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Abstract: Low back pain (LBP) is one of the most common problems among adults. The usual physiotherapy treatment is to perform physical exercises. However, some LBP patients have false beliefs regarding their pain and they tend to avoid physical movements which might increase their pain and disability. Virtual reality (VR) has proven to be an effective intervention in improving motor functions and reducing pain perception. Existing VR interventions for LBP rehabilitation were based on a non-immersive VR, whereas to effectively reduce the pain intensity, we need an immersive VR. In this paper, we introduce the development and evaluation of a serious game called RabbitRun with an immersive experience to engage the patients in a virtual environment and distract them from the pain while performing LBP exercises. The initial usability evaluation results suggest that RabbitRun game is enjoyable and acceptable. The game is easy to play and learn and most of the participants are willing to play the game at home. This solution will enhance the rehabilitation outcome since the patients who are suffering from LBP can use the system at their home and train more for long period of time using a smartphone and low-cost virtual reality device, such as Google Cardboard.
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1. Introduction

Globally, low back pain (LBP) is considered number one reason for disability among adults [1]. About eighty percent of the population has experienced low back pain once in their life [2]. LBP has social and economic impacts whereas it is one of the most common causes of work absence and activity limitation [3]. Based on a Clinical Practice Guideline on LBP, physical exercises are one of the most effective rehabilitation methods [4,5].

LBP is usually described by duration as acute, sub-acute or chronic. Acute LBP lasts less than 6 weeks, whereas sub-acute LBP lasts for more than 3 months. If the pain lasts from 6 to 12 weeks, then the pain is classified as Chronic Low Back Pain (CLBP) [6,7]. Between 5% and 10% of the cases will develop to CLBP [8], due to some psychosocial factors such as believing that physical activities are harmful, having long time bed rest and depression [6]. Also, LBP can be described by the cause as non-specific low back pain (NSLBP) and specific LBP. NSLBP is defined as “low back pain not attributed...
to recognizable, known specific pathology (e.g., infection, tumor, osteoporosis, ankylosing spondylitis, fracture, inflammatory process, radicular syndrome or cauda equina syndrome)” [9]. About 5–15% of low back pain cases are classified as a result of a specific cause such as vertebral infections, tumors and bone metastasis, whereas, the majority of low back pain cases, 85–95%, are non-specific [9].

Fear-Avoidance model is a model that explains the development of low back pain from acute, sub-acute to chronic pain [10]. According to the model, the development of musculoskeletal pain, including low back pain, in individuals will differ based on their levels of pain-related fear (also known as kinesiophobia). Those who are prone to catastrophize the pain are more likely to perceive the pain as a sign of serious back harm or damage. Therefore, they tend to avoid movements and physical activities due to their false belief that it will exaggerate the damage or prompt reinjury. Consequently, resulting in greater functional disability. In contrast, individuals with low pain-related fear are more likely to perform their daily activities normally and make progress in their rehabilitation program. Therefore, the potential of chronic development will be decreased, and they tend to recover from low back pain more than individuals with kinesiophobia.

To break the fear cycle, virtual reality (VR) can be used as a pain distraction [11]. Distracting the patients within an immersive virtual world would allow them to perform the prescribed physical activities unconsciously. This will result in overall pain decrease and functional improvements.

Virtual reality has shown to be an effective intervention in improving motor functions and reducing the pain perception [12]. Existing VR interventions for LBP rehabilitation were based on a non-immersive VR, whereas to effectively reduce the pain intensity, we need an immersive VR. Immersive VR has been utilized in reducing acute pain during medical procedures such as burn care.

The main goal of this project is to develop a serious game that targets LBP patients who have kinesiophobia (fear of movement due to the feel of pain), the game is called RabbitRun. Based on the Fear-Avoidance model, the fear comes from the pain perception. Thus, to break the fear cycle, we need first to reduce the pain intensity by using immersive VR. We have integrated both physical activities and immersive VR to keep the patients engaged in the virtual environment, distracting them from pain while performing LBP exercises. The game provides a set of exercises that can be customized based on individual’s need. We have implemented a portable mobile rehabilitation game using an affordable VR device such as Cardboard.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: Section 2 reviews the existing VR games for LBP and pain management, Section 3 describes the game development and evaluation processes, in Section 4 we present the evaluation results and the last section presents the principal findings, limitations and future work.

