Starlike Functions Related to the Bell Numbers
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1. Introduction

Let $A$ be a class of analytic functions $f$ in the open unit disk $D := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ and normalized by the conditions $f(0) = 0$ and $f'(0) = 1$. Suppose $S$ is a subclass of $A$ consisting of univalent functions. An analytic function $f$ is subordinate to $g$, written as $f \prec g$, if there exists an analytic function $w : D \to D$ with $|w(z)| \leq |z|$ such that $f(z) = g(w(z))$ ($z \in D$). Moreover, if $g$ is univalent in $D$, then the equivalent conditions for subordination can be written as $f(0) = g(0)$ and $f(D) \subseteq g(D)$. By imposing some geometric and analytic conditions over the functions in the class $S$, many authors considered several subclasses of $S$. Various subclasses of starlike and convex functions were studied in the literature, and they can be unified by considering an analytic univalent function $\varphi$ with a positive real part in $D$, symmetric about the real axis and starlike with respect to $\varphi(0) = 1$, and $\varphi'(0) > 0$. Ma and Minda [1] studied the class

$$S^*(\varphi) := \left\{ f \in A : \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec \varphi(z) \right\}.$$

The class $S^*(\varphi)$ for various choice of the domain $\varphi(D)$ was considered in recent years. The class $S^*[A, B] := S^*((1 + Az)/(1 + Bz))$ ($-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$) was introduced by Janowski [2]. For $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, the class $S^*(\alpha) := S^*[1 - 2\alpha, -1]$ is the class of starlike functions of order $\alpha$. Uralegaddi et al. [3] defined the class

$$M(\beta) := \left\{ f \in A : \text{Re} \left( \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) < \beta \ (\beta > 1) \right\} = S^* \left( 1 + \frac{(1 - 2\beta)z}{1 - z} \right).$$
Several authors considered various special cases of the class of Janowski starlike functions by considering some specific functions, namely \( \varphi_q(z) := z + \sqrt{1 + z^2}, \) \( q_0(z) := 1 + \frac{(k + z)(k - z)}{k - 1}, \) where \( k = \sqrt{1 + z^2} \). Some of these classes are: \( S^*_1 := S^*(\sqrt{1 + z}) \) [4], \( S^*_q := S^*(\varphi_q(z)) \) [5], \( S^*_v = S^*(e^z) \) [6], \( S^*_k = S^*(q_0(z)) \) [7], \( S^*_k = S^*(\varphi_k) \) [8]. For more details, see [15–21]. The authors in [22] determined sharp coefficient bounds on the six initial coefficients, Hankel determinant, and on the first three elements into non-empty subsets or, equivalently, the number of equivalence relations on it. For a fixed non-negative integer \( n \), the Bell numbers \( B_n \) count the possible disjoint partitions of a set with \( n \) elements into non-empty subsets or, equivalently, the number of equivalence relations on it. The Bell numbers \( B_n \) satisfy a recurrence relation involving binomial coefficients. For more details, see [15–21].

Kumar et al. [22] considered the function \( \beta(z) := \varphi(z) = \frac{1 + 2z^2}{1 - \tau z - \tau^2 z^2}, \) which maps the unit disk \( \mathbb{D} \) on to a shell-like domain in the right-half plane. Further, they defined the class \( S^*_p := \{ f \in A : z f'(z)/f(z) \prec \beta(z) \} \). The functions \( f \in S^*_p \) are starlike of order \( \sqrt{5}/10 \).

Motivated by the above defined classes, we consider a function associated with the Bell Numbers. For a fixed non-negative integer \( n \), the Bell numbers \( B_n \) count the possible disjoint partitions of a set with \( n \) elements into non-empty subsets or, equivalently, the number of equivalence relations on it. The Bell numbers \( B_n \) satisfy a recurrence relation involving binomial coefficients \( B_{n+1} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} B_k \). Clearly \( B_0 = B_1 = 1, B_2 = 2, B_3 = 5, B_4 = 15, B_5 = 52, \) and \( B_6 = 203 \). For more details, see [15–21].

Kumar et al. [22] considered the function

\[
Q(z) := e^z - 1 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n \frac{z^n}{n!} = 1 + z + z^2 + \frac{5}{6} z^3 + \frac{5}{8} z^4 + \cdots (z \in \mathbb{D})
\]

which is starlike with respect to 1 and it’s coefficients generate the Bell numbers. Kumar et al. [22] defined the class \( S^*_B \) by \( S^*_B := S^*(Q) \). From [1], note that the function \( f \in S^*_B \) if and only if there exists an analytic function \( q \), satisfying \( q(z) \prec Q(z) \) (\( z \in \mathbb{D} \)), such that

\[
f(z) = I(q(z)) = z \exp \left( \int_0^z \frac{q(t) - 1}{t} \, dt \right).
\]

The above representation shows that the functions in the class \( S^*_B \) can be seen as an integral transform \( I(q(z)) \) of the function \( q \) with \( f(0) = 0 \) and \( f'(0) = 1 \). The reader may refer to the paper [23] and the references cited therein for integral transform related works. The authors in [22] determined sharp coefficient bounds on the six initial coefficients, Hankel determinant, and on the first three consecutive higher order Schwarzian derivatives for functions in the class \( S^*_B \).

Let \( P \) be the class of analytic functions \( p : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{C} \) with \( p(0) = 1 \) and \( \text{Re} p(z) > 0 \) (\( z \in \mathbb{D} \)). In 1989, Nunokawa et al. [24] showed that if \( 1 + z p'(z) \prec 1 + z \), then \( p(z) \prec 1 + z \). In 2007, Ali et al. [25] computed the condition on \( \beta \), in each case, for which

\[
1 + \frac{\beta z p'(z)}{p(z)} < \frac{1 + Dz}{1 + Ez} \quad (j = 0, 1, 2) \quad \text{implies} \quad p(z) < \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz},
\]

\( A, B, C, D, E, F \in [-1, 1] \). Further, Ali et al. [26] determined some sufficient conditions for normalized analytic functions to lemniscate starlike functions. Recently, Kumar and Ravichandran [27] obtained sufficient conditions for first order differential subordinations so that the corresponding analytic function belongs to the class \( P \). In 2016, Tuneski [28] gave a criteria for analytic functions to be Janowski starlike. For more details, see [11, 29–33].

Motivated by above works, in Section 2, using the theory of differential subordination developed by Miller and Mocanu, a sharp bound on parameter \( \beta \) is determined in each case so that \( p(z) \prec Q(z) \), whenever \( 1 + \beta z p'(z)/p(z) (j = 0, 1, 2) \) is subordinate to the function \( q_0(z) \) or \( \sqrt{1 + z} \) or \( G_\alpha(z) \) or (\( 1 + Az \))/\( (1 + Bz) \) or \( \varphi_k(z) \) or \( \varphi_q(z) \). Further, various sufficient conditions are obtained for \( f \in A \) to be
in the class $S_0^*$ as an application of these subordination results. In Section 3, $S_0^*$-radius for the class of
Janowski starlike functions and some other well-known classes of analytic functions are investigated.

