Treatment of Aquaculture Wastewater through Chitin/ZnO Composite Photocatalyst
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Abstract: This paper proposed a newly explored composite photocatalyst, Chitin/ZnO, prepared via the sol-gel method for exploring its photocatalytic activity in the simulated aquaculture wastewater under UV irradiation. The study mainly involves the application of Chitin/ZnO from three aspects: the structure, the principle and the degradation efficiency. The effects of purification operation factors including mass ratio rate, dosage, calcination temperature, initial NH4+-N concentration and illumination conditions on the NH4+-N removal effectiveness were investigated. Optimum conditions were explored through orthogonal experiments, which revealed that 88.73% NH4+-N removal from 60 mg/L synthetic wastewater was achieved by direct illumination for 120 min. Additionally, Chitin/ZnO photocatalysts (mass ratio of 2:3) at a calcination temperature of 500 °C were favorable for Chitin loaded over a ZnO lattice. The obtained nanoparticles of Chitin/ZnO were characterized using SEM and X-ray diffraction. The purpose of this paper is to grope for an economical and easy method of Chitin/ZnO powder preparation and to provide a practical approach for future research on the photocatalytic purification of aquaculture wastewater.
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1. Introduction

As the main component of feed raw material (urea), highly concentrated ammonia would seriously interfere with the stability of aquaculture water, leading to adverse effects on wild plants, inhabited animals and human life [1]. Traditional technologies that are widely used for the removal of NH4+-N in aquaculture wastewater mainly include physical, chemical, biological and integrated treatment processes [2–4]. Photocatalytic oxidation is one of the most effective chemical methods. It shows great potential in wastewater purification through inducing the generation of hydroxyl radicals and superoxide anion by irradiating specific semiconductor photocatalysts with band structures such as TiO2, ZnO, CdS, WO3, SrTiO3, Fe2O3 and so forth [5]. The semiconductor photocatalyst doped with non-metallic or metal elements generates a synergistic effect of multiple functions, namely

1. broadening the responsive light zone to improve the utilization of solar light or UV;
2. prohibiting the recombination of light-based electrons and catalyst holes (e−–h+), and enhancing the quantitative efficiency of the semiconductor photocatalyst;
3. having an absorption capacity that plays a critical role in physical and chemical reactions.

In order to ameliorate the photocatalytic efficiency of semiconductor photocatalysts in wastewater purification, recent studies have been performed on the photocatalytic degradation of ammonia in aquaculture water under UV light. For example, Jin et al. [6] prepared the nano-SnO$_2$ photocatalyst using a chemical precipitation method with SnCl$_4$·5H$_2$O as the raw material and explored the effect of the SnO$_2$ catalyst on the removal of pollutants from wastewater under laboratory preparation conditions. Based on this experiment, the optimization of the SnO$_2$ catalyst was carried out by Yu et al. [7] who doped rare-earth metal Ce to SnO$_2$. In comparison to the pure SnO$_2$, it was found that the conduction bands of SnO$_2$ doped with Ce became dense, that the fluctuation between bands was gentler and that the entire valence and conduction bands moved to the side of low energy end. Moreover, the optimal wastewater treatment efficiency of Ce/SnO$_2$ (92.7%) was superior to that of the pure SnO$_2$ (72%).

Through an analysis carried out by Shavisi et al. [8], it was indicated that 5 wt.% CuO loaded over ZnO could significantly increase the photocatalytic activity as 77.2% ammonia removal from synthetic wastewater was achieved within 240 min of solar irradiation time. Accordingly, ultraviolet and visible light are essential for the excitation of oxides (SnO$_2$ and ZnO).

The absorption spectrum of the semiconductor can be extended to the visible region by choosing suitable dopants (such as non-metals and metal oxides) to make full use of light energy. Zhang et al. [9] prepared a Nano-ZnO/Chitosan composite membrane (ZnO–CTF) for exploring its adsorption capacity on methyl orange; the membrane-forming performance of such a composite membrane was decent, and the adsorption rate of methyl orange could reach 90.9%.

Chitin or Chitosan, derived from the crustacean shell, is an environment-friendly biodegradable non-metal material [10]; it could be loaded over ZnO crystal to harvest the maximum possible energy of the sunlight. However, Chitin/ZnO has only been explored in the field of composite membrane preparation [9,11], and there are no related reports on the synthesis of photocatalyst powder. Therefore, the objective of this research is to improve the UV-light utilization efficiency of pure ZnO photocatalysts by integrating the low-cost fabrication process and the simple-step design principle of the Chitin/ZnO photocatalyst powder (Figure 1).