2. Related Work

Virtual reality games for LBP rehabilitation can be divided into two main categories: (1) exercise correction and (2) motivating patients. Exercise correction games tend to have an avatar movement visualization (MV) where the player movements are represented through a virtual body. However, games for motivating the patients tend to have an indirect MV. They used the movements to alter the state of the virtual environment without visualizing the player body.

Microsoft Kinect (MK) is the mostly used input device for LBP games. In [13], a simple serious game was developed using MK. The game is targeting only one therapeutic exercise for LBP: trunk rotation. An avatar that reflects the user’s real body was shown to the patient. The game asks the user to clean a screen covered by mud using a virtual cloth controlled by the trunk motion. Then, the trunk movement is analyzed to validate the movement and give a real-time feedback to the patient.

In [14], the authors also used MK to implement a VR game for LBP. Their game consists of five exercises: lifting of the arms, lateral tilt, trunk rotation, pelvic rotation and squatting. Two avatars were shown in the interface, a therapist avatar to show the patient how to perform the exercises and another avatar that reflects the patient’s real movements. Their system provides real-time feedback, where red circles appear to indicate wrong joints position, as well as total scores at the end of the exercise session.
In another study [15], the concept of movement’s visualization has taken a different approach. Unlike the previous games, which used MK to visualize the movement as an avatar, they used indirect MV to control the game. They developed a flight simulator game where the user has to tilt the trunk right side and left side to control the plane. Vicon Motion Systems have also been used in LBP rehabilitation [16].

In [17], the authors built a robotic device for trunk training called TruST. In a seated position, the trainee performs a reaching task. To motivate the user, they have developed a virtual reaching game mimicking the real task employing Oculus Rift. The game consists of a drone and a coin that need to be collected by the drone. The drone is controlled by real hand motion. The hand movements were captured using the Vicon system, which consist of 12 depth cameras.

In [16], the authors implemented a virtual dodgeball game for LBP rehab using avatar MV. The game takes place in a basketball arena where the player plays with four virtual opponents. During the gameplay, the opponents throw virtual balls and the player tries to catch or avoid the ball. A 3D TV was used along with 3D glasses to enhance the sense of immersion.

Inertial measurement unit (IMU) was used in [18] for LBP rehabilitation. In their system, the patient performs four therapeutic exercises: carrying heavy objects, squatting, bow-and-arrow stretch, and setting and rising from the chair. The movements are represented by a virtual body, i.e., avatar, and projected on a white screen. The motion was captured using one IMU placed on the upper back or left thigh, based on the exercise. A real-time feedback is given to the user showing the remaining time, the remaining repetition and the target angle.

All of the interventions provided an acceptable level of interaction, whereas most of the developed VR systems lack immersion. One study [18] has employed projectors to enhance the sense of presence but still do not provide the needed level of immersion. A fully immersive VR was used in one research [17] utilizing Head Mounted Display (HMD), however, the game is integrated with an exoskeleton specially built for the game, which make this intervention less portable and not affordable. Also, the game consists of only one exercise, whereas a typical LBP physiotherapy contains more than one therapeutic exercise.

On the other hand, different virtual environments were designed as a distraction technique to reduce various pain intensity. All of the designed VR systems have used an immersive VR device as an output device to increase the sense of presence in the virtual world, therefore enhancing the pain relief [19]. Most of the studies have utilized a typical input device, such as the computer mouse to interact with the virtual environment.

“SnowWorld” is a well-known virtual environment that has been particularly developed for burn patients [19]. The use of “SnowWorld” has shown to be effective in reducing the pain during burn care procedure. It is a 3D game that contains snowmen, flying fish, igloos and penguins. Patients interact with the environment by throwing snowballs at the virtual objects using the mouse. When a snowball hits the virtual objects, the virtual objects respond in various ways to engage the player into the environment.

Another virtual environment that has been developed for various acute pain management called “BearBlast” [20]. The game takes place in a castle that is full of teddy bears. The player moves around the castle and starts shooting the teddy bears with a cannon full of red balls. The player targets a teddy bear by moving his head left and right. The game was developed on a smartphone. The smartphone is then placed in a Samsung Gear HMD to increase the immersion.

