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Abstract: In this paper we present the measurement of charged pion two-particle femtosopic correlation functions in $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV Au + Au collisions in 31 average transverse mass bins, separately for positive and negative pion pairs. Lévy-shaped source distributions yield a statistically acceptable description of the measured correlation functions, with three physical parameters: correlation strength parameter $\lambda$, Lévy index $\alpha$ and Lévy scale parameter $R$. The transverse mass dependence of these Lévy parameters is then investigated. Their physical interpretation is also discussed, and the appearance of a new scaling variable is observed.
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1. Introduction

In nucleus-nucleus collisions at the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider, a strongly coupled Quark Gluon Plasma (sQGP) is formed [1–4], creating hadrons at the freeze-out. The measurement of femtosopic correlation functions is used to infer the space-time extent of hadron creation. The field of femtoscopy was founded by the astronomical measurements of R. Hanbury Brown and R. Q. Twiss [5] and the high energy physics measurements of G. Goldhaber and collaborators [6,7]. If interactions between the created hadrons, higher order correlations, decays and all other dynamical two-particle correlations may be neglected, then the two-particle Bose-Einstein correlation function is simply related to the source function $S(x,k)$ (which describes the probability density of particle creation at the space-time point $x$ and with four-momentum $k$). This can be understood if one defines $N_1(p)$ as the invariant momentum distribution and $N_2(p_1,p_2)$ as the momentum pair distribution. Then the definition of the correlation function is [8]:

$$C_2(p_1,p_2) = \frac{N_2(p_1,p_2)}{N_1(p_1)N_1(p_2)}, \text{ where}$$

$$N_2(p_1,p_2) = \int S(x_1,p_1)S(x_2,p_2)|\Psi_2(x_1,x_2)|^2 d^4x_1d^4x_2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Here $\Psi_2(x_1,x_2)$ is two-particle wave function, for which

$$|\Psi_2(x_1,x_2)|^2 = |\Psi_2^{(0)}(x_1,x_2)|^2 = 1 + \cos \left( (p_1 - p_2)(x_1 - x_2) \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

follows in an interaction-free case, denoted by the superscript $(0)$. This leads to

$$C_2^{(0)}(Q,K) \simeq 1 + \left| \frac{S(Q,K)}{S(0,K)} \right|^2,$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where

$$\Psi_2^{(0)}(x_1,x_2) = e^{i \frac{Q}{2}(x_1 + x_2)} e^{-i \frac{K}{2}(x_1 - x_2)}.$$
\[ \tilde{S}(Q, K) = \int S(x, K) e^{iQx} d^4x \] is the Fourier-transformed of \( S \), (5)

and \( Q = p_1 - p_2 \) is the momentum difference, \( K = (p_1 + p_2)/2 \) is the average momentum, and we assumed, that \( Q \ll K \) holds for the investigated kinematic range. Usually, correlation functions are measured versus \( Q \), for a well-defined \( K \)-range, and then properties of the correlation functions are analyzed as a function of the average \( K \) of each range. If the source is a static Gaussian with a radius \( R \), then the correlation function will also be a Gaussian with an inverse radius, hence it can be described by one plus a Gaussian: \( 1 + \exp(-(|QR|^2)) \). However, if the source is expanding, then the observed Gaussian radius \( R \) does not represent the geometrical size, but rather a length of homogeneity, depending on the average momentum \( K \). The approximate dependence of \( R^{-2} \propto A + Bm_T^2 \) is observed for various collision systems, collision energies and particle types [9,10], where \( m_T = \sqrt{K_T^2 + m^2c^2} \), and \( K_T \) is the transverse component of \( K \). This can be interpreted as a consequence of hydrodynamical expansion [11,12]. See Ref. [13] (and references therein) for details.

