information on the oil’s backscattering.

3. Experiments and Discussion

In this section, the capability of the CSK PP imaging mode to observe the huge DWH incident in the Gulf of Mexico is analyzed. The signal backscattered from slick-free and oil-covered sea surface is analyzed using both HH and VV channels, and a wind speed map, derived from the CSK imagery, is only used to check that a moderate wind regime is in place. The proposed methodology is synthetically described in Figure 2, where a flowchart is shown.

Figure 2. Flowchart of the proposed methodology. Black boxes refer to input data/parameters, green boxes to processing steps, blue boxes to output maps/plots shown as figures and red boxes to output values listed in the tables.
The CSK SAR dataset consists of a multi-polarization SAR scene collected in right-looking ascending orbit over the DWH incidental oil spill site in the very next days after the incident; see Figure 3. The SAR scene (product ID: 35088) was acquired from the satellite “CSK 3” of the constellation on 23 April 2010, i.e., only 3 days after the oil spillage just after the BP oil rig sank, in dual co-polarization, i.e., HH-VV, ping pong mode under an incidence angle of 40° at mid-range. The SAR image consists of \(4123 \times 18,042\) pixels covering an area of \(30 \text{ km} \times 30 \text{ km}\) with about a 15-m spatial resolution.

Figure 3. Dual co-polarization CSK SAR imagery related to the SAR scene collected on 23 April 2010. (a) HH- and (b) VV-polarized NRCS gray tone images (dB scale). The area polluted by the DWH incident is visible as a homogeneous patch darker than the sea background. The along-range and along-azimuth transects are also annotated as dashed white lines.

Although SAR sea oil slick observation is not based on sea surface wind speed knowledge, it is affected by it: in fact, it is unanimously recognized that SAR oil slick observation is possible under moderate wind conditions, i.e., wind speed ranges from about 2 m/s up to approximately 13 m/s [9,42]. When higher wind conditions apply, the mixing phenomena resulting from the high sea state significantly hamper the detectability of the oil with respect to the surrounding sea. At lower wind speed, sea surface backscattering is comparable to the scattering from the oil slick; in this case, the oil spill detection performance from SAR imagery becomes poorer due to the false alarms associated with low-backscattering areas. Typically, wind information over the sea surface is provided by ancillary in situ and/or remotely-sensed data, e.g., buoy measurements and/or scatterometer and radiometer satellite data. The former measure sea surface wind speed at the usual height of 5 m, while the latter allow retrieving near surface wind speed at a reference height of 10 m above sea level [43–45]. Unfortunately, very often, the information coming from other remotely-sensed sources is not colocated in time and/or space with the available SAR dataset. In addition, buoys co-located at the incident point are not always available.

Hence, in this study, a different approach is proposed that consists of deriving the sea surface wind speed map, at the standard height of 10 m, from the SAR image. This means that time- and space-colocated wind information is extracted. Different methods are available in the literature that are mainly based on the exploitation of a scatterometer-like Geophysical Model Function (GMF) to extract wind speed information once a priori wind direction information is available [43–45]. A spectral approach is herein considered that does not require any a priori wind direction information to provide the sea surface wind speed map. This approach is based on the inherent SAR peculiarities, i.e., the low-pass filtering in the azimuth direction due to the orbital motion of the sea surface waves that
distorts the Doppler history of the backscattered waves [46,47]. The wind map, generated using the azimuth cut-off method, is shown in Figure 4a, where the oil-covered area is masked out. It can be noted that the low-to-moderate wind regime applies, which is characterized by a mean wind speed of 7 m/s at the SAR acquisition time. This result agrees with the sea surface wind speed derived from the Oceansat-2 (OSCAT) Level 2B 12.5-km spatial resolution scatterometer product available from the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL) database [48], whose wind speed map is shown in Figure 4b. SAR-derived wind speed is also confirmed by the 5-m sea surface wind speed recorded at the SAR acquisition time by the available NOAA buoy closest to the DWH incident site, i.e., the station ID “42040” (located at 29°12′30″ N, 88°13′3″ W, about 50 km far along northeast direction, [49]), and corrected for a fair comparison with SAR-based wind speed retrieval [44,45]. Hence, for the purposes of this study, the wind regime can be effectively estimated by CSK SAR imagery.