“Cryoslide” is also a virtual environment that was designed to lessen pain intensity during short-term symptom spikes among patients with different chronic pain [21]. The game consists of an icy world which can be viewed using Oculus Rift HMD. The player moves throughout the gameplay and throw a snowball on the moths using a typical computer mouse. A randomized controlled study has been conducted to inspect the effect of using “Cryoslide” virtual environment on 20 patients who have experienced ongoing pain for more than three months. The patients were randomly assigned into VR group (n = 10) and control group (n = 10). The VR group was asked to play the “Cryoslide”
game, whereas the control group has engaged in normal pain distracting activities like reading a book or playing a mobile game. In comparison to the baseline, the pain was significantly reduced by 36.7% during the VR intervention, whereas there was no significant reduction in the control group.

Another VR application for chronic pain management is called “Cool!” [22]. It is an interactive journey where the patients travel through a fantasy landscape with no scores or reward. Thirty participants with spinal pain (n = 12), hip pain (n = 6) and various chronic pain (n = 12) were recruited to examine the pain intensity during and after five-minutes of the VR session using “Cool!” . The pain was significantly reduced by 33% after the session, whereas the pain reduced by 60% during the session.

All of the VR interventions for pain management are solely based on cognitive distraction, whereas in LBP rehab the physical activities are needed. Table 1 summarizes the VR interventions that have been developed to improve the motor functions or reducing the pain. The table shows the purpose of each study, the input and output devices, what exercises have been included if the game supports physical movements and the level of engagement.

Table 1. Virtual reality (VR) interventions for low back pain (LBP) rehabilitation and pain management.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Paper Ref</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Input Device</th>
<th>Output Device</th>
<th>Physical Movements</th>
<th>Immersive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[13]</td>
<td>LBP exercise game</td>
<td>Microsoft Kinect</td>
<td>Screen</td>
<td>Trunk rotation</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[14]</td>
<td>LBP exercise game</td>
<td>Microsoft Kinect</td>
<td>Screen</td>
<td>Lifting of the arms</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[15]</td>
<td>LBP exercise game</td>
<td>Microsoft Kinect</td>
<td>Screen</td>
<td>Lateral tilt</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[12]</td>
<td>LBP exercise game</td>
<td>Vicon</td>
<td>3D TV Samsung 3D glasses</td>
<td>Lateral tilt</td>
<td>Partial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[17]</td>
<td>LBP exercise game</td>
<td>Vicon</td>
<td>HMD</td>
<td>Reaching task</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[18]</td>
<td>LBP exercise game</td>
<td>IMU</td>
<td>Projector</td>
<td>Carrying objects</td>
<td>Partial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[19]</td>
<td>Pain management (burn injury)</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>HMD</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[20]</td>
<td>Acute pain management</td>
<td>Smartphone</td>
<td>Samsung Gear</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[21,22]</td>
<td>Chronic pain management</td>
<td>Mouse</td>
<td>Oculus Rift</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Virtual reality has shown to be an effective intervention in improving motor functions and reducing the pain perception [12]. Existing VR interventions for LBP rehabilitation were based on a non-immersive VR, whereas to effectively reduce the pain intensity, we need an immersive VR. Immersive VR has been utilized in reducing acute pain during medical procedures such as burn care. In this study, we developed a serious game that targets LBP patients who have kinesiophobia (fear of movement due to the feel of pain). Based on the Fear-Avoidance model, the fear comes from the pain perception. Thus, to break the fear cycle, we need first to reduce the pain intensity by using immersive VR. We have integrated both physical activities and immersive VR to keep the patients engaged in the virtual environment, distracting them from pain while performing LBP exercises. The game provides a
set of exercises that can be customized based on individual’s need. We have implemented a portable mobile rehabilitation game using an affordable VR device such as the Cardboard.

3. Methods

3.1. Development Process

The development of the game has gone through three phases, as seen in (Figure 1). The first phase to design RabbitRun game is to gather requirements from previous research as well as conduct a focus group with four physiotherapists. The second phase is the design and development of the game. During this phase, a formative evaluation has been conducted and four healthy people were recruited with the aim of improving the game’s design and performance. Finally, in phase 3, we recruited seven healthy people to evaluate the usability of the final prototype of the game.

![Figure 1. The development process of the game.](image)

3.2. Requirements Gathering

We applied Focus Group technique to gather the game requirements from physiotherapists. We conducted a focus group with four physiotherapists who are specialized in musculoskeletal physiotherapy. The physiotherapists have more than two years of experience in treating LBP patients with various therapy intervention including exercises. The interview lasts for one hour. We discussed the general characteristics of LBP patients, the usual physiotherapy treatment and their opinion in introducing VR and serious games technologies in the rehabilitation program.