2. Differential Subordinations

Theorem 1 provides estimate on $\beta$ so that $p(z) \prec Q(z)$ holds, whenever $1 + \beta z p'(z) \prec \varphi_0(z)$ or
$\varphi_3(z)/\sqrt{1+z}$ or $G_\alpha(z)$ or $(1 + Az)/(1 + Bz)$ or $\varphi_3(z)$ or $\varphi_4(z)$ or $e^z$.

To prove our main results, we need the following lemma due to Miller and Mocanu:

**Lemma 1.** ([32] Theorem 3.4b, p. 132) Let $q$ be analytic in $\mathbb{D}$ and let $\psi$ and $\nu$ be analytic in a domain $U$
containing $q(\mathbb{D})$ with $\psi(w) \neq 0$ when $w \in q(\mathbb{D})$. Set

$$Q(z) := zq'(z)\psi(q(z)) \text{ and } h(z) := \nu(q(z)) + Q(z).$$

Suppose that

(i) either $h$ is convex, or $Q$ is starlike univalent in $\mathbb{D}$ and

(ii) $\Re \left( \frac{zh'(z)}{Q(z)} \right) > 0$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}.

If $p$ is analytic in $\mathbb{D}$, with $p(0) = q(0)$, $p(\mathbb{D}) \subseteq U$ and

$$\nu(p(z)) + zp'(z)\psi(p(z)) \prec \nu(q(z)) + zq'(z)\psi(q(z)),$$

then $p \prec q$, and $q$ is most dominant.

**Theorem 1.** Let $l(e) = (1 - e^{(1-\epsilon)/\epsilon})^{-1}, 0 < \alpha < 1, 0 < B < A < 1$, and $p$ be an analytic function defined
in $\mathbb{D}$ with $p(0) = 1$.

Set

$$Y_\beta(z, p(z)) = 1 + \beta z p'(z).$$

Then, the following are sufficient for $p(z) \prec Q(z)$.

(a) $Y_\beta(z, p(z)) \prec \varphi_0(z)$ for $\beta \geq l(e)(1 - \sqrt{2} + \log 2) \approx 0.59533$.

(b) $Y_\beta(z, p(z)) \prec \sqrt{1+z}$ for $\beta \geq l(e)(2(1 - \log 2)) \approx 1.30984$.

(c) $Y_\beta(z, p(z)) \prec G_\alpha(z)$ for $\beta \geq l(e)\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \log \frac{1+\sqrt{\pi}}{1-\sqrt{\pi}}$.

(d) $Y_\beta(z, p(z)) \prec \frac{1+\sqrt{\pi}}{1-\sqrt{\pi}}$ for $\beta \geq l(e)\frac{1+\sqrt{\pi}}{1-\sqrt{\pi}}$.

(e) $Y_\beta(z, p(z)) \prec \varphi_4(z)$ for $\beta \geq l(e)\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n+1)^{2n+1}} \approx 2.01905$.

(f) $Y_\beta(z, p(z)) \prec q_\psi(z)$ for $\beta \geq l(e)(2 - \sqrt{2} - \log 2 + \log (1 + \sqrt{2})) \approx 1.65198$.

(g) $Y_\beta(z, p(z)) \prec e^z$ for $\beta \geq l(e)\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n^{n+1}} \approx 0.785166$.

The lower bound on $\beta$ in each case is sharp.

**Proof.** Let the functions $\nu$ and $\psi$ be defined by $\nu(w) = 1$ and $\psi(w) = \beta$.

(a) Define the function $q_\beta : \overline{\mathbb{D}} \to \mathbb{C}$ by

$$q_\beta(z) = 1 - \frac{1}{\beta k} \left( z + 2k \log \left( 1 - \frac{z}{k} \right) \right)$$

is a solution of the differential equation $\beta z q'(z) = \varphi_0(z) - 1$ and is analytic in $\mathbb{D}$. Now consider
the function

$$Q(z) = zq_\beta'(z)\psi(q_\beta(z)) = \varphi_0(z) - 1 = \frac{k + z - 2k^2}{k - z}.$$

It can be easily seen that $Q$ is starlike in $\mathbb{D}$ and the function $h$ is defined by

$$h(z) := \nu(q(z)) + Q(z) = 1 + Q(z).$$
Thus, the subordination

\[ \text{Re} \left( \frac{zh'(z)}{Q(z)} \right) = \text{Re} \left( \frac{zQ'(z)}{Q(z)} \right) > 0 \ (z \in \mathbb{D}). \]

Therefore, from Lemma 1, we conclude that

\[ 1 + \beta z p'(z) < 1 + \beta z q'_\beta(z) \] implies \( p < q_\beta \). (1)

Now the subordination \( p \prec Q \) holds if subordination \( q_\beta \prec Q \). Thus, the subordination \( q_\beta \prec Q \) holds if the inequalities

\[ Q(-1) \leq q_\beta(-1) \leq q_\beta(1) \leq Q(1) \]

hold and these yield a necessary condition for subordination \( p \prec Q \) to hold. In view of the graph of the respective function, the necessary condition is also sufficient condition. The inequalities \( q_\beta(-1) \geq Q(-1) \) and \( q_\beta(1) \leq Q(1) \) yield \( \beta \geq \beta_1 \) and \( \beta \geq \beta_2 \), where

\[ \beta_1 = \frac{1 - \sqrt{2} + \log 2}{1 - e^{(1-\epsilon)/\epsilon}} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_2 = \frac{1 - \sqrt{2} - 2 \log(2 - \sqrt{2})}{e^\epsilon - 1}. \]

Now the subordination \( q_\beta \prec Q \) holds if \( \beta \geq \max \{ \beta_1, \beta_2 \} = \beta_1 \).

(b) The function \( q_\beta(z) = \frac{\beta + 2(\sqrt{1 + z} - \log(1 + \sqrt{1 + z}) + \log 2 - 1)}{\beta} \)

is an analytic solution of the first order differential equation \( \beta z q'(z) = \sqrt{1 + z} - 1 \) in \( \mathbb{D} \). The function \( Q \) defined by \( Q(z) = z q'_\beta(z) \psi(q_\beta(z)) = \sqrt{1 + z} - 1 \) is starlike in \( \mathbb{D} \) and the function \( h(z) := \nu(q(z)) + Q(z) \) satisfies \( \text{Re}(zh'(z)/Q(z)) = \text{Re}(zQ'(z)/Q(z)) > 0, \) \( z \in \mathbb{D} \). Therefore, in view of the subordination relation 1, the required subordination \( p \prec Q \) holds if subordination \( q_\beta \prec Q \) holds. Thus, the subordination \( q_\beta \prec Q \) holds if the inequalities

\[ Q(-1) \leq q_\beta(-1) \leq q_\beta(1) \leq Q(1) \]

hold which in-turn yield a necessary condition for subordination \( p \prec Q \). The inequalities \( q_\beta(-1) \geq Q(-1) \) and \( q_\beta(1) \leq Q(1) \) yield \( \beta \geq \beta_1 = 2(1 - \log 2)/e^{(1-\epsilon)/\epsilon} \) and \( \beta \geq \beta_2 = 2(\sqrt{2} - 1 + \log 2 - \log(1 + \sqrt{2}))/e^{(1-\epsilon)/\epsilon} - 1 \), respectively. Therefore, the subordination \( q_\beta \prec Q \) holds if \( \beta \geq \max \{ \beta_1, \beta_2 \} = \beta_1 \).