![Figure 1. The interaction mechanism of the chitin/ZnO composite photocatalyst.](image-url)
irradiation, Chitin/ZnO is adopted and the optimal reaction conditions are explored. Such a procedure can also be used as a guideline for future research on the photocatalytic purification of aquaculture wastewater. A general framework of this research is presented in Figure 2.

![Diagram](image)

**Figure 2.** The technology roadmap for the preparation and degradation of Chitin/ZnO.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials

The raw materials needed for the preparation of the Chitin/ZnO composite photocatalyst and the stimulation of the aquaculture wastewater are shown in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug Reagent Name</th>
<th>Molecular Formula</th>
<th>Purity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zinc nitrate hexahydrate</td>
<td>ZnNO$_3$·6H$_2$O</td>
<td>AR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyethylene glycol</td>
<td>HO(CH$_2$CH$_2$O)$_n$H</td>
<td>AR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium hydroxide</td>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>AR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deacetyl chitin</td>
<td>(C$<em>8$H$</em>{13}$NO$_5$)$_N$</td>
<td>AR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetic acid</td>
<td>CH$_3$COOH</td>
<td>AR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc sulfate</td>
<td>ZnSO$_4$</td>
<td>AR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonium chloride</td>
<td>NH$_4$Cl</td>
<td>GR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfuric acid solution</td>
<td>H$_2$SO$_4$</td>
<td>AR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nessler’s reagent</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>AR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium sodium tartrate</td>
<td>C$_4$H$_7$KNaO$_6$·4H$_2$O</td>
<td>AR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The instruments used in this research are shown in Table 2.
Table 2. The experimental instruments and equipment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instrument Name</th>
<th>Model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Electronic balance</td>
<td>TE124S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetic stirring apparatus</td>
<td>CJ78-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chamber electric furnace</td>
<td>SX2-2.5-10A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHG Series Heating and Drying Oven</td>
<td>DHG-9146A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacuum pump</td>
<td>SHZ-D (III)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH meter</td>
<td>PHS-3C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiparameter water quality analyzer</td>
<td>5B-3B (V8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultraviolet visible photometer</td>
<td>V-1100D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium pressure pump lamp</td>
<td>M-169H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEM electron microscope</td>
<td>HitachiS4800 TM3030plus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X'Pert Powder</td>
<td>PANalytical EPSILON5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2. Preparation of the Photocatalyst

2.2.1. ZnO Photocatalyst Preparation

In order to prepare pure ZnO powder, 29.73 g ZnNO$_3$.6H$_2$O (AR) was dissolved in 1000 mL distilled water (0.1 mol/L), and the 20 mL polyethylene glycol was added. Then, the NaOH solution was slowly poured into the mixed solution until the pH value reached 8. The temperature knob was adjusted to 80 °C and the mixed solution was stirred for two hours [14]. Subsequently, the solution was washed using ultrapure water for 2 to 3 times after centrifugation. In the final stage, in order to obtain the pure ZnO nanoparticles, the as-prepared product was dried at 100 °C for 8 h and then fully ground and calcined in the muffle furnace at 450 °C for 2 h.

2.2.2. Chitin/ZnO Photocatalyst Preparation

The three-step sol-gel synthesis method was used to prepare Chitin loaded over ZnO nanostructures. The specific preparation process is as follows:

Initially, different mass ratios (m-Chitin/m-ZnO) of 1:2, 2:3, 1:1 and 2:1 were selected; the corresponding Chitin and ZnO powder were weighed and added to the 50mL the acetic acid solution. In the following steps, the mixed powders floating on the surface of the acetic acid solution were stirred at 100 °C for 2 h. It is essential to observe whether the material morphology had changed or the light green semitransparent gel solid had appeared during the agitation process. In general, a thin gel would be formed on the surface of the mixed solution after 30 min. When the entire surface of the solution was fully covered, the gel ought to be removed with a glass rod and placed in a crucible. This operation should be repeated until the mixture was completely condensed into a translucent colloidal solid, and the stirring procedure was about 2 h.

Finally, to produce the Chitin/ZnO photocatalyst, the semitransparent gelatinous solid was dried at 100 °C for 6 h. After the as-synthesized product was calcined at 300, 400, 500 and 600 °C, 16 Chitin/ZnO photocatalysts with various temperatures and mass ratios were obtained.

2.3. Preparation of the Simulated Aquaculture Wastewater

Samples were collected from the freshwater lake at the Xiamen University of Technology. The initial value of NH$_4^+$–N was 0.142 mg/L, and the pH value was 9.21.