Usually, the shape of the particle emitting source is assumed to be Gaussian, however, this does not seem to be the case experimentally [14,15]. In an expanding hadron resonance gas, increasing mean free paths lead to a Lévy-flight, anomalous diffusion, and hence to spatial Lévy distributions [16–18]. The one-sided, symmetric Lévy distribution as a function of spatial coordinate \( r \) is defined as:

\[ L(\alpha, R, r) = (2\pi)^{-3} \int d^3q e^{iqr} e^{-\frac{1}{2} |qR|^\alpha}, \] (6)

where \( \alpha \) is the Lévy index and \( R \) is the Lévy scale. Then \( \alpha = 2 \) gives back the Gaussian case and \( \alpha = 1 \) yields a Cauchy distribution. This source function leads to a correlation function of

\[ C_2(Q, K) = 1 + e^{-(Q R(K))^\alpha}. \] (7)

It is interesting to observe that the spatial Lévy distribution results in power-law tails in the spatial correlation function, with an exponent of \(-1 - \alpha\). Such power-law spatial correlations are also expected in case of critical behavior, with an exponent of \(-d - 2 + \eta\), with \( \eta \) being the critical exponent. It is easy to see that in this case, \( \eta = \alpha \), i.e., the Lévy exponent is identical to the critical exponent \( \eta \) [19]. The second order QCD phase transition is expected to be in the same universality class as the phase transition of the 3D Ising model or the random field 3D Ising model (see Refs [20–23] for details and values for the critical exponents), and hence around the critical point, \( \alpha \leq 0.5 \) values may be expected [19]. Since the exploration of the QCD phase diagram, in particular the search for the QCD critical endpoint is one of the major goals of experimental heavy ion physics nowadays, the above discussed relations yield additional motivation for the measurement and analysis of Bose-Einstein correlation functions.

Furthermore, it is important to note, that not all pions are primordial, i.e., not all of them are created directly from the collision. A significant fraction of pions are secondary, coming from decays. Hence the source will have two components: a core of primordial pions, stemming from the hydrodynamically expanding sQGP (and the decays of very short lived resonances, with half-lives less than a few \( fm/c \)), and a halo, consisting of the decay products of long lived resonances (such as \( \eta, \eta', K_0^0, \omega \))

\[ S = S_{\text{core}} + S_{\text{halo}}. \] (8)

These two components have characteristically different sizes (\(<10 \, fm \) for the core, \( >50 \, fm \) for the halo, based on the half-lives of the above mentioned resonances). In particular, the halo component is so narrow in momentum-space, that it cannot be resolved experimentally. This leads to
was defined, equivalent to the “intercept” of the correlation function, i.e., its extrapolated value based on the observable \( Q \) region:

\[
\lim_{Q \to 0} C_2^{(0)}(Q, K) = 1 + \lambda(K).
\] (11)

Hence, in the core-halo picture, \( \lambda(K) \) is related to the fraction of primordial (core) pions among all (core plus halo) pions at a given momentum. One of the motivations for measuring \( \lambda \) is that it is related [24] to the \( \eta' \) meson yield, expected [25] to increase in case of chiral \( U_A(1) \) symmetry restoration in heavy-ion collisions (due to the expected in-medium mass decrease of the \( \eta' \)).

We also have to take into account that the interaction-free case is not valid for the usual measurement of charged particle pairs, the electromagnetic and strong interactions distort the above simple picture. For identical charged pions, the Coulomb interaction is the most important, and this decreases the number of particle pairs at low momentum differences. This can be taken into account by utilizing the \( \Psi_2^{(C)}(x_1, x_2) \) pair wave function solving the Schrödinger-equation for charged particles, given for example in Ref. [13]. With this, a so-called “Coulomb-correction” can be calculated as

\[
K_2(Q, K) = \frac{\int d^4x_1d^4x_2S(x_1, K - Q/2)S(x_2, K + Q/2) |\Psi_2^{(C)}(x_1, x_2)|^2}{\int d^4x_1d^4x_2S(x_1, K - Q/2)S(x_2, K + Q/2) |\Psi_2^{(0)}(x_1, x_2)|^2},
\] (12)
hence the measured correlation function, including the Coulomb interaction, can be described by

\[
C_2^{\text{Coul}}(Q, K) = K_2(Q, K)C_2^{(0)}(Q, K).
\] (13)

For details, see again Ref. [13] and references therein.

In the following, we utilize a generalization of the usual Gaussian shape of the Bose-Einstein correlations, namely we analyze our data using Lévy stable source distributions. We have carefully tested that this source model is in agreement with our data in all the transverse momentum regions reported here: all the Lévy fits were statistically acceptable, as discussed also later. We note that using the method of Lévy expansion of the correlation functions [26], we have found that within errors all the terms that measure deviations from the Lévy shape are consistent with zero. Hence we restrict the presentation of our results to the analysis of the correlation functions in terms of Lévy stable source distributions.