(c) The analytic function

\[ q_\beta(z) = \frac{2\sqrt{\alpha} \beta + \log \frac{1 + \sqrt{a}}{1 - \sqrt{a}}}{2\sqrt{\alpha} \beta} \]

is a solution of the differential equation \( \beta z q'_\beta(z) = G_\alpha(z) - 1 \) in \( \mathbb{D} \). Now computation shows that

\[ Q(z) = z q'_\beta(z) \psi(q_\beta(z)) = \frac{z}{1 - \alpha z^2} \]

is starlike in \( \mathbb{D} \). Note that the function \( h(z) := \nu(q(z)) + Q(z) = 1 + Q(z) \) satisfies \( \text{Re}(zh'(z)/Q(z)) = \text{Re}(zQ'(z)/Q(z)) > 0 \) in \( \mathbb{D} \). Therefore, in view of the subordination relation 1, the required subordination \( p \prec Q \) holds if subordination \( q_\beta \prec Q \). Similar to as in part (a), the desired subordination \( p \prec Q \) holds if \( \beta \geq \max \{ \beta_1, \beta_2 \} = \beta_1 \), where \( \beta_1 = l(\epsilon)g(a) \) and \( \beta_2 = -l(\epsilon)g(a) \) such that

\[ g(a) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\alpha}} \log \frac{1 + \sqrt{a}}{1 - \sqrt{a}} \]
(d) Consider the analytic function
\[ q_\beta(z) = \frac{B\beta + (A - B) \log(1 + Bz)}{B\beta} \]
which is a solution of differential equation
\[ \beta zq'(z) = \frac{(A - B)z}{1 + Bz}. \]

Since the function \((A - B)z/(1 + Bz)\) is starlike in \(\mathbb{D}\), it follows that \(Q(z) = zq_\beta(z)\psi(q_\beta(z))\) is starlike in \(\mathbb{D}\). The function \(h : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{C}\) defined by \(h(z) := \nu(q_\beta(z)) + Q(z) = 1 + Q(z)\) satisfies \(\text{Re}(zh'(z)/Q(z)) > 0\) \((z \in \mathbb{D})\). Thus, as in previous case, the subordination \(p < Q\) holds if \(\beta \geq \max\{\beta_1, \beta_2\} = \beta_1\), where
\[
\beta_1 = \frac{(A - B) \log(1 - B)^{-1}}{B(1 - e^{(1-\epsilon)/\epsilon})} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_2 = \frac{(A - B) \log(1 + B)}{B(e^{(1-\epsilon)/\epsilon} - 1)}.
\]

(e) The differential equation
\[
\frac{dq}{dz} = \frac{\sin z}{\beta z}
\]
has an analytic solution
\[
q_\beta(z) = 1 + \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n n^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)! (2n+1)}
\]
in \(\mathbb{D}\). Now the function \(Q(z) = zq_\beta(z)\psi(q_\beta(z))\) is starlike in \(\mathbb{D}\) and the function \(h(z) := \nu(q_\beta(z)) + Q(z) = 1 + Q(z)\) satisfies \(\text{Re}(zh'(z)/Q(z)) = \text{Re}(zQ'(z)/Q(z)) > 0\) holds. As in part (a), the desired subordination \(p(z) < Q(z)\) holds if \(\beta \geq \max\{\beta_1, \beta_2\} = \beta_1\), where
\[
\beta_1 = \frac{1}{(1 - e^{(1-\epsilon)/\epsilon})} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n+1)! (2n+1)} \approx 2.01905
\]
and
\[
\beta_2 = \frac{1}{(e^{(1-\epsilon)/\epsilon} - 1)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n+1)! (2n+1)} \approx 0.206779.
\]

(f) The differential equation
\[
\frac{dq}{dz} = \frac{z + \sqrt{1 + z^2} - 1}{\beta z}
\]
has an analytic solution
\[
q_\beta(z) = \frac{\beta + (z + \sqrt{1 + z^2} - \log(1 + \sqrt{1 + z^2}) - 1 + \log 2)}{\beta}
\]
Computation shows that the function
\[
Q(z) = zq_\beta(z)\psi(q_\beta(z)) = z + \sqrt{1 + z^2} - 1
\]
is starlike in \(\mathbb{D}\). As before, the function \(h(z) := \nu(q(z)) + Q(z)\) satisfies \(\text{Re}(zh'(z)/Q(z)) > 0\), \(z \in \mathbb{D}\). Therefore, the desired subordination \(p < Q\) holds if \(\beta \geq \max\{\beta_1, \beta_2\} = \beta_1\), where
\[
\beta_1 = \frac{2 - \sqrt{2} - \log 2 + \log(1 + \sqrt{2})}{1 - e^{(1-\epsilon)/\epsilon}} \approx 1.65198
\]
Let 

\[ \beta_2 = \frac{\sqrt{2} + \log 2 - \log(1 + \sqrt{2})}{e^{(1-\epsilon)/\epsilon} - 1} \approx 0.267979. \]

(g) The differential equation

\[ \frac{dq}{dz} = \frac{e^z - 1}{\beta z} \]

has an analytic solution

\[ q_\beta(z) = 1 + \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n! n}. \]

Note that the function \( Q(z) = zq_\beta'(z)\psi(q_\beta(z)) = e^z \) is starlike in the unit disk \( \mathbb{D} \) and the function \( h(z) := v(q(z)) + Q(z) = 1 + Q(z) \) satisfies \( \text{Re} \left( zh'(z)/Q(z) \right) = \text{Re} \left( zQ'(z)/Q(z) \right) > 0 \). Now the subordination \( p \prec Q \) holds if \( \beta \geq \max\{\beta_1, \beta_2\} = \beta_{\text{1}}, \) where

\[ \beta_1 = \frac{1}{1 - e^{(1-\epsilon)/\epsilon}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n! n} \approx 0.785166 \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_2 = \frac{1}{(e^{(e-1)}) - 1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n! n} \approx 0.288069. \]

This ends the proof. \( \square \)

Theorem 1 also provides the following various sufficient conditions for the normalized analytic functions \( f \) to be in the class \( S_B^{\rho} \):

Let function \( f \in A \) and set

\[ Y_\beta \left( z, \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) = 1 + \beta \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \left( 1 - \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \frac{zf''(z)}{f''(z)} \right). \]

If either of the following subordination holds

(a) \( Y_\beta \left( z, \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) < \phi_0(z) \) (\( \beta \geq 0.59533 \)),

(b) \( Y_\beta \left( z, \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) < \sqrt{1 + z} \) (\( \beta \geq 1.30984 \)),

(c) \( Y_\beta \left( z, \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) < G_\alpha(z) \) (\( \beta \geq \frac{1}{(1-e^{(1-\epsilon)/\epsilon})} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \log \left( \frac{1+\sqrt{2}}{1-\sqrt{2}} \right) \)),

(d) \( Y_\beta \left( z, \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) < \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} \) (\( \beta \geq \frac{1}{(1-e^{(1-\epsilon)/\epsilon})} \frac{A-B}{B} \log \left( 1 - B \right)^{-1} \)),

(e) \( Y_\beta \left( z, \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) < \phi_\varsigma(z) \) (\( \beta \geq 2.01905 \)),

(f) \( Y_\beta \left( z, \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) < \phi_q(z) \) (\( \beta \geq 1.65198 \)),

(g) \( Y_\beta \left( z, \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) < e^z \) (\( \beta \geq 0.785166 \)),

then \( f \in S_B^{\rho} \).