Water sample pretreatment: a 20% sulfuric acid solution was used to acidify a filtered water sample to pH < 2, which was then stored in a polyethylene bottle and sealed in a freezer at 1–5 °C for seven days [15].

Simulated aquaculture wastewater: a (NH$_4$)$_2$SO$_4$ solution was added to the filtered samples to form different concentrations (i.e., 15, 30, 45, 60 and 75 mg/L) of NH$_4^+$–N solutions. The 10% ZnSO$_4$ and 25% NaOH solutions were added to the NH$_4^+$–N wastewater for adjusting the pH value to about 8.0–8.5 every time before testing. It would simulate the optimum pH range of aquaculture wastewater.
and approach to the living environment for freshwater organisms, which eliminates the interference of external pollutants.

3. Results and Discussions

3.1. Degradation of Aquaculture Wastewater by the Chitin–ZnO Composite Photocatalyst

3.1.1. Effect of the Chitin–ZnO Dosage

The initial concentration of NH$_4^+$–N in the simulated aquaculture wastewater was adjusted by the (NH$_4$)$_2$SO$_4$ solution to 60 mg/L. Five groups of Chitin–ZnO photocatalysts (0.25 g, 0.5 g, 1 g, 1.5 g and 2 g/L) were added into the water with a pH value of 8.12. The photocatalysts were irradiated by ultraviolet light for 2 h.

The removal rate of NH$_4^+$–N using Chitin–ZnO at different dosages is shown in Figure 3. Figure 3 shows that the NH$_4^+$–N removal efficiency increased at a peak of 90.18% when the dosage was 0.5 g/L and then decreased by less than 84% when the dosage raised to 2 g/L.

![Figure 3. The effect of the Chitin–ZnO dosage on the ammonia nitrogen removal efficiency.](image)

The reasons for this fluctuation may be as follows: 1) When a small amount of composite photocatalyst was added, ultraviolet light would not induce the formation of enough electron–hole pairs [16], which proved to be of limited effect on ammonia nitrogen oxidation; 2) when the dosage of Chitin–ZnO rose from 0.25 g/L to 0.5 g/L, a great deal of electron–hole pairs were enriched in the wastewater, which largely enhanced the utilization of ultraviolet light and improved the photocatalytic oxidation reaction to be carried out efficiently; 3) however, when the dosage exceeded 0.5 g/L, the scattering degree of UV light was aggravated by the powdered catalyst, and only some photogenerated electron–holes were produced, which resulted in the falling removal efficiency.

3.1.2. Effect of Mixture Mass Ratio

The initial concentration of NH$_4^+$–N solution was 60 mg/L. 0.5 g/L Chitin–ZnO with different mass ratios of 1:2, 2:3, 1:1 and 2:1 were added into the wastewater of pH 8.12, irradiated by ultraviolet light for 2 h.

Figure 4 shows that the removal rate of NH$_4^+$–N was 67.53% when the pure ZnO photocatalyst powder was added. It was found that the purification rate of wastewater could be improved in a certain range by adding chitin with different proportions. When the mass ratio of chitin to zinc oxide was controlled in the range of 1:2 to 2:3, the NH$_4^+$–N removal rate increased with the rise of the chitin loading ratio, and the optimal removal efficiency (91.21%) could be achieved when the figure was 2:3. However, the excessive increase of the chitin proportion in the mixed photocatalyst would directly...
lead to a significant reduction of the NH$_4^+$–N removal rate, which was most apparent when the chitin proportion exceeded 66.67%.

![Figure 4](image)

**Figure 4.** The effect of the mixture mass ratio of Chitin–ZnO.

This may be due to 1) doping a certain proportion of non-metallic elements in ZnO lattice, namely chitin, could generate some corresponding improvements within the range of 1:2 to 2:3. Chitin could replace the oxidation potential and narrow the forbidden band of ZnO, thus broadening the wavelength range of light response and making full use of ultraviolet energy to promote degradation efficiency [17]. 2) When the proportion of chitin was excessively increased, the excess defects were introduced into the ZnO lattice, which would lead to great damage of ZnO. The crystallization structure directly reflected the gradual degradation of efficiency.

### 3.1.3. Effect of Calcination Temperature

The influence of a calcination temperature of the Chitin–ZnO photocatalyst for NH$_4^+$–N degradation was studied in the range of 300–600 °C. All experiments were carried out in the same condition (initial NH$_4^+$–N concentration 60 mg/L), pH = 8.12 and irradiation time 2 h. The results are shown in Figure 5.