All of the physiotherapists agreed on common problems associated with LBP such as work absence, functional and structural disabilities, and psychological disorders like depression. They also stated some obstacles in the management of patients with LBP. Patients tend not to adhere to the home exercises and some of them have significant fear of movements.

The physiotherapy treatment of LBP differs based on the cause of the pain. The usual treatment for Non-Specific LBP is the physical exercises. The exercises treatment process has mainly two stages: first they start with flexibility exercises and then strength exercises. Other causes such as infections are treated using manual therapy or surgical intervention. Among a long list of flexibility exercises,
four common exercises were considered in this development: trunk flexion (forward bending), trunk extension (backward bending), lateral tilt (left- and right-side trunk bending) and trunk rotation.

They stated that using the technology would be very helpful if it has some of the following criteria: select a set of exercises among different exercises, adjustment of the number of repetitions, exercise frequency per day and report the patient commitments. Additionally, they have suggested an adjustment of the hold time, reminders, and exercise correction; however, these three criteria have not been included in the current development because the main goal of our project is motivating the patients rather than correcting their performance.

3.3. Game Design and Development

3.3.1. Equipment and Development Tools

To promote the use of the game at home, the equipment should be relatively inexpensive, easy to acquire and portable. Therefore, the game can be run on a mobile phone with Android 4.1 or higher or iOS version 8.0 or higher to run the required VR libraries. Also, a VR headset such as Google Cardboards is needed to play the game.

We developed the game using Unity game engine [23] for the creation of the virtual environment along with Microsoft Visual Studio to write the interactive scripts in C# programming language [24]. To add the virtual reality support in Unity, we used Google VR software development kit which generates VR environments that run optimally on mobile devices with Google Cardboards [25]. This package contains the necessary libraries for convert a single camera application in a dual camera.

3.3.2. Game Features

The RabbitRun game can be used by LBP patients with the help of the physiotherapist. The target age group is between 16 and 30 years old. We target the patients who have LBP without specific causes and with pain lasting for more than 12 weeks i.e. Non-Specific Chronic LBP (NSCLBP). The LBP Exercise game will be an ideal game for LBP patients who have kinesiophobia (fear of movement due to pain). In this subsection, we will present the features and functions of the RabbitRun game.

Register/login: the game allows the user to create a new profile with his personal information (username, email, password). The user must provide the email and the password to login. After logging in, the main menu will appear to the user, as seen in (Figure 2a). In the main menu, the user can view/edit his profile information, edit the game setting, view history report, send it via email and start playing the game.

Game settings: the game setting can be changed based on the individual needs. The user can select all or some of the exercise list (flexion, extension, lateral tilt, rotation). The user can define the number of repetitions for the exercise set. Also, the user shall specify the exercise frequency per day, the number of days for performing the exercise as well as the difficulty level of the game. A screenshot of the game setting is shown in (Figure 2b).

Exercise history report: the exercise history for each user is recorded and saved, as seen in (Figure 2c). The history report includes the date, the exercise set, number of repetitions, number of the required days, the difficulty level and the number of times in which the player has played the game with the current settings (frequency). This report shows the patient’s progress and adherence to home exercises. The user can send the exercise history report to his/her registered email.

Calibration: before the game starts, the calibration screen will appear to the user to calibrate the player location with the game. The player is asked to stay still for five seconds to save his current dimensions, which will help in tracking the player movement during the gameplay.

How to play: after the calibration, an instruction screen will be shown to the player including which exercises will be performed in the game and how to perform each exercise. The player can warm up by performing the exercises before start playing the game. In addition, the user may skip this screen at any time.
The main menu contains: (1) the username, (2) score bar, (3) logout, (4) current level, (5) player profile, (6) start the game, (7) game settings, and (8) history report.

Game settings include: (1) exercises list with four exercises (flexion, extension, lateral tilt, and rotation), (2) number of repetition, (3) exercises frequency, (4) number of days, (5) difficulty level, and (6) save button.

Exercises history report contains: (1) the date, (2) exercises frequency, (3) exercises list, (4) number of repetition, (5) number of days, (6) difficulty level, (7) delete all records, and (8) send via email.