The next result gives sharp lower bound on \( \beta \) such that subordination \( p \prec Q \) holds, whenever 

\[ 1 + \beta zp'(z)/p(z) < \phi_0(z) \text{ or } \phi_\varsigma(z) \text{ or } \sqrt{1 + z} \text{ or } G_\alpha(z) \text{ or } \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} \text{ or } \phi_\varsigma(z) \text{ or } \phi_q(z) \text{ or } e^z. \]

Theorem 2. Let \( 0 < \alpha < 1 \), \( 0 < B < A < 1 \), and \( p \) be an analytic function defined in \( \mathbb{D} \) with \( p(0) = 1 \).

Set

\[ \Omega_\beta(z, p(z)) = 1 + \beta \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)}. \]

Then, the following conditions are sufficient for subordination \( p \prec Q \).

(a) \( \Omega_\beta(z, p(z)) < \phi_0(z) \) for \( \beta \geq \frac{e^{2(1+\sqrt{2})} \log \sqrt{2} - 1}{(e-1)(1+\sqrt{2})} \approx 0.441266. \)

(b) \( \Omega_\beta(z, p(z)) < \sqrt{1 + z} \) for \( \beta \geq \frac{2(e^{1-\log 2})}{e-1} \approx 0.970868. \)

(c) \( \Omega_\beta(z, p(z)) < G_\alpha(z) \) for \( \beta \geq \frac{e}{2(e-1)\sqrt{\alpha}} \log \left( \frac{1+\sqrt{2}}{1-\sqrt{2}} \right). \)

(d) \( \Omega_\beta(z, p(z)) < \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} \) for \( \beta \geq \frac{e}{B(e-1)} (A-B) \log \left( 1 - B \right)^{-1}. \)
\( \Omega_\beta(z, p(z)) < q_\beta(z) \) for \( \beta \geq \frac{c}{\pi} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n+1)(2n+1)} \approx 1.49655. \)

\( \Omega_\beta(z, p(z)) < q_\beta(z) \) for \( \beta \geq \frac{c}{\pi} (2 - \sqrt{2} + \log(1 + \sqrt{2}) - \log 2) \approx 1.22447. \)

\( \Omega_\beta(z, p(z)) < q_\beta(z) \) for \( \beta \geq \frac{1}{c} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^n} \approx 0.766987. \)

The lower bound on \( \beta \) in each case is sharp.

**Proof.** Let us define \( v(w) = 1 \) and \( \psi(w) = \beta / w \) for all \( w \in \mathbb{C}. \)

(a) The function

\[ q_\beta(z) = \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2\beta k} \left( z + 2k \log \left( 1 - \frac{z}{k} \right) \right) \right) \]

satisfies the differential equation \( \beta q'(z) / q(z) = q_0(z) - 1. \) Clearly, the function \( Q : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{R} \) defined by \( Q(z) = q_\beta'(z) \psi(q_\beta(z)) = (z - 2\beta^2 + k) / (k - z) \) is starlike in \( \mathbb{D}. \) Further, the function \( h(z) := v(q_\beta(z)) + Q(z) \) satisfies \( \text{Re}(zh'(z) / Q(z)) > 0 \) \( (z \in \mathbb{D}). \) Thus, using Lemma 1, it follows that

\[ 1 + \beta \frac{zq'(z)}{p(z)} < 1 + \beta \frac{zq_\beta'(z)}{q_\beta(z)} \quad \text{implies} \quad p < q_\beta. \]

Now using Theorem 1 (a), the subordination \( p < Q \) holds if \( \beta \geq \max \{ \beta_1, \beta_2 \} = \beta_1, \) where

\[ \beta_1 = \left( -1 + 2(1 + \sqrt{2}) \log \sqrt{2} \right) e \]

\[ (e - 1)(1 + \sqrt{2}) \]

and

\[ \beta_2 = \frac{1}{e - 1}(1 + \sqrt{2}) \]

(b) The function

\[ q_\beta(z) = \exp \left( \frac{2}{\beta} \left( \sqrt{1 + z} - \log(1 + \sqrt{1 + z} + \log 2 - 1) \right) \right) \]

is a solution of the differential equation

\[ \frac{\beta zq'(z)}{q(z)} = \sqrt{1 + z} - 1. \]

Moreover, the function \( Q(z) = q_\beta'(z) \psi(q_\beta(z)) = \sqrt{1 + z} - 1 \) is starlike in \( \mathbb{D} \) and a computation shows that the function \( h(z) := v(q(z)) + Q(z) \) satisfies \( \text{Re}(zh'(z) / Q(z)) > 0 \) \( (z \in \mathbb{D}). \) Now the desired subordination \( p < Q \) holds if \( \beta \geq \max \{ \beta_1, \beta_2 \} = \beta_1, \) where \( \beta_1 = 2e(1 - \log 2) / (e - 1) \) and \( \beta_2 = 2(-1 + \sqrt{2} + \log 2 - \log(1 + \sqrt{2})) / (e - 1). \)

(c) Consider the function \( q_\beta \) defined by

\[ q_\beta(z) = \exp \left( \frac{1}{2\sqrt{a\beta}} \log \frac{1 + \sqrt{a\beta}}{1 - \sqrt{a\beta}} \right). \]

It can be verified that the function \( q_\beta \) is a solution of the differential equation

\[ \frac{\beta zq'(z)}{q(z)} = \frac{1}{1 - az}. \]

Now the function \( Q(z) = q_\beta'(z) \psi(q_\beta(z)) = 1 / (1 - az^2) \) is starlike in \( \mathbb{D} \) and the function \( h(z) := v(q(z)) + Q(z) \) satisfies \( \text{Re}(zh'(z) / Q(z)) > 0 \) \( (z \in \mathbb{D}). \) Now, as in previous cases, \( p < Q \) holds only if \( \beta \geq \max \{ \beta_1, \beta_2 \} = \beta_1, \) where
\[
\beta_1 = \frac{e}{2(e-1)\sqrt{a}} \log \frac{1+\sqrt{a}}{1-\sqrt{a}} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_2 = \frac{1}{2(e-1)\sqrt{a}} \log \frac{1+\sqrt{a}}{1-\sqrt{a}}.
\]

(d) Let the function \( q_\beta(z) = \exp((A-B)\log(1+Az)/B) \) be an analytic solution of the differential equation
\[
1 + \beta \frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)} = 1 + Az + Bz.
\]

Now the desired subordination \( p \prec Q \) holds if \( \beta \geq \max \{\beta_1, \beta_2\} = \beta_1 \), where \( \beta_1 = e(A-B)\log(1-B)^{-1}/B(e-1) \) and \( \beta_2 = e(A-B)\log(1+B)/B(e-1) \).