![Figure 5](image)

**Figure 5.** The effect of calcination temperature.

Figure 5 shows that the composite photocatalyst prepared at higher temperatures improves the degradation efficiency in the range of 300–500 °C. The Chitin/ZnO prepared by calcination at 500 °C presented the superior catalytic oxidation activity (92.43%). In comparison with the samples calcined at a lower temperature (300/400 °C), the ZnO lattice could obtain better load balancing.
Defects could either favour or deteriorate the photocatalytic activity of the metal oxide, depending on their physical location in the system [18]. By these calcination conditions, the chitin would occupy a proper proportion of the defect position of the zinc oxide, which promotes the separation, restrains the recombination of electrons and holes (\(e^- - h^+\)), increases the charge transport and accelerates the photocatalytic activity [19].

However, the overall removal efficiency of the photocatalyst cannot be continuously improved by the increasing calcination temperature [20], which may be due to the excessive temperature that affects the matching degree between chitin and ZnO. The poor loading effect could be shown as an agglomeration phenomenon [21], which indirectly prolonged the time of photogenerated electrons diffusing to the catalyst surface and then increased the probability of negative photogenerated electrons compounding with positive holes, thus reducing the photocatalytic activity.

3.1.4. Effect of the Initial Concentration of \(\text{NH}_4^+\)–N

The effect of the initial \(\text{NH}_4^+\)–N concentration on the photocatalytic degradation reaction was investigated over the range of 15–75 mg/L, and the experimental results are presented in Figure 6.

Increasing the initial concentration logically enhances the probability of an interaction between the ammonia molecules and the oxidizing species, leading to an increase in the degradation efficiency [22]. However, the continuous increase of the initial \(\text{NH}_4^+\)–N concentration (more than 60 mg/L) would result in a rise in ammonia adsorption on the surface of photocatalysts, thereby increasing the occupancy of active sites as a whole [5]. A series of reactions between the pollutants (such as ammonia molecules) and radicals (such as \(\cdot\text{OH}, \cdot\text{O}_2\) and holes with positive points) would be inhibited to a certain extent, resulting in the degradation efficiency of ammonia nitrogen when the initial concentration is higher than 60 mg/L.

3.2. Effect of Light Conditions on Photocatalytic Degradation

3.2.1. Under Dark Reaction Conditions

Under the dark reaction condition (the reaction was carried out in a closed and glassless environment with a sealed carton reactor), the initial concentration of ammonia nitrogen in the wastewater was controlled at 60 mg/L, the pH value of the water was adjusted to 8.0 and the mass ratio and the dosage of Chitin/ZnO was 2:3 and 0.5 g/L. The calcination temperature of Chitin/ZnO was 500 °C, and the ultraviolet photocatalysis time was 2 h. The experimental results are shown in Figure 7.
Under the dark reaction conditions, the composite the photocatalyst could not induce the formation of hydroxyl radicals with strong oxidation to achieve degradation as it lacked the excitation of the ultraviolet light on the semiconductor band. At the same time, under closed conditions, the composite photocatalyst lost the opportunity to fully interact with oxygen and to induce oxygen molecules to adsorb on the surface of the photocatalyst to capture photogenerated electrons in an illuminated atmosphere. Therefore, hydroxyl radical and superoxide anion radical would not be formed, and the concentration of NH$_4^+$–N in water increased consistently.

3.2.2. Under Natural Reaction Conditions

Under natural conditions (laboratory ventilation, no light and a room temperature environment [23]), the initial concentrations of NH$_4^+$–N were controlled at 60 mg/L, pH was 8.04 and the mixed mass ratio and the dosage were 2:3 and 0.5 g/L, respectively. The calcination temperature was 500 °C, and the ultraviolet photocatalysis lasted for 2 h. The experimental results are shown in Figure 8.

Figure 7. Under the dark reaction conditions.

Figure 8. Under natural reaction conditions.

Figure 9 shows that the photocatalytic degradation efficiency can continuously increase within an hour in the absence of illumination (not sealed). The maximum degradation efficiency reached 70.11% at 60 min and then decreased with the rising reaction time. Compared with the degradation in the closed environment, the ventilation environment improved the removal rate of the target pollutants in a certain period of time and showed better removal effects. The reason may be that in an aqueous
solution, ammonium ions (NH$_4^+$) can be partially ionized to ammonia and H$^+$; ammonia molecules are oxidized by successive attacks of OH$^-$ radicals [8,24,25].