3.1. Oil Spill Detection

In this subsection, a texture-based oil spill detection procedure is used to assess the potential of CSK SAR data to detect the DWH oil spill and to estimate its surface extent.

In order to extract suitable intensity-based features that will be exploited to get the oil spill detection binary mask, a textural-based feature extraction algorithm is used that is based on the Gray-Level Co-occurrence Matrix (GLCM). The latter is one of the most popular statistical methods to extract second-order texture features from remotely-sensed images. The technique has been already successfully exploited in a broad range of SAR applications, e.g., ice-cover classification [50] and oil detection [51]. Basically, GLCM is a mathematical formalism that takes into account how often different pixel intensity value combinations occur in a remotely-sensed image within given distances and directions. Among the basic GLCM parameters to be extracted from a SAR image, which include mean, variance, correlation, entropy, homogeneity, energy, contrast, dissimilarity, etc., the Angular Second Moment (ASM) was found to be the most effective in terms of oil/sea separation. ASM is defined as:

\[
\text{ASM} = \sum_{i,j=0}^{N-1} [P(i,j)]^2 ,
\]

where \(N\) is the number of gray levels and \(P\) is the \(N \times N\) GLCM whose element \(i,j\) accounts for the occurrence frequency that characterizes two neighboring pixels separated by a given distance and resulting in a gray level \(i\) and \(j\), respectively [52,53]. ASM can be interpreted as a measure
of the homogeneity of the intensity SAR image. Since the oil-covered area is expected to be more homogeneous than the sea surface, i.e., few gray levels are present, it will be characterized by few and relatively high intensity values $P(i, j)$ that result in ASM values larger than the ones characterizing sea surface. According to [53], the number of gray levels should be large enough to reduce the loss of information due to the quantization process while preserving the amount of textural details, while the sliding window size should be large enough to include sufficient texture information and reduce the “block/pixelation” effect while resulting in an acceptable processing time. Hence, in this study, $N = 32$ gray levels are used for the quantization, and a $9 \times 9$ sliding window is adopted to estimate ASM for the whole SAR image.

Experimental results are shown in Figure 5, where the binary masks obtained thresholding the ASM images are obtained from the HH and VV channel (see Figure 5a,b, respectively). A threshold $\text{ASM} = 1$ is empirically set. Post-processing techniques, e.g., a morphological filter, are then applied to provide the oil detection maps of Figure 5. It can be noted that the oil detection mask obtained processing the VV NRCS clearly separates the polluted area from the surrounding sea. In fact, the former calls for ASM values larger than one due to its homogeneity; while the latter, which represents a more heterogeneous scenario, results in lower ASM values (see Figure 5b). It is also important to note that the few isolated black spots related to metallic targets at sea involved in cleaning-up operations (see bright spots in Figure 3) are visible in the oil spill detection map. This is likely due to the fact that they behave as very homogeneous scatterers. The oil spill can be detected even from the HH NRCS, although a very slightly larger number of false alarms and missed oil pixels within the slick are observed; see Figure 5a.

Hence, according to the detection map of Figure 5b, the extent of the DWH oil spill can be estimated to be approximately 100 km$^2$ at the SAR acquisition time, i.e., 3 days after the incident.

3.2. Dual Co-Polarization Analysis

In this subsection, the inherent peculiarity of the CSK PP mode, i.e., the capability to collect images incoherently at HH and VV polarization, is exploited, and the sensitivity of HH- and VV-polarized NRCS ($\sigma_{HH}^0$ and $\sigma_{VV}^0$, respectively) to slick-free and oil-covered backscattering is discussed.
The two intensity channels are jointly used to generate the Pauli false-color RGB images of Figure 6 where the following color-coding is adopted: R ($\sigma^0_{VV}$); G ($\sigma^0_{HH}$) and B ($\sigma^0_{HH} - \sigma^0_{VV}$). It can be noted that the joint use of VV and HH channels provides further information that can be exploited to gain a better understanding of the scattering processes. The backscattering from metallic targets (mostly due to ships and oil/gas drilling platforms) (see the brighter spots in Figure 3) is significantly larger than the sea one at both HH and VV polarizations. Sea surface backscattering results in VV-polarized backscattering larger than the oil-covered area, as expected from the Bragg/tilted-Bragg theory [54]. The smallest difference between VV- and HH-polarized backscattering is achieved within the oil-covered areas. From a physical viewpoint, this can be explained considering that oil layer reduces significantly Bragg scattering waves, leading to a noise-like backscattering, which results in practically no difference between HH and VV channels.

