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Abstract

Fuel cell hybrid power trains comprise an energy storage to supply peaks in the power demand and to facilitate regenerative braking. In terms of control systems, the presence of storage provides additional freedom to minimize the vehicle’s fuel consumption. In a previous paper [1] an analytical solution to the energy management problem for fuel cell hybrid propulsion systems was derived and compared with existing strategies like the Equivalent Consumption Minimization Strategy (ECMS) [1–4]. An experimental study has been carried out on a Fiat Doblo, which was converted from a regular gasoline powered vehicle into a fuel cell hybrid vehicle. The fuel cell drive train comprises a 10kW proton exchange membrane fuel cell stack connected by means of a DC/DC converter to a Li-ion battery. The aforementioned analytical energy management strategy was used during the experiments. The experiments were carried out on a roller test bench and on the road. The experiments were conducted not only to validate the energy management strategy and the underlying models but also to establish its practical value. The paper shortly reviews the energy management problem and solution. Next the vehicle and its fuel cell hybrid drive train are presented as well as the experimental setup. The paper finishes by stating the experimental results and the conclusions.
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1 Introduction

1.1 Background

The international interest in the electric vehicle is increasing rapidly, especially now that governments on both national and municipal level embrace its obvious benefits: zero local emissions and improved propulsion efficiency. Together with this growing interest comes the attention for the equally obvious limitations: dramatically reduced range and substantially higher cost compared with a conventional gasoline vehicle of the same class, long battery charging times and issues regarding battery durability. These limitations warrant a strong research interest for new solutions based on fuel cell technology. Fuel cell vehicles are almost invariably hybridized, meaning that a battery supplies peak power during accelerations and recuperates kinetic energy during braking. If the battery can be charged by grid power, the vehicle can be regarded as a plug in hybrid. An energy management system selects the split of the required drive power between fuel cell stack and battery on the basis of minimum fuel consumption.

1.2 Goal of this study

During the development of energy management strategies for fuel cell hybrid vehicles, it was decided to realize a test vehicle for model validation, functional testing of the EMS and the vehicle. The vehicle is not only used as a test platform for the hybrid fuel cell drive train and the EMS, but is ultimately intended for regular use by the municipality of Arnhem. The study this paper reports on was mainly focussed on the ex-
perimental validation of the EMS, but should also give insight in the practical viability of the EMS, the hybrid drive train and the entire vehicle.

1.3 Structure of the paper

This paper describes in Section 2 the models used for the development of the energy management control loop. In Section 3 the test vehicle, the fuel cell system and the experiments and their results are presented. This Section also covers the operation of the EMS. Section 4 discusses the viability of the fuel cell hybrid drive train and vehicle for its intended purpose. The paper ends with conclusions and recommendations in Section 5.

2 Energy management

2.1 Models

The electrochemical structure of both fuel cells and batteries results in a comparable electrical behavior. Focussing on the electrical efficiency of both components, as first-principles quasi-static model of this behavior, an ideal voltage source with internal resistance in series is proposed [2,3,5], as indicated in the fuel cell system topology of Fig. 1.

The accompanying equations for both fuel cell stack and battery are:

\[ V_{FCTRm} = V_{FC0} - R_{FC} I_{FC} \]
\[ V_{Strm} = V_{SO} - R_{S} I_{S}, \]

where \( V_{FC0} \) and \( V_{SO} \) represent the internal ideal voltage sources, \( R_{FC} \) and \( R_{S} \) the internal resistance, \( I_{FC} \) and \( I_{S} \) the currents from the terminals and \( V_{FCTRm} \) and \( V_{Strm} \) the voltages at the terminals of fuel cell stack and battery respectively. In the considered topology, the voltage on the bus is defined by the battery voltage \( V_{bus} = V_{Strm} \). Battery and fuel cell stack are interconnected using a DC/DC converter. The power losses in the converter are represented by an efficiency \( \eta_{conv} \).

\[ I_{FCn} V_{bus} = \eta_{conv} I_{FC} V_{FCTRm}. \]  

From an electrical point of view, the combination of fuel cell stack and converter act as a two-port source with a nett current \( I_{FCn} \) at its terminals. The ratio \( r \) between this nett current \( I_{FCn} \) and the fuel cell stack current \( I_{FC} \) relates to the voltages and converter efficiency as:

\[ r = \frac{V_{FCtrm}}{V_{bus}} \]
\[ = \frac{V_{FC0} - I_{FC} R_{FC}}{V_{SO} - I_{S} R_{S}}. \]

When deviations in both battery voltage and fuel cell stack voltage are considered relatively small, and considering the average battery current has to be zero to avoid overcharging or depleting the battery, ratio \( r \) is approximated by:

\[ r \approx \eta_{conv} \frac{V_{FC0} - I_{FC} R_{FC}}{V_{SO}}. \]  

With this approximation, ratio \( r \) is considered a constant in the optimization problem of the energy management strategy.