$$\text{NH}_4^+ + \text{H}_2\text{O} \leftrightarrow \text{NH}_3 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{H}^+ \quad (1)$$

$$\text{NH}_3 \overset{\text{OH}}{\rightarrow} \text{NH}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \overset{\text{OH}}{\rightarrow} \text{NH} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \overset{\text{OH}}{\rightarrow} \text{N} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (2)$$

$$\text{NH}_x + \text{NH}_y \rightarrow \text{N}_2\text{H}_{x+y} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \overset{\text{H}^+}{\rightarrow} \text{N}_2 \quad (3)$$

$$\text{NH}_3 \overset{\text{OH}}{\rightarrow} \text{HONH}_2 \overset{\text{OH}}{\rightarrow} \text{NO}_2^- \rightarrow \text{NO}_3^- \quad (4)$$

It can be observed that long-lived toxic substances are not formed in the treatment of NH$_4^+$. Even if NO$_2^-$ is generated in the process, such ion could be quickly converted into harmless substances (N, H$_2$O and N$_2$) under photocatalytic conditions, mainly by the successive attacks of OH and H$^+$ radicals. Ammonia and ammonia molecules could be fully adsorbed on the surface of the catalyst when the photocatalyst with the optimum dosage was added to the water, thus reducing the overall NH$_4^+$–N concentration in the wastewater. With an increase of reaction time, the adsorption reaction of the catalyst reached equilibrium (the equilibrium time is about 1 h) and the concentration of NH$_4^+$–N in wastewater ought to be theoretically kept even. However, the reactor exposed to the air would absorb a small amount of NH$_4^+$–N molecules from the environment to some extent, leading to the concentration raised and the removal rate reduced.

### 3.2.3. Under UV Direct Irradiation Conditions

The reaction vessel and the built-in reaction liquid were under direct illumination. All experiments were carried out in the same conditions: initial NH$_4^+$–N concentration of 60 mg/L, pH of 8.12 and irradiation time of 2 h. The results are shown in Figure 9.

![Figure 9. Under UV direct irradiation conditions.](image)

Compared with the experiment in the absence of illumination in Figure 9, when the reactor was directly irradiated by UV light, two simultaneous reactions were carried out in the wastewater solution, i.e., adsorption and catalytic oxidation. Because of the simultaneous reaction of two different properties, the reaction competitiveness of Chitin/ZnO was greatly enhanced. The comparison between Figures 9 and 10 showed that the catalyst would not decrease the removal efficiency of NH$_4^+$–N after reaching equilibrium (1 h). The catalytic oxidation reaction, which played a leading role in the light condition,
continued to accelerate the removal efficiency of the contaminant, reaching the peak value of 88.64% when the reaction time was 2 h. After 2 h, the efficiency and stability gradually declined.

![Graph showing Concentration of NH4+-N (mg/L) against Reaction time (h) with NH4+-N removal rate (%)](image)

**Figure 10.** UV irradiation after adsorption.

In addition, the principle of the hydroxyl radical produced by a photocatalyst in a wastewater solution driven by UV light has been accepted by most scholars in the environmental field [26], which can be divided into two ways:

1) When ZnO and other semiconductor particles are in close contact with the aqueous solution, the hydroxyl groups will form and adhere to the semiconductor surface. At the same time, owing to the valence band site of the semiconductor, ZnO is lower than the oxidation potential of the hydroxyl group [27]; the positively charged holes on the semiconductor surface will be first trapped by the surface hydroxyl radicals, producing hydroxyl radicals with strong oxidation via light irradiation:

\[
\text{ZnO} + \text{hv} \rightarrow e^- + \text{ZnO}(h^+) \quad (5)
\]

\[
\text{ZnO}(h^+) + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{ZnO} + \text{H}^+ + \text{OH}^- \quad (6)
\]

\[
\text{ZnO}(h^+) + \text{OH}^- \rightarrow \text{ZnO} + \cdot\text{OH} \quad (7)
\]

2) When the oxygen molecule exists in ammonia nitrogen wastewater, the photogenerated electron will be trapped by the oxygen molecule adsorbed on the surface of the Chitin–ZnO composite photocatalyst [28], resulting in a superoxide anion group and the hydroxyl radical:

\[
\text{O}_2 + n\text{ZnO(e–}) \rightarrow n\text{ZnO} + \cdot\text{O}_2^- \quad (8)
\]

\[
\text{O}_2 + \text{ZnO(e–}) + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{ZnO} + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + 2\text{OH}^- \quad (9)
\]

\[
\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{ZnO(e–}) \rightarrow \text{ZnO} + \text{OH}^- + \cdot\text{OH} \quad (10)
\]