Figure 2. Screenshots of RabbitRun game: (a) the main menu, (b) game settings, (c) exercises history report.
3.3.3. Gameplay Description

RabbitRun Game scenario takes place in a village where the player controls a rabbit that is walking on the village road. The Rabbit tries to avoid obstacles and collect coins. The game has an element of surprise by dynamically showing obstacles and coins, which gives a sense of excitement to the players. The interaction model is avatar-based, and the camera model is 3D third person perspective. The game world layout is shown in (Figure 3).

During the gameplay, the player will face one challenge which is avoiding different obstacles. There are four obstacles, as shown in (Figure 4): (a) high road barriers, (b) low road barriers, (c) concrete blocks and (d) traffic delineators. The game starts with the rabbit walking on a village road in a straight-line. The Rabbit can jump and roll to avoid low/high road barriers. To avoid concrete blocks, it shall move left/right. To pass between the traffic delineators the Rabbit must rotate to the left or right.

The player controls the Rabbit using four therapeutic exercises for LBP. The exercise selection was based on the literature as well as by conducting a focus group with four physiotherapists. The four exercises are shown in (Figure 5), which includes (a) trunk flexion (forward bending), (b) trunk extension (backward bending), (c) lateral flexion and (d) trunk rotation. Each exercise is mapped to one game challenge e.g. to avoid the concrete block, the player has to perform lateral flexion exercise to move the Rabbit to the left or right. Table 2 shows the mapping of the four exercises to the game’s challenges as well as the four movements of the Rabbit during the game.

![Figure 3. Gameplay of RabbitRun game.](image)

![Figure 4. RabbitRun game obstacles.](image)
useful in our case, therefore we need to calculate the angular position at a given time. The simplest way is by integrating the angular velocity \( \omega \) [27]:

\[
\theta (t) = \int_0^t \theta \, dt \tag{1}
\]

\[
\theta (t + dt) = \theta (t) + \theta \times dt \tag{2}
\]

The selection of the angle degrees is based on the active ROM [26] as well as by consulting two physiotherapists. The difficulty level will be increased automatically when the player achieved the target score. The target score is equal to the number of repetitions multiplied by the frequency of the exercises.

The game will show or hide the obstacles based on the exercise list that was selected in the game settings. The number of occurrences of the obstacles is determined by the number of repetitions per exercise from the settings.

The player gets one coin for each obstacle (s)he avoided. When the player collides with an obstacle his/her health bar will be decreased by one. The player wins the game if (s)he collected a specified number of coins (number of exercises \( \times \) number of repetitions − 3). The game is terminated when the rabbit hits an obstacle more than three times.

The game has three difficulty levels. Each level requires the player to perform the movement in a specified Range of Motion (ROM). The range of motion can be measured by the degree of the angle. The selection of the angle degrees is based on the active ROM [26] as well as by consulting two physiotherapists. The difficulty level will be increased automatically when the player achieved the target score. The target score is equal to the number of repetitions multiplied by the frequency multiplied by the number of days. A screen will be shown to the player to inform him/her that the game level has been upgraded.

### 3.3.4. Motion Tracking

To move the rabbit, the player has to perform four movements. The movements are detected by the gyroscope (Gyro) sensor embedded in the smartphone. The gyroscope measures the rotation angle around the three-axis \( X, Y \) and \( Z \) (roll, pitch and yaw, respectively).

To captures the device orientation, we need to use the gyroscope sensor. The Gyro sensor tracks the rotation of the device around the three-axis \( x, y, z \) and it gives us the angular velocity \( \dot{\theta} \) which is the change of the angle around the axis over the time (degree/second). However, the angle rate is not useful in our case, therefore we need to calculate the angular position at a given time. The simplest way to calculate the angle is by integrating the angular velocity \( \dot{\theta} \) [27]:

\[
\theta (t) = \int_0^t \theta \, dt \tag{1}
\]

\[
\theta (t + dt) = \theta (t) + \theta \times dt \tag{2}
\]
Equation (2) is the result of the integration of Equation (1), where \( \theta (t + dt) \) is the current angle, \( \theta (t) \) is the last measured angle, \( \ddot{\omega} \) is the angular velocity measured by the Gyro sensor multiplied by a timestep.

Although it is easy to obtain the angle from the gyroscope sensor, high and fast data changes may cause a drift. The drift results in not returning the value of the sensor to 0 even if it returns to its initial position. Also, using Gyro would not give a smooth motion since it solely calculate the rotation in value of the yaw angle.