![Figure 6. False-color RGB image relevant to the CSK SAR scene collected on 23 April 2010, where the following color-coding is adopted: R $\equiv \sigma^0_{VV}$, G $\equiv \sigma^0_{HH}$ and B $\equiv \sigma^0_{HH} - \sigma^0_{VV}$.](image)

To provide a quantitative analysis of VV and HH backscattering over a slick-free and oil-covered sea surface, $\sigma^0_{VV}$ and $\sigma^0_{HH}$ values related to the azimuth- and range-oriented transects (see the white dashed lines in Figure 3) are depicted in Figure 7. Values related to the along-range transect are depicted in Figure 7a, where one can note that: over the slick-free sea surface, $\sigma^0_{VV} > \sigma^0_{HH}$ (the difference is about 3 dB), since Bragg scattering applies; within the oil-affected area, the backscattering is significantly
lower than the sea one, and there is a negligible difference between HH and VV channels (the difference is less than 1 dB). Same comments apply for the azimuth-oriented transect; see Figure 7b. The mean values related to slick-free and oil-covered $\sigma^0$ values evaluated along with this transect are listed in Table 1 where the contrast $\Delta$, i.e., the slick-free to oil-covered $\sigma^0$ ratio, is also listed for both the channels. As expected, the VV-polarized contrast is larger than the HH one (of about 2 dB) due to the larger sea surface backscattering in the VV channel.

![Figure 7](image)

**Figure 7.** HH- and VV-polarized NRCS values (in dB) evaluated along with the range- (a) and azimuth-oriented (b) transects shown in Figure 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transect ROI</th>
<th>VV ($\sigma^0$) (dB)</th>
<th>HH ($\sigma^0$) (dB)</th>
<th>$\Delta$VV (dB)</th>
<th>$\Delta$HH (dB)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Azimuth Direction Sea</td>
<td>-13.18</td>
<td>-15.94</td>
<td>11.15</td>
<td>8.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil</td>
<td>-24.33</td>
<td>-24.89</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range Direction Sea</td>
<td>-12.68</td>
<td>-15.16</td>
<td>10.12</td>
<td>8.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil</td>
<td>-22.80</td>
<td>-23.21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To further discuss oil-sea separability at both HH and VV channels, a tailored analysis is made that consists of considering two equal-sized Regions Of Interest (ROIs) kept within the oil-affected area and the slick-free sea surface. The empirical probability density function (pdf) related to slick-free and oil-covered $\sigma^0$ values (in dB) is shown for both the VV and HH channels; see Figure 8. It can be noted that there is a good oil-sea separability at both HH and VV polarization according to the Jeffries–Matusita ($JM$) distance; see Table 2. The $JM$ distance is defined as:

$$JM = 2(1 - e^{-B}) , \quad B = -\ln\left(\sum_{x \in X} \sqrt{(p(x)q(x))}\right) ,$$

where $B$ is the Bhattacharyya distance between the distribution pixel $x$ belonging to slick-free ($p$) and oil-covered ($q$) ROIs [55]. In fact, the minimum $JM$ value, i.e., 0, means that the two distributions are completely overlapped, while the maximum $JM$ value, i.e., 2, means totally separated distributions.