(e) The differential equation
\[
\beta zq'(z)/q(z) = \sin z \quad \text{has an analytic solution given by}
\]
\[
q_\beta(z) = \exp \left( \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n z^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)! (2n+1)} \right).
\]

As in part Theorem 2 (a), the subordination \( p \prec Q \) holds if \( \beta \geq \max \{\beta_1, \beta_2\} = \beta_1 \) where
\[
\beta_1 = \frac{e}{e-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n+1)! (2n+1)} \approx 1.49655
\]

and
\[
\beta_2 = \frac{1}{e-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n+1)! (2n+1)} \approx 0.55055.
\]

(f) The solution of the differential equation
\[
\frac{dq}{dz} = \frac{z + \sqrt{1+z^2} - 1}{\beta z}
\]
is given by
\[
q_\beta(z) = \exp \left( \frac{z + \sqrt{1+z^2} - \log(1+\sqrt{1+z^2}) - 1 + \log 2}{\beta} \right).
\]

As in proof of Theorem 2 (a), the desired result holds if \( \beta \geq \max \{\beta_1, \beta_2\} = \beta_1 \), where \( \beta_1 = e(2 - \sqrt{2} + \log(1+\sqrt{2}) - \log 2)/(e-1) \) and \( \beta_2 = (\sqrt{2} - \log(1+\sqrt{2}) + \log 2)/(e-1) \).

(g) The differential equation \( \beta zq'(z)/q(z) = e^z - 1 \) has a solution
\[
q_\beta(z) = \exp \left( \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n!n} \right)
\]
analytic in \( \mathbb{D} \). Thus, as previous, the subordination \( p \prec Q \) holds if \( \beta \geq \max \{\beta_1, \beta_2\} = \beta_2 \), where
\[
\beta_1 = \frac{e}{e-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n!n} \approx 0.581976 \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_2 = \frac{1}{e-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!n} \approx 0.766987.
\]

This ends the proof. \( \square \)

Next, Theorem 2 also provides the following various sufficient conditions for the normalized analytic functions \( f \) to be in the class \( S^*_{\beta} \). Let the function \( f \in A \) and set
\[
\Omega_\beta \left( z, \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) = 1 + \beta \left( 1 - \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right).
\]

If either of the following subordination conditions are fulfilled:
Theorem 3. Let 0 < a < 1, 0 < B < A < 1, and p be an analytic function defined in $\mathbb{D}$ with $p(0) = 1$.

Set

$$\Xi_\beta(z, p(z)) = 1 + \beta \frac{zp'(z)}{p^2(z)}.$$ 

Then, the following conditions are sufficient for $p < Q$.

(a) $\Xi_\beta(z, p(z)) \prec q_0(z)$ for $\beta \geq 0.441266$,
(b) $\Xi_\beta(z, z^{f'(z)}) \prec 1 + z$ for $\beta \geq 0.970868$,
(c) $\Xi_\beta(z, z^{f'(z)}) \prec G_0(z)$ for $\beta \geq \frac{e^{-1}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{1}{1-\alpha^2}$,
(d) $\Xi_\beta(z, z^{f'(z)}) \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$ for $\beta \geq \frac{e^{-1}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{1}{1-\alpha^2}$, $\frac{e^{-1}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{1}{1-\alpha^2}$, and $\beta \geq 1.22447$,
(e) $\Xi_\beta(z, z^{f'(z)}) \prec \varphi_0(z)$ for $\beta \geq 1.49655$,
(f) $\Xi_\beta(z, z^{f'(z)}) \prec \varphi_0(z)$ for $\beta \geq 1.49655$,
(g) $\Xi_\beta(z, z^{f'(z)}) \prec e^\beta$ for $\beta \geq 0.766987$.

then $f \in S^*_b$.

In the following theorem, the sharp lower bound on $\beta$ is obtained so that the subordination $p < Q$ holds, whenever $1 + Qp^2(z) \prec q_0(z)$ or $\varphi_0(z)$ or $\sqrt{1 + z}$ or $G_0(z)$ or $(1 + Az)/(1 + Bz)$ or $\varphi_0(z)$ or $\varphi_0(z)$ or $e^\beta$. These results can be proved by defining the functions $\nu, \psi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ defined by $\nu(w) = 1$ and $\psi(w) = \beta/w^2$ and proceeding in a similar fashion as in the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2.

Theorem 3. Let 0 < a < 1, 0 < B < A < 1, and p be an analytic function defined in $\mathbb{D}$ with p(0) = 1.

Set

$$\Xi_\beta(z, p(z)) = 1 + \beta \frac{zp'(z)}{p^2(z)}.$$ 

Then, the following conditions are sufficient for $p < Q$.

(a) $\Xi_\beta(z, p(z)) \prec q_0(z)$ for $\beta \geq 0.798642$,
(b) $\Xi_\beta(z, p(z)) \prec \sqrt{1 + z}$ for $\beta \geq 0.550768$.
(c) $\Xi_\beta(z, p(z)) \prec \varphi_0(z)$ for $\beta \geq \frac{e^{-1}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{1}{1-\alpha^2}$.
(d) $\Xi_\beta(z, p(z)) \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$ for $\beta \geq \frac{e^{-1}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{1}{1-\alpha^2}$.
(e) $\Xi_\beta(z, p(z)) \prec \varphi_0(z)$ for $\beta \geq \frac{e^{-1}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{1}{1-\alpha^2}$.
(f) $\Xi_\beta(z, p(z)) \prec \varphi_0(z)$ for $\beta \geq \frac{e^{-1}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{1}{1-\alpha^2}$.
(g) $\Xi_\beta(z, p(z)) \prec e^\beta$ for $\beta \geq 1.60597$.

The lower bound on $\beta$ in each case is sharp.