It proved that the catalytic oxidation performance of the Chitin/ZnO composite photocatalyst could be improved significantly under UV irradiation in comparison with two as-experiments without illumination. Photocatalysts, as a material activator, could convert ultraviolet light energy into the power needed for a series of chemical reactions, producing catalytic oxidation reactions [29]. Oxygen and water molecules in the vicinity of the catalysts are transformed into excited matter structures, forming free anions with strong oxidizing power, including hydroxyl radicals (OH) and superoxide radical anions (O$_2^-$) to remove pollutants from the water. Furthermore, the NH$_4^+$–N molecule could be directly converted into N$_2$, which is friendly to the environment.
3.2.4. UV Irradiation after Adsorption

The composite photocatalyst was used to absorb contaminant NH$_4^+$–N for 1 h and then irradiated by ultraviolet light for 3 h under laboratory conditions. The experimental results are shown in Figure 10.

Figure 10 shows that the degradation efficiency of the ultraviolet photocatalytic oxidation reached its peak at 2 h, which was 90.12%. Compared with the figure for direct illumination (88.64%) in Figure 10, the efficiency of re-illumination after adsorption was slightly higher than that of direct illumination (less than 2%). However, considering the timing consumption, if the same removal time was given for 2 h, the optimal removal efficiency could be achieved via the way of direct illumination in this period, but the way of illumination after adsorption had poor time.

3.3. Orthogonal Test Under Ultraviolet Lamp Irradiation

3.3.1. Outline of the Orthogonal Test Scheme

A total of 16 orthogonal experimental groups were set up to study the optimal removal effect of the Chitin/ZnO composite photocatalyst under the condition of the cross action of various influencing factors, aiming to determine the optimum conditions for the degradation of NH$_4^+$–N in the simulated aquaculture wastewater.

3.3.2. Determination of the Optimal Reaction Conditions

The formula of variance analysis is as follows:

$$T = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i$$

$$CT = \frac{T^2}{n}$$

The sum of NH$_4^+$–N removal rates at all levels is $k_{1j/2j/3j/4j}$, and its square $k_{1j/2j/3j/4j}^2$.

The sum of squares of the column deviations is

$$SS_j = \frac{1}{r} \sum_{i=1}^{m} K_{ij}^2 - CT$$

Among them, the total number of test levels is $n$, the number of test levels for each factor is $m$ and the number of repeated tests for each level is $r = n/m$.

For the five factors and four levels, the 16 sets of orthogonal test results are shown in Table 3 and Figure 11.
Table 3. The orthogonal test results via five factors and four levels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Calcination Temperature/°C</th>
<th>m(Chitin)/m(ZnO)</th>
<th>Dosage (g/L)</th>
<th>Irradiation Time/h</th>
<th>Initial Concentration of NH$_4^+$-N (mg/L)</th>
<th>UV %</th>
<th>Adsorption 1 h</th>
<th>Adsorption 1 h %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2:1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>58.37%</td>
<td>62.80%</td>
<td>40.90%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1:2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>64.72%</td>
<td>67.28%</td>
<td>47.33%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2:3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>69.15%</td>
<td>70.60%</td>
<td>49.35%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>62.03%</td>
<td>64.13%</td>
<td>45.10%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2:1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>65.29%</td>
<td>69.23%</td>
<td>47.48%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1:2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>73.46%</td>
<td>75.45%</td>
<td>47.21%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2:3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>75.43%</td>
<td>78.28%</td>
<td>58.41%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>71.12%</td>
<td>73.32%</td>
<td>52.05%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>2:1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>66.78%</td>
<td>69.11%</td>
<td>58.72%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2:1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>77.53%</td>
<td>80.96%</td>
<td>56.29%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1:2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>88.64%</td>
<td>90.12%</td>
<td>70.11%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>72.33%</td>
<td>74.61%</td>
<td>68.23%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>2:1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>63.21%</td>
<td>66.42%</td>
<td>54.64%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>1:2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>72.67%</td>
<td>75.27%</td>
<td>49.32%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>2:1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>73.91%</td>
<td>76.88%</td>
<td>50.13%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>70.33%</td>
<td>73.54%</td>
<td>45.63%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$k_{1j}$: 2.54 2.65 2.54 2.68 2.91 3.02 2.79 2.91 2.81 2.94
$k_{2j}$: 2.85 2.96 2.88 2.99 2.76 2.88 2.88 2.97 2.77 2.88
$k_{3j}$: 3.05 3.15 3.07 3.16 2.80 2.88 2.82 2.94 2.78 2.87
$k_{4j}$: 2.80 2.92 2.76 2.86 2.78 2.90 2.76 2.86 2.89 2.99