2.2 Energy Management Strategy

The considered energy management strategy belongs to the class of optimizing strategies. Optimizing strategies proposed in literature minimize the fuel consumption directly [2–4]. The strategy considered in this study follows an alternative approach to obtain a minimum fuel consumption; it minimizes the losses in the system. A more detailed description of this approach can be found in [1].

Based on the models of Section 2.1, the losses are expressed as cost function (6)

\[ J(I_{FC}) = \int_{t=0}^{t_{final}} I_{FC}^2 R_{FC} + I_{S}^2 R_{S} dt. \]  

This cost function includes the dominant losses in the fuel cell stack and the battery and through the constraints the converter efficiency. The cost function is subject to two constraints; the current to the inverter, demanded by the driver, should be met (7) and the time-average value of the battery current \( I_{S} \) should be zero (8) to avoid overcharge or depletion

\[ I_{S} + r I_{FC} = I_{d} \]
\[ \int_{t=0}^{t_{final}} I_{S} dt = 0. \]

To find the control variable \( I_{FC} \) that minimizes the cost function, the first equality constraint is used to reduce the number of variables and the
second constraint extends the cost function to a Lagrangian:

\[ L(I_{FC}) = \int_{t=0}^{t_{final}} I_{FC}^2 R_{FC} + (I_d - rI_{FC})^2 R_S dt \]

\[ + \lambda \int_{t=0}^{t_{final}} (I_d - rI_{FC}) dt. \]  

(9)

The Optimality Principle of Bellman [6, 7] provides the next reduced Lagrangian \( L' \):

\[ L'(I_{FC}) = I_{FC}^2 R_{FC} + (I_d - rI_{FC})^2 R_S \]

\[ + \lambda (I_d - rI_{FC}) \].  

(10)

As necessary and sufficient condition for minimum losses, it suffices to make the derivative of the reduced Lagrangian \( L' \) towards control variable \( I_{FC} \) zero. By choosing a constant Lagrange multiplier to enable real-time implementation, the analytical solution \( I_{FC}^* \) is derived as

\[ I_{FC}^* = \frac{1}{r} \bar{I}_d + \frac{r R_S}{R_{FC} + r^2 R_S} \Delta I_d. \]  

(11)

Here, \( \Delta I_d \) refers to the difference between the average and actual current demand, \( \Delta I_d = I_d - \bar{I}_d \). The solution \( I_{FC}^* \), found, calculated by the EMS, represents the signal to the DC/DC converter.

Typical to this solution is that the point of operation \( I_{FC0} \) for the fuel cell stack relates to the average current demand where the deviations from the point of operation are defined by the ratio in internal resistances of both fuel cell stack and battery. Also the power consumption of the auxiliaries can be considered as loss. The current to the auxiliaries is dominated by the air compressor which has to provide an oxygen molecule for each two hydrogen molecules. Therefore, the current to the auxiliaries is considered linear with the stack current as:

\[ I_{aux} = \gamma I_{FC} + I_{aux0} \]  

(12)

Including the auxiliary current results in the next optimal solution

\[ I_{FC}^* = I_{FC0} + \Delta I_{FC} \]

\[ I_{FC0} = \frac{1}{r - \gamma} (\bar{I}_d + I_{aux0}) \]  

(13)

\[ \Delta I_{FC} = ratio \cdot \Delta I_d \]

where

\[ ratio = \frac{(r - \gamma) R_S}{R_{FC} + (r - \gamma)^2 R_S}. \]  

(14)

### 2.3 Implementation

The optimal solution of (13) still needs a-priori knowledge to determine the future average current demand \( \bar{I}_d \). As causal solution, the average over the past is taken. This value is derived from observing long term deviations in the SOC of the battery: when the SOC drifts away over time, the average stack current is too high or too low. This is covered by a slow feedback loop on the SOC, which determines the point of operation for the fuel cell stack. This feedback path prevents overcharging or depleting the battery and adds robustness against model errors, parameter deviations, and poor SOC estimations. Based on this feedback loop, optimal solution (13) is implemented with a feedback and feed forward path as indicated in Fig. 2.