Results listed in Table 2 clearly show that the largest oil-sea separation, as expected, is provided by the VV channel ($JM = 1.1250$) with a 38% overlapping between oil and sea pdfs. However, even the HH channel performs fine in oil-sea separation ($JM = 0.8107$) with an overlapping equal to 51%. It can be also observed that the largest separation is provided by the combination of $\sigma^0_{HH}$ evaluated over oil and $\sigma^0_{VV}$ evaluated over a slick-free sea surface.
Figure 8. Empirical pdfs related to $\sigma^0$ values evaluated over the slick-free and oil-covered sea surface ROIs for both the VV and HH channels.

Table 2. Statistical oil-sea separability.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>HH</th>
<th>VV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oil-sea $JM$</td>
<td>0.8107</td>
<td>1.1250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overlapped area (%)</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Conclusions

The DWH incident represents in all respects a benchmark to be considered for enhanced oil spill monitoring and remediation activities. In addition, although the most critical phase has passed, there are still some concerns about future years [40]. In fact, such a huge oil spill demonstrated that approaches designed and tested over smaller extent cases are not always applicable. Further, although the natural point observation is from space since airborne observations are not enough to provide a general view, different information sources are needed to achieve an inter-operability approach to get the most information about the oil spill extent and the deployment of dispersants.

Within this context where inter-operability plays a key role, SAR data collected by satellite platforms represent a very valuable tool. Nevertheless, an automatic inter-operability approach needs a minimum quality level of the different information sources to achieve satisfactory and reliable results. The use of in situ, airborne and satellite techniques was a key factor to outline the best the dynamic evolution of the oil spills and to mitigate their social, environmental and economical impact. In particular, multi-frequency and multi-polarization SAR satellites were shown to be a key asset.

In this study, the high-performance CSK imagery capabilities are exploited to observe a huge oil spill incident, namely the DWH oil slick, that occurred in 2010 in the Gulf of Mexico, off the Louisiana coast. Within this framework, in this study, we demonstrated the benefits of the high-performance CSK observation capabilities that, together with its dense revisit time, make the CSK constellation a key space asset to monitor such a huge and dreadful oil spill incident. In fact, although the most effective
sea oil slick detection approaches rely on coherent polarimetric SAR measurements [10,22,23,27,30], even incoherent dual-polarimetric modes, i.e., the PP mode, can be successfully exploited to get valuable information about the oil-affected area that can be used in the context of a synergistic approach to complement information coming from other sources.

In detail, the CSK incoherent dual co-polarization mode is shown to be a valuable tool to support local authorities since it provides a binary image where the oil-affected sea can be easily identified. The analysis of the signal backscattered off an oil-free and oil-covered sea surface shows that, as expected, sea surface VV-polarized backscattering is larger than the HH one. However, this difference turns out to be negligible when oil-covered backscattering is considered.

**Author Contributions:** F.N. and M.M. conceived of and designed the experiments. A.B. and F.N. performed the experiments and analyzed the data. F.N., A.B. and M.M. wrote the paper.

**Funding:** This research received no external funding.

**Acknowledgments:** This study is partly funded by the Università degli Studi di Napoli Parthenope, Project ID DING202. We thank the Italian Space Agency (ASI), which has provided the CSK SAR data under Project ID 1221. The authors would also like to acknowledge ASI and E-Geos for useful discussions.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest. The founding sponsors had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript; nor in the decision to publish the results.

**Abbreviations**

The following abbreviations are used in this manuscript:

- SAR: Synthetic Aperture Radar
- DWH: Deepwater Horizon
- CSK: COSMO-SkyMed
- HH: Horizontal transmit Horizontal receive
- VV: Vertical transmit Vertical receive
- PP: Ping Pong
- NRCS: Normalized Radar Cross-Section
- SCS: Single-look Complex Slant
- BP: British Petroleum
- NOAA: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Agency
- GMF: Geophysical Model Function
- OSCAT: Oceansat-2
- NASA: National Aeronautics and Space Administration
- JPL: Jet Propulsion Laboratory
- dB: Decibel
- GLCM: Gray-Level Co-occurrence Matrix
- ASM: Angular Second Moment
- RGB: Red Green Blue
- ROI: Region Of Interest
- pdf: Probability Density Function
- JM: Jeffries–Matusita
- ASI: Agenzia Spaziale Italiana
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