Sensor fusion is one way to solve the above-mentioned problems. Sensor fusion is combining data from several sensors to calculate accurate position and orientation information for the purpose of improving application or system performance. We can achieve accurate position and orientation information by combining data from gyroscope with accelerometer and magnetometer sensors. Combining data from multiple sensors corrects for the deficiencies of the individual sensors.

Unit quaternions is a representation of the orientation of the fused data from gyroscope, accelerometer and magnetometer. It is one mathematical representation for the orientation of the device. Euler Angles and Rotation can also be used to represent the orientation. Quaternions can be represented in Equation (3), where \( q_0 \) is the angle of rotation and \( q_1, q_2, q_3 \) are the vector \( x, y, z \) of 3-dimensional space.

\[
Q = (q_0, q_1, q_2, q_3)
\]  
(3)

The rotation around the x-axis (Roll), y-axis (Pitch) and z-axis (Yaw) can be calculated from quaternions using Equation (4), Equation (5) and Equation (6), respectively [28].

\[
Roll = \arctan \frac{2 (q_0 q_1 + q_2 q_3)}{1 - 2 (q_1^2 + q_2^2)}
\]  
(4)

\[
Pitch = \arctan 2 (q_0 q_2 - q_3 q_1)
\]  
(5)

\[
Yaw = \arctan \frac{2 (q_0 q_3 + q_1 q_2)}{1 - 2 (q_2^2 + q_3^2)}
\]  
(6)

These three rotation angles were used to control the game avatar (the rabbit). If the roll is greater than or equals a threshold, the rabbit will jump. If it is less than or equals a threshold, the rabbit will roll. The pitch angle is used to move the rabbit to the left or right. To rotate the rabbit, we used the value of the yaw angle.

3.3.5. Calibration

The game has three difficulty levels. Each level requires the player to perform the movement in a specified range of motion (ROM). To map the calculated angles from the mobile with the trunk ROM we used Acumar Dual Inclinometer device (Figure 6) [29]. The device was used during the implementation to determine a threshold of the roll, pitch and yaw calculated by the Gyro sensor on the smartphone. We measured the actual trunk angles using the device and compared it with the mobile readings for 5 normal people. We asked them to perform each exercise while wearing the mobile device inserted into the VR device. We monitor the Acumar Dual Inclinometer device and ask them to stop if it reached the required ROM. Then, we asked them to give us the number that appears to them in the mobile. The numbers are the values of the rotation angles of the mobile i.e. roll, pitch and yaw.

Figure 6. Acumar Dual Inclinometer device [29].
The table below (Table 3) shows the degree of the angles that are required for each exercise in each level, the values of each rotational angle and the rabbit movement if the value reached the threshold. The selection of the angle degrees is based on the active ROM [26] as well as by consulting two physiotherapists.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RabbitRun Exercise</th>
<th>ROM</th>
<th>Rotation Angle</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Rabbit Movement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trunk flexion</td>
<td>[30°, 45°, 60°]</td>
<td>Roll</td>
<td>&lt;= [−40, −55, −70]</td>
<td>Rolling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral tilt right</td>
<td>[10°, 15°, 25°]</td>
<td>Pitch</td>
<td>&gt;= [20, 30, 40]</td>
<td>Move right</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral tilt left</td>
<td>[10°, 15°, 25°]</td>
<td>Pitch</td>
<td>&lt;= [−20, −30, −40]</td>
<td>Move left</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.4. **Usability Evaluation**

3.4.1. Purpose

We have conducted a usability evaluation to measure the effectiveness and the overall user satisfaction. Effectiveness refers to the accuracy and completeness with which users achieve specified goals. User satisfaction refers to the comfort and acceptability of use. Metrics are used to quantify usability during the usability evaluation of software, websites and applications. The effectiveness can be measured using two metrics: task completion rate and the average number of errors per task. Satisfaction is measured by giving a questionnaire to each test participant at the end of the test session. This will allow us to measure their overall impression of the system being tested.

3.4.2. Participants

Overall, seven healthy participants were recruited from King Saud University. The participants included master and undergraduate students and employees. They are female, between the ages of 22–24 years. Half of the participants have had an experience in playing kinetic games like Wii, Xbox. Most of the participants had never before played VR games. All test sessions were conducted at the usability lab inside the Computer and Information Sciences College at King Saud University.