Let $f \in A$ and set

$$\Xi_\beta(z, \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}) = 1 + \beta \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right)^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right).$$

If either of the following subordination holds

(a) $\Xi_\beta(z, \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}) \prec q_0(z)$ for $\beta \geq 0.798642$,
(b) $\Xi_\beta(z, \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}) \prec \sqrt{1 + z}$ for $\beta \geq 0.550768$,
(c) $\Xi_\beta(z, \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}) \prec G_0(z)$ for $\beta \geq \frac{e^{-1}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{1}{1-\alpha^2}$,
(d) $\Xi_\beta(z, \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}) \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$ for $\beta \geq \frac{e^{-1}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{1}{1-\alpha^2}$,
(e) $\Xi_\beta(z, \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}) \prec \varphi_0(z)$ for $\beta \geq 1.15278$,
(f) $\Xi_\beta(z, \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}) \prec \varphi_0(z)$ for $\beta \geq 1.49397$,
(g) $\Xi_\beta(z, \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}) \prec e^\beta$ for $\beta \geq 1.60597$,

then $f \in S^*_b$. 

```python

```
3. Radius Estimates

Let \( \theta_1 \) and \( \theta_2 \) be two sub-families of \( \mathcal{A} \). The \( \theta_1 \) radius of \( \theta_2 \) is the largest number \( \rho \in (0, 1) \) such that \( r^{-1}f(rz) \in \theta_1, \) \( 0 < r \leq \rho \) for all \( f \in \theta_2 \). Grunsky [34] obtained the radius of starlikeness for functions in the class \( S \). Sokół [35] computed the radius of \( \alpha \)-convexity and \( \alpha \)-starlikeness for a class \( S^*_{\alpha} \). In 2016, authors [7] determined the \( S^*_\alpha \)-radius for various subclasses of starlike functions. For more results on radius problems, see [36–41].

The main technique involved in tackling the \( S^*_\alpha \)-radius estimates for classes of functions \( f \) is the determination of the disk that contains the values of \( zf'(z)/f(z) \). The associated technical lemma is achieved as:

**Lemma 2.** Let \( Q(z) := e^{z-1}, \ z \in \mathbb{D} \). Define the function \( r : [e^{1/e}-1, e^{e-1}] \to \mathbb{R}^+ \) by

\[
r(a) := \begin{cases} 
  \frac{e^{e^{-1/a}}}{e^{1/a}} - a & , \quad e^{1/a+e^{-1}} \leq a \leq \frac{e^{1/e+e^{-1}}}{2e}, \\
  \frac{e^{1/a+e^{-1}}}{2e} - a & , \quad a \leq e^{e-1}.
\end{cases}
\]

Then, the following holds:

\[
\{ w \in \mathbb{C} : |w-a| < r(a) \} \subset \Omega_{\alpha} \subset \{ w \in \mathbb{C} : |w-1| < \frac{e^e-e}{e} \}.
\]

**Proof.** To prove the assertion, we let \( z = e^{it}, t \in (-\pi, \pi] \). Therefore,

\[
Q(e^{it}) = e^{e^{it}-1} = u(t) + iv(t)
\]

with

\[
u(t) := \cos (\sin(t)e^{cos(t)} \exp (e^{\cos(t)} \cos(\sin(t)) - 1)
\]

and

\[
v(t) := \sin (\sin(t)e^{cos(t)} \exp (e^{\cos(t)} \cos(\sin(t)) - 1).
\]

Now, consider the square of the distance of an arbitrary point \((u(t), v(t))\) on the boundary of \( \partial Q(\mathbb{D}) \) from \((a, 0)\) and is given by

\[
h(t) = d^2(t) = a^2 - 2ae^{e\cos(t)\cos(\sin(t)) - 1} \cos (\sin(t)e^{\cos(t)}) + e^{2\cos(t)} \cos(\sin(t) - 1).
\]

Now we need to prove \(|w-a| < r(a)\) is the largest disk contained in \( Q(\mathbb{D}) \). For this, we need to show that \( \min_{-\pi \leq t \leq \pi} d(t) = r(a) \). Since \( h \) is an even function, i.e., \( h(t) = h(-t) \), we need to only consider the case when \( t \in [0, \pi] \). Now \( h'(t) = 0 \) has three roots viz. \( 0 \), \( \pi \) and \( t_0(a) \in (0, \pi) \). Among these roots, the root \( t_0(a) \) depends on \( a \) and graphics reveals that \( h \) is increasing in the interval \([0, t_0(a)]\) and decreasing in \([t_0(a), \pi]\), and therefore, \( h \) attains its minimum either at 0 or \( \pi \). Further computations give \( h(\pi) = \left(ea - e^{1/e}\right)^2/e^2 \) and \( h(0) = (e^e - ea)^2/e^2 \). Hence, we have

\[
\min_{-\pi \leq t \leq \pi} h(t) = \min \{ h(0), h(\pi) \} = \begin{cases}
  h(\pi), & e^{1/e} \leq a \leq \frac{e^{1/e+e^{-1}}}{2e}, \\
  h(0), & \frac{e^{1/e+e^{-1}}}{2e} \leq a \leq e^{e-1}.
\end{cases}
\]

Therefore, we can write

\[
\min_{-\pi \leq t \leq \pi} d(t) = \begin{cases}
  \frac{ea - e^{1/e}}{e} - a, & e^{1/e} \leq a \leq \frac{e^{1/e+e^{-1}}}{2e}, \\
  \frac{e^{1/e+e^{-1}}}{2e} - a, & \frac{e^{1/e+e^{-1}}}{2e} \leq a \leq e^{e-1}.
\end{cases}
\]
To find the circle of minimum radius with center at (1, 0) containing the domain \(Q(\mathbb{D})\), we need to find the maximum distance from (1, 0) to an arbitrary point on the boundary of the domain \(Q(\mathbb{D})\). The square of this distance function is given by

\[
\phi(t) = -2e^{\cos t} \cos(t) - 1 + e^{\cos(t)}e^{\cos(t)} + 2\cos(t) + 1.
\]

The equation \(\phi'(t) = 0\) has two roots in \([0, \pi]\), namely 0 and \(\pi\). It is easy to see that \(\phi(0) = (e - e^t)^2 / e^2\) and \(\phi(\pi/2) = (e - e^{1/2})^2 / e^2\). Therefore,

\[
\max \{\phi(0), \phi(\pi)\} = \phi(0) = \frac{(e - e^t)^2}{e^2}.
\]

Hence, the radius of the smallest disk containing \(Q(\mathbb{D})\) is \((e - e^t) / e\). This ends the proof. \(\square\)

We now recall some classes and results related to them which are to be used for further development of this section. For \(-1 \leq B < A \leq 1\), let

\[
\mathcal{P}_n[A, B] := \left\{ p(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_n z^n : p(z) < \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \right\}.
\]

Let us denote \(\mathcal{P}_n(a) := \mathcal{P}_n[1 - 2a, -1]\) and \(\mathcal{P}_n(0) := \mathcal{P}_n\). For \(f \in \mathcal{A}\), if we set \(p(z) = zf'(z) / f(z)\) and \(p(z) = 1 + zf''(z) / f'(z)\), then the class \(\mathcal{P}[A, B]\) is denoted by \(\mathcal{S}^*[A, B]\) and \(\mathcal{K}[A, B]\), respectively. These classes were introduced and studied by [2]. Further, let \(\mathcal{S}^*[a] := \mathcal{S}^*[1 - 2a, -1]\).