![Optimal solution implemented as control loop.](image)

Figure 2: Optimal solution implemented as control loop.

As controller a proportional gain \( P \) suffices [1]. With respect to the violation of the maximum currents for fuel cell stack and battery, it can be demonstrated that, when the optimal solution is out of range, the closest feasible value, provides the best solution given the bounds. Also with respect to bounded rates for the fuel cell system, most likely caused by the response time of the air compressor, the closest possible rate provides the best solution.

### 3 Experimental validation

#### 3.1 Test vehicle

The energy management strategy, described in Section 2.2 was implemented in the Fiat Doblo test vehicle, shown in Fig. 3. This vehicle was converted from a regular gasoline drive line to an electric drive line by mounting an asynchronous motor onto the gear box after removing the engine. Both brake vacuum and hydraulic pressure for power steering are supplied by means of 12 V electric powered pumps. The main battery was mounted beneath the cargo area floor. The vehicle was also equipped with a charger, allowing it to be charged from a mains supply. Subsequently, the proton exchange membrane fuel cell system including the hydrogen tank, the power electronics and controller hardware were integrated in the cargo area of the vehicle. The bat-
Battery voltage determines the bus voltage. This bus not only supplies power to the main drive inverter, but also to the auxiliary systems. A 12 V DC/DC converter is used to charge the standard vehicle battery and a 24 V DC/DC converter supplies power to the fuel cell balance of plant and the main DC/DC converter (see Fig. 5). The main parameters of the Fiat Doblo hybrid power train are given in Table 1.

### Table 1: Main parameters of the Fiat Doblo hybrid power train.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle weight</td>
<td>1675</td>
<td>kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle top speed</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>km/h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective frontal area (C_dA)</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Fuel Cells</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum stack power</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>kW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum stack current</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stack internal resistance</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>mΩ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of battery cells</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Battery Voltage</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Battery Capacity</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>Ah</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Battery c-rate</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>A/Ah</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Battery internal resistance</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>mΩ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tank storage capacity</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>kg@350 bar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum motor power</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>kW</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.2 Fuel cell system

The fuel cell system comprises a fuel stack manufactured by Nedstack bv. The stack consists of 75 cells. The Piping and Instrumentation Diagram (P&ID) of the fuel cell system is given in Fig. 4. This figure shows the layout for the fuel cell system, comprising the stack, an intake air humidifier, a compressor (air pump), a hydrogen pump and a two stage cooling system. The DC/DC converter is used to control the current supplied to the power bus (see also Fig. 1).

### 3.3 Control parameters

The parameter values for the control loop shown in Fig. 2 used during testing can be found in Table 2. The internal resistances \(R_{FS}\) and \(R_S\) of fuel cell stack and battery and the conversion ratio \(r\) of the DC/DC converter determine that \(ratio = 14\%\). This implies that 14% of the deviations in the power demand are provided by the fuel cell stack and the majority of 86% of the deviations are covered with the battery.

### Table 2: Parameters values for the control loop

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(R_S)</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>mΩ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R_{FS})</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>mΩ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(r)</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\gamma)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(P)</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>A/%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.4 Experiments

The vehicle described in Section 3.1 was subjected to a number of extensive tests on a roller test bench. This test bench is capable of simulating the vehicle inertial mass by using a proper set of flywheels, thereby allowing for the simulation of regenerative braking. During these tests all major electric power flows were measured, by measuring battery (\(U_{bat}\)) (bus) and fuel cell stack voltage (\(U_{FC}\)) and all relevant currents, as indicated in Fig. 5, which refers to the current sensors in the vehicle. Next, the translation from these measured values to the variables necessary for the energy management strategy are
... discussed. Current to the inverter $I_d$ was determined by $I_d = I_{charge} + I_{drive}$ and the output current of the DC/DC converter is given by $I_{FC} = I_{charge} + I_{24V}$.

Additionally, the speed of the electric motor and the battery state of charge (SOC) were determined from CAN bus signals. During the tests, the transmission was kept in a fixed (3rd) gear. Measurements were carried out during test runs, following driving cycle speed profiles. Both the low power NEDC and a speed profile measured on the public road (referred to as the "Doornenburg" cycle) were used (see Table 3).