3.4.3. Procedure

The evaluation process has three main sessions: introductory session, game session and debriefing session, as shown in Figure 7. Overall, the participants performed eight tasks (Table 4). In the introductory session, we welcome the participants, give them a brief description of the project. Then, we ask them to read and sign the informed consent form. Next, the participants are asked to fill a demographic questionnaire to collect background data about the participant such as gender, age, and VR and Kinect game experience. If the participant has a low back pain, then (s)he will be excluded from the evaluation.

The game session is where the participant is actually interacting with the game. First, we ask the participants to perform the four basic tasks include the registration, edit the user profile, edit the game setting, view the exercises history report and send a copy of the report via email. Then, we give them general instructions before start playing the game. Instructions include what is the game, what they are going to control in the gameplay and how to control it. Also, we ask them to stop at any time if they feel pain or dizziness. Next, they were asked to start playing the game which includes four tasks. The first task is performing the game calibration and reading the instructions about how to play the game. Then, for the remaining three tasks the participant is asked to play the game in three different
In the last session, we ask the participants to fill the game experience questionnaire. The game experience survey is used to measure the overall participants’ satisfaction and impression of the game [12].

We collected performance and preferences data during the evaluation. Performance data were collected by the observation of the participants during executing that tasks. Performance data includes the number of errors per task and whether the task has been completed successfully or not. The data were used to measure the effectiveness of the game. Preferences data were collected using demographics and game experience questionnaires.

![Evaluation process flowchart](image)

**Figure 7.** Evaluation process flowchart.

**Table 4.** Evaluation tasks’ Description.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task Number</th>
<th>Task Name</th>
<th>Task Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Task 1</td>
<td>Register</td>
<td>Create a profile with his/her email, set a user name and password</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 2</td>
<td>Edit profile</td>
<td>Change the user name to the participant number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 3</td>
<td>Edit game settings</td>
<td>Set flexion and extension on, frequency to 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 4</td>
<td>View and email history report</td>
<td>View the report and send it via email</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 5</td>
<td>Calibration and How to Play</td>
<td>Follow the instructions correctly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 6</td>
<td>Play the game1</td>
<td>Win the game with three repetitions of the flexion-extension exercise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 7</td>
<td>Play the game2</td>
<td>Win the game with three repetitions of the lateral tilt exercise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task 8</td>
<td>Play the game3</td>
<td>Win the game with three repetitions of the trunk rotation exercise</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. Results

4.1. Formative Evaluation

To improve the game design and performance of the game, we conducted a formative evaluation in the middle of the development. Four healthy people were recruited, and they were asked to try the game with all of its features. Many modifications have been done to improve the game based on their suggestions. In the “how to play” screen, all exercises were shown to the player; players suggested adapting the instructions based on the game setting, i.e. showing instructions only for the exercises that will be played in the game. Many of the participants stated that the button of sending the report via email was difficult to find, and they suggested to add the send button inside the report instead of the main menu. To start the game, the player must click a button for one second, the participants suggested to start the game automatically after three seconds of the instructions without clicking a button. In the “edit profile” page, the issue was when updating the user profile, all the information needs to be updated otherwise it will not be saved. It has been changed to allow changing only one field.

4.2. Usability Evaluation

4.2.1. Game Effectiveness

The completion rate for all tasks is illustrated in Figure 8. All the participants have completed the first five tasks successfully. However, only six participants have completed task 7 and task 8 correctly, playing the game with lateral tilt and trunk rotation exercise, respectively. Task 6, playing the game with flexion-extension exercises, has the least completion rate where only two participants were able to complete the task.

The average number of errors for each task is shown in Figure 9. All the participants have completed task 3 and 5, edit game settings and calibration, without any errors. Also, on average, most of the participants were able to register and view/send the history report (task 1 and 4) with only one error. Most of them were trying to login with their username and password while the right way is to login using the email address and the password. For task 2, updating the user profile, most of the participants get confused and clicked the game setting button instead of the user profile. For task 7 and 8, playing the game with lateral tilt and trunk rotation exercise, most of the participants won the game with two hits on average. However, all participants who have won the flexion-extension game (task 6) have hit an obstacle for three times during the gameplay.