The following results will be needed:

**Lemma 3.** [42] If \(p \in \mathcal{P}_n[A, B]\), then, for \(|z| = r\),

\[
|p(z) - \frac{1 - Abz^2}{1 - Bz^2}| \leq \frac{(A - B)r^n}{1 - Bz^2}.
\]

In particular, if \(p \in \mathcal{P}_n(a)\), then, for \(|z| = r\),

\[
|p(z) - \frac{1 + (1 - 2a)r^2}{1 - r^2n}| \leq \frac{2(1 - a)r^n}{1 - r^2n}.
\]

**Lemma 4.** [43] If \(p \in \mathcal{P}_n(a)\), then, for \(|z| = r\),

\[
\left| \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} \right| \leq \frac{2(1 - a)n}{1 - r^n}(1 + (1 - 2a)r^n).
\]

The main objective of this section is to determine the \(S^*_B\)-radii constants for functions belonging to certain well-known subclasses of \(A\). Let \(G\) denote the class of functions \(f \in \mathcal{S}\) for which \(f(z) / z \in \mathcal{P}\). The following theorem gives the sharp \(S^*_B\)-radius for the class \(G\).

**Theorem 4.** Let \(f \in G\). Then, the sharp \(S^*_B\)-radius is

\[
R_{S^*_B}(G) := \frac{e - e^{1/e}}{\sqrt{2e^2 - 2e^{1 + 1/e} + e^{2/e} + e}} \approx 0.222654.
\]

**Proof.** Since \(f \in G\), therefore, \(f(z) / z \in \mathcal{P}\). Then, from Lemma 2, we must have

\[
\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| \leq \frac{2r}{1 - r^2}.
\]
Therefore, \( f \in \mathcal{S}_B^* \) if \( 2r/(1 - r^2) \leq (e - e^{1/e})/e \), or equivalently if
\[
(e - e^{1/e})^2 + 2er + e^{1/e} - e \leq 0
\]
which holds for all
\[
r \leq \frac{e - e^{1/e}}{\sqrt{2e^2 - 2e^{1+1/4} + e^{2/4} + e}} =: R_{S_B^*}(G) \approx 0.222654.
\]

For verification of sharpness, consider the function \( f(z) = z(1 + z)/(1 - z) \). Then, \( f(z)/z \in \mathcal{P} \) and at \( z = R_{S_B^*}(G) \), we have
\[
\frac{R_{S_B^*}(G)f'(R_{S_B^*}(G))}{f(R_{S_B^*}(G))} - 1 = R_{S_B^*}(G)\left(1 - \frac{1}{R_{S_B^*}(G)}\right) = 1 - e^{1-1}.
\]

Hence the result is sharp. \( \square \)

In the following theorem, we shall investigate sharp \( \mathcal{S}_B^* \)-radius for the class \( \mathcal{S}^*[A, B] \).

**Theorem 5.** Let \( f \in \mathcal{S}^*[A, B] \). Then,
1. for \( 0 \leq B < A \leq 1 \), the sharp \( \mathcal{S}_B^* \)-radius for the class \( \mathcal{S}^*[A, B] \) is
\[
R_{S_B^*}(\mathcal{S}^*[A, B]) = \min \left\{ 1; \frac{\sqrt{e - e^{1/e}}}{\sqrt{eAB - e^{1/e}B^2}}; \frac{e^{1/e} - e}{e^{1/e}B - eA} \right\}.
\]
2. for \( -1 \leq B < 0 \leq A \leq 1 \), the sharp \( \mathcal{S}_B^* \)-radius for the class \( \mathcal{S}^*[A, B] \) is
\[
R_{S_B^*}(\mathcal{S}^*[A, B]) = \min \left\{ 1; \sqrt{-2e + e^{1/e} + e}\frac{e^{1/e} - e}{-2eAB + e^{1/e}B^2 + e^2B^2}; \frac{e^{1/e} - e}{e^{1/e}B - eA} \right\}.
\]

**Proof.** Let \( f \in \mathcal{S}^*[A, B] \). Then using Lemma 4, we see that \( f \) maps the disk \(|z| \leq r\) onto the disk
\[
\left|\frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - 1 - AB^2 \right| \leq \frac{(A - B)r}{1 - B^2r^2}.
\]
The center of the above disk is at \((c, 0)\) and the radius is \(R\), where
\[
c := \frac{1 - AB^2}{1 - B^2r^2} \quad \text{and} \quad R := \frac{(A - B)r}{1 - B^2r^2}.
\]

(1) We see that \( c \leq (e^{1/e} + e^2)/(2e) \) holds for all \( 0 \leq B < A \leq 1 \) and \( 0 < r < 1 \). Further, the condition \( 1 - e^{1/e} \leq c \) is equivalent to
\[
-eAB^2 + e^{1/e}B^2r^2 - e^{1/e} + e \geq 0
\]
which holds for all
\[
r \leq \sqrt{\frac{e - e^{1/e}}{eAB - e^{1/e}B^2}} =: r_1.
\]

Further computation shows that the condition \( R \leq (e^a - e^{1/e})/e \) is equivalent to \( eAr - e^{1/e}Br + e^{1/e} - e \leq 0 \) which holds for all
\[
r \leq \frac{e^{1/e} - e}{e^{1/e}B - eA} =: r_2.
\]

Now from Lemma 2, \( f \in \mathcal{S}_B^* \) for all \(|z| \leq R_{S_B^*}(\mathcal{S}^*[A, B]) = \min \{1; r_1; r_2\} \).
(2) Let $-1 \leq B < 0 \leq A \leq 1$. Then we see that $e^{1/e-1} \leq c$ holds for all $0 < r < 1$. Further, $c \leq (e^2 + e^{1/e})/2e$ is equivalent to

$$-2eABr^2 + e^{1/e}B^2r^2 + e^2B^2r^2 - e^{1/e} - e^2 + 2e \leq 0$$

which holds for

$$r \leq \sqrt{-2e + e^{1/e} + e^2} / \sqrt{-2eAB + e^{1/e}B^2 + e^2B^2} \equiv: r_3.$$ 

Now, as in the previous case $R < (ec - e^{1/e})/e$ holds if $r \leq r_2$. Therefore, $S_B^e$-radius for the class $S^*[A, B]$ is $R_{S_B^e}(S^*[A, B]) = \min \{1; r_2; r_3\}$.

The equality holds in case of the function $f_0$ defined by

$$f_0(z) = \begin{cases} z(1 + Bz)^{1/2} - 1, & B \neq 0; \\ ze^{Az}, & B = 0. \end{cases}$$

This ends the proof. □

Remark 1. Let $f \in S^*$. Then, since $S^* = S^*[0, -1]$, it follows from the above theorem, that the $S_B^e$-radius for starlike functions is $r_4 := (e - e^{1/e})/(e + e^{1/e}) \approx 0.30594$. To see the sharpness, consider the Koebe function $k(z) = z/(1 - z)^2$. Then, at $z = r_4$, we have

$$\frac{r_4f'(r_4)}{f(r_4)} = \frac{1 + r_4}{1 - r_4} = e^{1/e}.$$

Because the function $k$ is univalent too, it follows that the $S_B^e$-radius for the class $S$ and $S^*$ is $r_4$. Therefore, the radius $r_4$ can not be increased. Thus, we have the following:

Corollary 1. The sharp $S_B^e$-radius for the classes $S$ and $S^*$ is $(e - e^{1/e})/(e + e^{1/e}) \approx 0.30594$.