$k_{1j}^2$: 6.47 7.01 6.43 7.16 8.46 9.11 7.77 8.47 7.89 8.64
$k_{2j}^2$: 8.14 8.78 8.32 8.94 7.63 8.29 8.28 8.83 7.69 8.31
$k_{3j}^2$: 9.32 9.91 9.43 9.98 7.82 8.31 7.97 8.66 7.74 8.24
$k_{4j}^2$: 7.85 8.53 7.61 8.16 7.74 8.40 7.63 8.16 8.33 8.93

$SS_j$: 0.033 0.032 0.037 0.032 0.003 0.003 0.001 0.002 0.002 0.002

Average: 52.56%

T = 11.25
CT = T^2/n = 8.5264
Figure 11. The orthogonal test results via five factors and four levels.

The results showed that the optimum conditions for the degradation of NH$_4^+$–N pollutants in aquaculture wastewater were as follows: the initial concentration of NH$_4^+$–N in the simulated aquaculture wastewater solution was 60 mg/L, the mass ratio of chitin to ZnO was 2:3, the calcination temperature was 500 °C and the dosage was controlled at 0.1 g/L. The optimum removal efficiency was obtained by exposing the sample to UV light for 2 h in the laboratory. The direct illumination could reach 88.64%, while after 1 h adsorption and 2 h illumination, it could reach as high as 90.12%.

As shown in Table 3, the SS$_j$ value of the m(Chitin):m(ZnO) and the figure for the condition of adsorption for 1 h plus UV light were both the highest at 0.037 and 0.032, respectively, which indicated that the m(Chitin):m(ZnO) played the most critical role in the purification of simulated NH$_4^+$–N wastewater. Meanwhile, as the variance result (0.037) was greater than the latter (0.032), it is more conducive to improve the removal efficiency under the condition of direct UV light (adsorption and catalysis simultaneously). Similarly, the catalytic activity and adsorption performance were determined by the calcination temperature (SS$_j$ approximately 0.033) of the Chitin/ZnO in the muffle furnace, and the results illustrate the potential of using the calcination temperature and the UV-assisted thermal synthesis to shape and enhance the structure for wastewater treatment applications [20].

Three groups of parallel experiments were set up to verify the optimal experimental conditions. The results showed that the removal rate of ammonia nitrogen in the simulated wastewater could reach 85.44%, 87.60% and 88.73% respectively under direct illumination. The average removal rate of ammonia nitrogen under the optimal experimental conditions was 87.25%, and the highest removal rate of ammonia nitrogen was as high as 88.73%.

3.4. Characterization of Chitin/ZnO

3.4.1. X-ray Diffraction Analysis of Chitin/ZnO

The X-ray diffraction patterns of four different Chitin/ZnO nanoparticles calcinated at 500 °C are shown in Figure 12. The diffraction peaks become sharp and significant when 2 theta are at 31.82° (100), 34.54° (002), 36.42° (101), 47.46° (102), 56.74° (110), 62.92° (103), 68.42° (112), 69.06° (201) and 78.82° (202) [30].

From the peak position, the diffraction peaks of the four kinds of Chitin/ZnO are slightly shifted toward a smaller angle with respect to the diffraction peaks of pure ZnO. As the doping ratio are at 2:3 (third curve in Figure 12), the peaks are indexed as 31.6832° (100), 34.3532° (002), 36.1649° (101),
47.4581° (102), 56.4790° (110), 62.7887° (103), 66.2845° (112), 68.9885° (201) and 76.8943° (202), which indicate the tendency of forming larger lattices of ZnO after doping with Chitin [31].

Figure 12. The XRD in the 2-theta region for the Chitin/ZnO.

Overall, the diffraction peaks of the four samples were assigned to the (100), (002), (101), (110), (103) and (112) planes of the hexagonal wurtzite structure of ZnO with high crystallinity, and all appearing peaks are highly consistent with those of the hexagonal wurtzite structure of ZnO (JCPDS card 36-1451) [32].

It is noteworthy that the typical characteristic peaks of Chitin should theoretically appear at 10.67° and 19.99° [33], but there is no sign of Chitin characteristic peaks in the diffraction patterns. More than likely, Chitin in a highly dispersed state or at low concentrations would exceed the detection limit of XRD.

3.4.2. Scan Electron Microscope (SEM) Analysis of Chitin/ZnO

Morphology is an essential property to determining the effectiveness of the catalyst [29]. The SEM patterns of four Chitin/ZnO nanoparticles calcinated at 500 °C with different doping ratio and the patterns of Chitin/ZnO (2:3) calcinated at 400 and 500 °C were shown in Figures 13 and 14, respectively.