![Figure 5: Current measuring positions and their labels, indicated by dashed circles.](image)

Table 3: Test cycle characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>low power NEDC</th>
<th>&quot;Doornenburg&quot;</th>
<th>Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Duration</td>
<td>1020</td>
<td>2050</td>
<td>sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. speed</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>km/h</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.5 Measurement results

3.5.1 Low power NEDC cycle

Fig. 6b shows the power at three branches in the drive train, measured during a NEDC low power run. During this measurement $SOC_{ref} = 90\%$ was selected. Negative values for $P_d$ indicate brake energy recovery. The peak drive power demand is close to the peak power (30 kW) of the drive motor. The fuel cell stack power $P_{FC}$ varies between 5 and 8 kW, whereas the battery power $P_S$ supplies the majority of the dynamic load. This behavior of the controller was described in Section 3.3.

Inspection of Fig. 7b shows that during city cycle driving, ($t < 860$ sec), the SOC remains fairly constant with a steady state error of about $SOC_{ref} - SOC = 15\%$. Driving the extra-urban part of the cycle discharges the battery, causing the SOC to drop and the operating point $I_{FC0}$ to rise. Fig. 7b shows that the stack current $I_{FC}$ follows the optimal current $I_{ref}$ very well. It should be noted here that the maximum steady state error in the SOC that arises at full fuel cell power (10.5 kW at $I_{FC}=230$ A) will be 32%. Since too low SOC should be avoided in order to prevent battery damage, the setpoint $SOC_{ref}$ should be kept higher than the minimum allowable SOC increased with 32%. Another approach might be the addition of a bias in the controller of Fig. 2. The rather small value for $ratio$ leads to the small influence of $\Delta I_d$ on $I_{ref}$. The remaining part of $\Delta I_d$ must be supplied by the battery. Since the sizing of the battery is mainly determined by its power rating, this means that the battery can be sized a factor $1 - ratio$ smaller compared to the case where the battery would be the only power source to provide the transients in the power demand.

3.5.2 "Doornenburg" Cycle

The "Doornenburg" cycle speed profile and some power levels are depicted in Fig. 8 and the accompanying Fig. 9 shows the SOC and the control loop signals. During this measurement $SOC_{ref} = 65\%$ was selected. Fig. 8b shows that the average fuel cell stack power increases slowly during the first phase of the cycle ($t < 1200$ sec) to level off at about 6 kW. This power increase is associated with a gradual decrease of SOC witnessed in Fig. 9a. Zooming in on the data, as shown in Fig. 10, reveals that the limited positive slew rate of the fuel cell stack sys-
tem, which is caused by the limited dynamic response of the compressor, causes some deviation between $I_{FC}$ and $I_{FC}^*$. This will have a minor effect on the optimal fuel consumption and still leads to the optimal solution, given the hardware limitations, as explained in Section 2.3.

4 Discussion

Fig. 10b shows that the stack is capable to supply sufficient power to this vehicle to drive the “Doornenburg” cycle, a suburban cycle regarded as typical for the vehicles intended use. Typical values for the electric power consumed during the low power NEDC and the “Doornenburg” cycle are summarized in table 4. These values consumed by the auxiliaries. The total power consumption is in reasonable agreement with literature values for a vehicle with comparable weight [8].

Since every hydrogen atom produces two electrons, based on the current drawn from the stack, an estimate of the hydrogen consumption can be made by using the equation: $\dot{m} = N_{cells} I_{FC} M / (2F)$, where $N_{cells}$ equals the number of cells in the stack, $M$ equals the molar mass of hydrogen and $F$ is the Faraday constant. The low power NEDC and the “Doornenburg” cycle lead to hydrogen consumption of about 1.1 kg/100km and 0.85 kg/100km, respectively. Purging losses have been neglected here.

5 Conclusions and recommendations

The energy management strategy proposed in [1] was tested on a roller test bench with a fully functional fuel cell hybrid vehicle. Tests were conducted by running the vehicle over the low power NEDC and a typical suburban cycle. Measurement of all main power flows in the drive line were carried out. The measurements validate the practical viability of the proposed EMS by showing that the fuel cell system does stabilize the SOC of the battery. The energy management strategy causes a de-
fined power split between fuel cell stack and battery. This power split has important consequences for the sizing of the battery. The extensive vehicle testing shows that the vehicle can be expected to perform adequately as far as its electric power consumption is concerned. Further work on thermal management must be carried out.
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