Let the class $F_1$ be defined by

$$F_1 := \left\{ f \in A : \text{Re} \frac{f(z)}{g(z)} > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Re} \frac{g(z)}{z} > 0, \ g \in A \right\}.$$ 

The following theorem gives the sharp $S_B^e$-radius for the class $F_1$.

Theorem 6. Let $f \in F_1$. Then, the sharp $S_B^e$-radius is

$$R_{S_B^e}(F_1) = \frac{e - e^{1/e}}{\sqrt{5e^2 - 2e^{1+1/e} + e^{2/e} + 2e}} \approx 0.11557.$$ 

Proof. Since $f \in F_1$, there is $g \in A$ such that $\text{Re}(g(z)/z) > 0$. Define the functions $p, h : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{C}$ by

$$p(z) = \frac{g(z)}{z} \quad \text{and} \quad h(z) = \frac{f(z)}{g(z)}.$$ 

Then, through some assumptions, we have $p, h \in P$. Now using Lemma 4, we get

$$\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| \leq \left| \frac{zh'(z)}{h(z)} \right| + \left| \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} \right| \leq \frac{4r}{1 - r^2} \leq \frac{e - e^{1/e}}{e}.$$
Theorem 7. Let \( f \) provided
\[
0 < r < \frac{e^{1/e} - 1}{\sqrt{5e^2 - 2e^{1+1/e} + e^{2/e} + 2e}} =: R_{S^*_b}(F_1) \approx 0.11557.
\]

Consider the functions \( f_2 \) and \( g_2 \) defined by
\[
f_2(z) = z \left( \frac{1 + z}{1 - z} \right)^2 \text{ and } g_2(z) = z \left( \frac{1 + z}{1 - z} \right).
\]

Further, we have \( \text{Re}(f_2(z)/g_2(z)) > 0 \) and \( \text{Re}(g_2(z)/z) > 0 \), and therefore \( f \in F_1 \). Now a computation shows that, for \( z = R_{S^*_b}(F_1) \),
\[
\frac{R_{S^*_b}(F_1)f'_2(R_{S^*_b}(F_1))}{f_2(R_{S^*_b}(F_1))} - 1 = \frac{4R_{S^*_b}(F_1)}{1 - R_{S^*_b}(F_1)^2} = 1 - e^{1/e} - 1.
\]

Hence, the result is sharp. \( \square \)

Let us define the class \( F_2 \) by
\[
F_2 := \left\{ f \in A : \text{Re} \frac{f(z)}{g(z)} > 0 \text{ and } \text{Re} \frac{g(z)}{z} > 1/2, \; g \in A \right\}.
\]

The following theorem gives the sharp \( S^*_b \)-radius for the class \( F_2 \).

**Theorem 7.** Let \( f \in F_2 \). Then, the sharp \( S^*_b \)-radius is
\[
S^*_b(F_2) = \frac{2 \left( e^{1/e} \right)}{\sqrt{17e^2 - 12e^{1+1/e} + 4e^{2/e} + 3e}} \approx 0.145776.
\]

**Proof.** Since \( f \in F_2 \) and \( g \in A \) satisfies \( \text{Re}(g(z)/z) > 1/2 \). Now define the functions \( p, h : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{C} \) by \( p(z) = g(z)/z \) and \( h(z) = f(z)/g(z) \). Then, it is clear that \( p \in P(1/2) \) and \( h \in P \). Further, since \( f(z) = zp(z)h(z) \), it follows from Lemma 4, get
\[
\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| \leq \frac{3r + r^2}{1 - r^2} \leq \frac{e^{1/e} - e}{e}
\]

provided \(-e^{1/e}r^2 + 2er^2 + 3er + e^{1/e} - e \leq 0\). This holds for
\[
r \leq \frac{2 \left( e^{1/e} \right)}{\sqrt{17e^2 - 12e^{1+1/e} + 4e^{2/e} + 3e}} =: S^*_b(F_2) \approx 0.145776.
\]

Thus, \( f \in S^*_b \) for \( r \leq S^*_b(F_2) \).

For the sharpness of the result, consider the functions
\[
f_3(z) = \frac{z(1 + z)}{(1 - z)^2} \text{ and } g_3(z) = \frac{z}{1 - z}.
\]

Then, we see that \( \text{Re}(f_3(z)/g_3(z)) > 0 \) and \( \text{Re}(g_3(z)/z) > 1/2 \), and therefore, \( f \in F_2 \). Now from the definition of \( f_0 \), we see that at \( z = S^*_b(F_2) \),
\[
\frac{S_b^*\left(F_2\right)f'_3\left(S_b^*\left(F_2\right)\right)}{f_3\left(S_b^*\left(F_2\right)\right)} - 1 = \frac{3S_b^*\left(F_2\right) + S_b^*\left(F_2\right)^2}{1 - S_b^*\left(F_2\right)^2} = 1 - e^{\frac{1}{t}} - 1.
\]

This confirms the sharpness of the result. ■

Define the class \( F_3 \) by

\[
F_3 := \left\{ f \in A : \left| \frac{f(z)}{g(z)} - 1 \right| < 1 \text{ and } \text{Re} \left( \frac{g(z)}{z} \right) > 0, \ g \in A \right\}.
\]

The next result gives the sharp \( S_b^* \)-radius for the class \( F_3 \).

**Theorem 8.** Let \( f \in F_3 \). Then, the sharp \( S_b^* \)-radius is

\[
S_b^*\left(F_3\right) = \frac{2 \left( e - e^{1/e} \right)}{\sqrt{17e^2 - 12e^{1+1/e} + 4e^{2/e} + 3e}} \approx 0.145776.
\]

**Proof.** Since \( f \in F_3 \), it follows that \( p \in P \) and \( h \in P(1/2) \), where the functions \( p, h : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{C} \) are defined by \( p(z) = g(z)/z \) and \( h(z) = g(z)/f(z) \). Now since \( f(z) = zp(z)/h(z) \) from Lemma 4, we have

\[
\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| \leq \frac{3r + r^2}{1 - r^2} \leq \frac{e - e^{1/e}}{e}
\]

which holds for all \( r \leq S_b^*\left(F_3\right) \).

Consider the functions \( f_4 \) and \( g_4 \) defined by

\[
f_4(z) = \frac{z(1 + z)^2}{(1 - z)} \quad \text{and} \quad g_4(z) = \frac{z(1 + z)}{1 - z}.
\]

The results are sharp, since at \( z = S_b^*\left(F_3\right) \), we have

\[
\frac{S_b^*\left(F_3\right)f'_4\left(S_b^*\left(F_3\right)\right)}{f_4\left(S_b^*\left(F_3\right)\right)} = 2 - e^{\frac{1}{t}} - 1.
\]

This completes the proof. ■
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