Except for the slight agglomeration of the internal particles of ZnO doped with excessive chitin (Figure 13d), the internal structure of the four catalysts calcined at the same temperature is uniformly distributed and the particle gap is obvious (Figure 13a–c), which indicates that the proper amount of doped chitin would not destroy the internal structure of ZnO, and the results are consistent with the XRD analysis.

By comparing the catalysts calcined at different temperatures (Figure 14a,b), it was found that the increase of temperature would significantly alter the in-plane behavior of the crystal structure and the distribution ways [34]. In Figure 14a, the arrangement of the crystals is disordered and specific loose holes can be observed between nanoparticles.
Since Chitin and ZnO are highly similar in length–width ratio, a cost-effective sol-gel method was investigated to study the potential of Chitin/ZnO in degrading the key components of wastewater. A certain proportion of chitin (mixing mass ratio is 2:3) was observed as inclusion morphology, with a distinct color difference (Chitin is black and ZnO is white). The overall array distribution is uniform, and the black-and-white crystal particles have clear boundaries. At the same time, the arrangement and combination mode of Chitin/ZnO are closely adjacent. From left to right and up and down in turn, this black-and-white crystal particles have clear boundaries. The specific loose holes can be observed between nanoparticles.

The patterns of Chitin/ZnO (2:3) calcinated at 400 and 500 °C were shown in Figure 13 and Figure 14, respectively. The increase of temperature would significantly alter the in-plane behavior of the crystal structure, and the specific loose holes can be observed between nanoparticles. In Figure 14a, the arrangement of the crystals is disordered and the particle gap is obvious (Figure 13a–c), which indicates that the proper amount of chitin would not destroy the internal structure of ZnO, and the results are consistent with the theoretical calculations. The specific loose holes can be observed between nanoparticles.

Figure 13. SEM diagrams of four types of Chitin/ZnO: (a) Chitin/ZnO = 1:1; (b) Chitin/ZnO = 2:3; (c) Chitin/ZnO = 1:1; (d) Chitin/ZnO = 2:1. Four samples were all calcinated at 500 °C (testing by Hitachi S4800).

Figure 14. SEM diagrams of the optimized catalyst: (a) Chitin/ZnO = 2:3, calcinated at 400 °C and (b) Chitin/ZnO = 2:3, calcinated at 500 °C. (Testing by TM3030plus.)

From Figure 14b, the crystal bound morphology of ZnO calcinated at 500 °C and doped with a certain proportion of chitin (mixing mass ratio is 2:3) was observed as inclusion morphology, with well distribution and rule shape. Since Chitin and ZnO particles were highly similar in length–width ratio (average length 2.27 µm, average width 1.88 micron and ratio about 1.2) and had the distinct color difference (Chitin is black and ZnO is white).
difference (Chitin is black and ZnO is white), the overall array distribution is uniform. The arrangement and combination mode of Chitin/ZnO are closely adjacent. From left to right and up and down in turn, this black-and-white crystal particles have clear boundaries. At the same time, the agglomeration of the particles was not observed in SEM images under this condition, which indicated that this doping ratio would not destroy the overall structure of the ZnO lattice, resulting in morphological distortion or structural damage. Therefore, controlling the preparation conditions at 2:3 and 500 °C is beneficial to the loading of the Chitin in ZnO lattice.

4. Conclusions

We have fabricated the newly developed Chitin/ZnO composite photocatalyst powder with the cost-effective sol-gel method and investigated the potential of Chitin/ZnO degrading the key contaminants (NH$_4^+$–N) in the simulated aquaculture wastewater under ultraviolet irradiation. In comparison to the pure ZnO powder, proper doping of Chitin is the most conducive way to enhance the utilization of UV light and to broaden the responsive range of ZnO. It was observed that 88.64% of NH$_4^+$–N could be removed in a short period of irradiation time (2 h) when using a 0.5 g/L Chitin/ZnO (2:3) photocatalyst at a calcination temperature of 500 °C. Furthermore, the relationship between adsorption and the photocatalysis process was tested in this survey. After 1 h of adsorption, the illumination at 2 h can reach as high as 90.12%. In fact, the creation of Chitin/ZnO powder provides a practical approach for future research on the photocatalytic purification of aquaculture wastewater.

However, how to achieve catalyst cyclic utilization and to apply the proposed experimental design with the outperformed catalysts in the practical wastewater system for evaluation are issues that need to be further explored in the follow-up work. The experimental design in this paper could lay the foundation for the subsequent research.
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