Playing the game with flexion and extension exercises was the least effective task. The tasks order in which the participants were performing the tasks might affect the results. For future studies, the transfer of learning can be eliminated by making the participants performing the tasks interchangeably. Also, another reason for the deficiency might be because task 6 has actually two exercises, trunk flexion and trunk extension, and each one has different metaphor within the gameplay. Moreover, unlike the lateral tilt and rotation exercises where the avatar movements are aligned with the exercise, the extension exercise (backward bending) is being used to jump (moving upward) the avatar.
The game experience survey revealed that the participants enjoyed playing the game. They thought that it was easy for them to lean and play the game. The majority of the participants were willing to play the game again and even at their homes. The game motivated them to move and they would highly recommend the game to other people with low back pain (Figure 10). After the 14 items, the participants were asked four open-ended questions about what they liked the least and the most about the game. Also, they were asked to suggest general improvements. The participants stated that the game was fun and easy to learn. They suggested to improve the user interfaces and to add more exercises. One participant implied that the first game (task 6) was frustrating and difficult to accomplish.

Figure 8. Tasks completion rate.

Figure 9. Average number of errors per task.

4.2.2. User Satisfaction

Figure 10. Participant ratings of gameplay experience (1 = strongly disagree, 2 = disagree, 3 = natural, 4 = agree, 5 = strongly agree).
5. Discussion

5.1. Principal Findings

The main objective of rehabilitation is to improve the quality of individuals daily activates independence. Virtual Reality facilitates motor learning and improves motor function by providing customized training, repetition intensity, multimodal feedback and motivation [30]. Game based on VR promote entertainment, thereby allowing the patients to make repetitive motor practice and increase exercise adherence [31], as well as diverting attention from the pain [32]. Not to mention that the use of VR systems can reduce the rehabilitation cost [17]. Immersive VR has been used as a distraction technique to reduce various pain intensity using an immersive VR device as an output device to increase the sense of presence in the virtual world [19]. Existing VR interventions for LBP rehabilitation were based on a non-immersive VR, whereas to effectively reduce the pain intensity, we need an immersive VR.

The initial results of this study suggest that the immersive VR game was enjoyable and acceptable by the participants. The game was easy to play and learn and most of the participants were willing to play the game at home. Most of them would also recommend LBP patients to play the game because they thought that the game has motivated them to move.

5.2. Limitations and Future Directions

The evaluation has included only healthy participants, and all of them were women. A usability evaluation on LBP patients with different gender may be investigated since they have an important influence on the results. Many different tests, experiments and improvements have been left for the future. A randomized controlled trial on low back pain patients can be conducted to evaluate the effectiveness of using such intervention in reducing the pain as well as improving the motor functions.

Furthermore, the game can be improved by adding more functions such as the adjustment of the hold time, reminders, and exercise learning and correction. Also, it can be improved by updating the history report to include which exercises are not being done correctly by the patient. In addition, evaluating the accuracy of the rotational angles might need to be explored; it could be interesting to employ an external sensor to detect the trunk movements rather than using the mobile sensors which might result in more accurate values. A comparison of the accuracy of the two methods might also be investigated.

5.3. Conclusions

In this study, we introduced the design, development and evaluation of a RabbitRun exercise game for LBP rehabilitation. The implemented game will engage LBP patients in a virtual environment and distract them from the pain while performing LBP exercises. The game can be played on Android and iOS mobile platforms and utilize an affordable VR device such as Google Cardboard, to be easily adapted and used by the patients at their home. The initial usability evaluation results suggest that our immersive VR game for people with LBP was enjoyable and acceptable by the participants. The game was easy to learn and play and most of the participants were willing to play the game at home. Most of them would also recommend LBP patients to play the game because they thought that the game has motivated them to move. The significance of this study is to improve the quality of life for LBP patient, because it will help them adhere to their home exercises, therefore improving their motor function and reducing the pain intensity. Moreover, it will reduce the treatment cost and the work absence, which increases economy.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, Amal Alazba, Hend Al-Khalifa and Hana AlSobayel; Data curation, Amal Alazba; Formal analysis, Amal Alazba; Investigation, Amal Alazba; Methodology, Amal Alazba, Hend Al-Khalifa and Hana AlSobayel; Software, Amal Alazba; Supervision, Hend Al-Khalifa and Hana AlSobayel; Validation, Amal Alazba, Hend Al-Khalifa and Hana AlSobayel; Writing—original draft, Amal Alazba; Writing—review and editing, Hend Al-Khalifa and Hana AlSobayel.
Funding: This research received no external funding.

Acknowledgments: We would like to thank the physiotherapists Hussein Alkhoeim, Majid Alshammari, Nawal Alghadeer and Asma Bahma, from the rehabilitation department at King Khalid University Hospital for helping us in analyzing the game requirements.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References