2. Results

We analyzed 2.2 billion 0–30% centrality \( \sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200 \text{ GeV Au + Au} \) collisions recorded by the PHENIX experiment in the 2010 running period\(^1\). We measured two-particle correlation...
functions of $\pi^-\pi^-$ and $\pi^+\pi^+$ pairs, in 31 $m_T$ bins ranging from 228 to 871 MeV, as detailed in Ref. [13]. The calculated correlation functions based on Lévy-shaped sources gave statistically acceptable descriptions of all measured correlation functions (all transverse momenta and both charges), see for example Figure 1. Note that besides the event selection and the track selection criteria discussed in Ref. [13], we applied two-track cuts as well, to remove merged tracks and “ghost” tracks, both of which appear due to the spatial two-track resolution of our tracking system. This removes the very small $Q$ part of the correlation functions, as seen in Figure 1. A reminiscence of this is seen from the lowest $Q$ point in Figure 1: this defines our fit range. The effect of the choice of the fit range and the two-track cuts was studied and incorporated into the systematic uncertainties. See more details about this topic also in Ref. [13]. The fact that the correlation functions were described by Lévy fits allows us to study and interpret the $m_T$ dependence of the fit parameters $R$, $\alpha$ and $\lambda$, as they do represent the measured correlation functions.
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**Figure 1.** Example fit of $\pi^-\pi^-$ pairs with $m_T$ between 0.331 and 0.349 GeV/c (corresponding to $K_T \in [0.3, 0.32]$ GeV/c), measured in the longitudinal co-moving frame. The fit shows the measured correlation function and the complete fit function, while a “Coulomb-corrected” fit function $C^{(0)}(Q)$ is also shown, with the data multiplied by $C^{(0)}_2/C^{\text{Coul}}_2$.

Let us turn to the resulting fit parameters and their $m_T$ dependence. Parameters $\lambda$, $R$ and $\alpha$ are shown in Figure 2, as a function of pair $m_T$ (corresponding to the given $K_T$ bin). The detailed description of the systematic uncertainties is given in Ref. [13], here we focus on the characteristics of the $m_T$ dependencies. In the top left panel of Figure 2, we observe that $\alpha$ is constant within systematic uncertainties, with an average value of 1.207. This average $\alpha$ value is far from the Gaussian assumption of $\alpha = 2$ as well as from the conjectured $\alpha = 0.5$ value at the critical point. We show $1/R^2$ as a function of $m_T$ on the top right panel of Figure 2. We observe, that the hydro prediction of $1/R^2 \simeq A + Bm_T$ still holds—even though in hydrodynamics, usually no power-law tails appear, due to the Boltzmann factor creating an exponential cut-off. This is intriguing point may be investigated in phenomenological models in the future. The correlation function intercept parameter $\lambda$ is shown in the bottom left panel of Figure 2, after a normalization by
\[ \lambda_{\text{max}} = \langle \lambda \rangle_{m_T = 0.5 - 0.7 \text{GeV}/c^2}, \]  

(14)

as detailed in Ref. [13]. The \( m_T \) dependence of \( \lambda / \lambda_{\text{max}} \) indicates a decrease at small \( m_T \). This may be explained by the increase of the resonance pion fraction at low \( m_T \). Such an increase is predicted to occur in case of an in-medium \( \eta' \) mass, as discussed above. It is interesting to observe that our data are not incompatible with predictions [28] based on a reduced \( \eta' \) mass, using the Kaneta-Xu model for ratios of long-lived resonances [29]. Finally, in the bottom right panel of Figure 2 we show the observation of a new scaling parameter

\[ \tilde{\lambda} = \frac{R}{\lambda(1 + \alpha)}. \]  

(15)

The inverse of this variable exhibits a clear linear scaling with \( m_T \), and it also has much decreased statistical uncertainties. Let us conclude by inviting the theory/phenomenology community to calculate the \( m_T \) dependence of the above Lévy parameters, and compare their result to the measurements.

**Figure 2.** Fit parameters \( \alpha \) (top left), \( R \) (top right, shown as \( 1/R^2 \)), \( \lambda \) (bottom left, shown as \( \lambda / \lambda_{\text{max}} \)) and \( \tilde{\lambda} \) (bottom right, shown as \( 1/\tilde{\lambda} \)) versus average \( m_T \) of the pair. Statistical and symmetric systematic uncertainties shown as bars and boxes, respectively.
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